


Q1 This first DRAFT of the VISION statement...

Answered: 70 Skipped: 3



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Captures the long-term vision for this space	58.57%	41
Is almost there, but it needs a bit more work	34.29%	24
Has some strengths but needs quite a bit more work	4.29%	3
Needs to be rewritten	2.86%	2
TOTAL		70

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	I think mentioning of the Indigenous people who's land this is should be in the mission statement though I am sure it is mentioned elsewhere	11/29/2022 2:23 PM
2	More focus on the importance of bowker creek necessary. It is the park. Everything else revolves around it— bird refuge, forest, natural refuge for people, and nature-based outdoor open spaces.	11/27/2022 9:05 PM
3	this statement does not capture the enjoyment of the park by dogs. Suggest "...is a refuge for people, pets and wildlife"	11/27/2022 11:32 AM
4	The vision for KCNS should involve the following: - moving the Bowker Creek Blueprint (specifically the most recent 2020 DFS) forward -Having an accessible greenway to the adjacent hospital to improve access to nature for patients, staff and visitors. -provide a clear plan for the protection and enhancement of KCNS, including zoning to P4N and a conservation covenant	11/25/2022 3:14 PM
5	This reads like a mission statement (current status). Vision is future facing. This doesn't show a change, this is maintain status quo, so no vision. If that is the plan, then vision is to maintain, or ensure continued. You have not told me what your future vision is.	11/25/2022 3:49 AM

District of Saanich - Kings Community Nature Space Survey #1

6	Needs some reference to its ability to serve as a storm water retention facility - a refuge for flood waters, as well as wildlife & people.	11/24/2022 5:30 PM
7	Captures the vision but is too long	11/24/2022 4:08 PM
8	It is a 'park'. Nature space does not work. Why do you include the word 'community'? All parks are for community. I think 'refuge' is too strong of a word. Don't need 'to the space'; should read 'can enjoy'. The word 'benefit' is an assumption. The word 'space' is used too often. This needs a re-write.	11/24/2022 2:16 PM
9	No mention of walking dogs through	11/24/2022 12:14 PM
10	Please keep as 'natural' as possible	11/24/2022 10:16 AM
11	It does leave out a very common use of the space--dog walking.	11/24/2022 9:58 AM
12	Much too aspirational in tone - it is not a refuge in any legal meaning - just make it "KCNS is about people and nature" or simply start with the second sentence	11/24/2022 9:51 AM
13	As many of us stated, we want this to be continue to be a nature space, no official play grounds etc. Kids love playing hide and seek there. Old school.	11/21/2022 6:28 PM
14	Does not make specific reference to ongoing and essential conservation and restoration efforts for salmon, wildlife, and native plant biodiversity. I believe this long-term goal takes priority over extended human use. We need to learn to tread more lightly and respectfully on these precious remnants of the Bowker Creek ecosystem, to support those working hard to restore it, and to prioritize the needs of salmon, and other wildlife who rely on a healthy creek habitat. There are already many playgrounds and sports fields for human recreation on school properties and other parks. I do not believe this Kings area can be all things to all people without compromising urgent and essential restoration and conservation goals. I hope Saanich can make the bold decisions needed toward these goals.	11/19/2022 4:41 PM
15	The word "refuge" should not be applied to people in the first sentence. It is refuge for wildlife, but for people it is a place to respectfully visit and connect with nature as is mentioned in the last sentence. Perhaps reframing as "Kings Community Nature Space is a refuge for wildlife, visited by people." Then when you start the last sentence with "Visitors..." you are directly referring to the people who access the space, without compromising the identity of the space as a wildlife refuge.	11/19/2022 12:48 PM

Q2 In your opinion, what would make this Vision statement better?

Answered: 27 Skipped: 46


#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	be more specific about how the natural environment will be sustained and protected eg. mountain bikes on trails, dogs off lease, permitted park use...	11/30/2022 9:52 AM
2	mentioning the Indigenous peoples who land this is. I would also ask them what they think of this statement. Though I am sure you are insulation already with them.	11/29/2022 2:25 PM
3	Too vague. Some of the terms, such as "informal recreation," need to be defined.	11/27/2022 10:39 PM
4	More focus on bowket creek as central to the park's existence and importance.	11/27/2022 9:06 PM
5	accessible paths seems to imply there may be some paving in the plan, prefer emphasis on natural walkways and if paving that it is very limited. "connection to nature" seems sufficient to capture thus "beauty of natural areas" wording not needed in addition	11/27/2022 11:37 AM
6	The project of restoration and protection of the entirety of Bowker Creek, from headwaters at U.Vic. to ocean outfall in Oak Bay, is sustained on its path through Kings CNS.	11/26/2022 2:07 PM
7	Include something about dogs	11/25/2022 3:59 PM
8	Including goals. 1. Creation of storm water management facility, creation of wetlands and ponds to allow water to slow down and have somewhere to go 2. Restoration of natural habitat, increase biodiversity (pulling invasive plants and planting native species. 3. Zone P4N and conservation covenant 4. Include properties along pathway to hospital in park boundary. Formalize an agreement with the Dioceses re use of land for the greenway accessible path.	11/25/2022 3:21 PM
9	What I wrote last feedback box. This is a current mission statement and not future facing. What are you changing. If nothing, then say so...maintain the kings... etc.	11/25/2022 3:51 AM
10	consider opportunities for corridor connections to other parks/green areas for wildlife to travel safely and rest (part of a bigger strategic plan for wildlife conservation in Saanich).	11/24/2022 10:18 PM
11	Specific language/aspiration for the site to act as a storm water retention space.	11/24/2022 5:31 PM
12	not as long winded	11/24/2022 4:08 PM
13	A re-write. Keep to topic and keep it brief.	11/24/2022 2:17 PM
14	That it is going to be left "as is"	11/24/2022 9:52 AM
15	I want to see more than just accessible paths...how about accessible paths and open space to benefit from connecting with others and enjoying informal recreation along with the beauty of the natural areas in the space.	11/24/2022 9:52 AM
16	Perhaps welcoming education and pets	11/23/2022 8:47 PM
17	"a place to gather" referring to picnic areas.	11/23/2022 1:43 PM
18	Recognize the value of the space in the present natural state and how important this is to the community and especially the people who now use it.	11/21/2022 6:42 PM
19	focus on longterm ecological integrity of the land, even in the face of increasing human pressure and use	11/21/2022 5:04 PM
20	Greater clarity on what the goals are	11/21/2022 9:58 AM
21	In addition to existing statement, Kings Community Nature Space is an important natural asset to the community, both from a social/biological and infrastructure viewpoint.	11/20/2022 2:08 PM
22	A core current use of the park is for dog walking and off-leash dog socializing. I feel this was represented in the community feedback and could stand to be reflected in the vision - maybe "informal recreation with four-legged friends" or the like?	11/20/2022 9:18 AM

District of Saanich - Kings Community Nature Space Survey #1

23	Make human use (dog parks, playgrounds, etc) secondary to the goal of creek conservation, restoration, and salmon habitat. In the short term it's probably not going to make you popular, but it is essential and urgently needed.	11/19/2022 4:53 PM
24	Please define 'informal recreation'. Would this open the door for a playground to be installed? Not needed with other playgrounds nearby.	11/19/2022 4:08 PM
25	It would be stronger if it wasn't so focused on accommodating all the types of human uses that are vaguely insinuated. For example, it describes a number of ways "visitors," people, enjoy the space, but doesn't mention what it provides to wildlife as a refuge. It could be more explicit in stating the benefits to wildlife such as "Wildlife in the space should enjoy safety in their habitat, be free from harassment, access the creeks fresh water, and benefit from the quiet and darkness the urban nature space can provide them." Or something to that effect.	11/19/2022 12:55 PM
26	maybe pets could be mentioned as well? As in "Visitors to the space (and their pets) enjoy accessible paths, ..."?	11/18/2022 7:53 PM
27	From what I have seen the majority of the people using the park use it as an off leash area for their dogs. I think that needs to be include in there.	11/18/2022 6:58 PM

Q3 Restore, naturalize, and increase biodiversity.


Answered: 69 Skipped: 4



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Strongly Support	73.91%	51
Support	18.84%	13
Neutral	5.80%	4
Little Support	1.45%	1
Do Not Support	0.00%	0
TOTAL		69

Q4 Restore Bowker Creek in its existing location.


Answered: 67 Skipped: 6



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Strongly Support	38.81%	26
Support	23.88%	16
Neutral	25.37%	17
Little Support	5.97%	4
Do Not Support	5.97%	4
TOTAL		67

Q5 Restore and further enhance Bowker Creek by moving it further into the park.


Answered: 68 Skipped: 5



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Strongly Support	33.82%	23
Support	19.12%	13
Neutral	22.06%	15
Little Support	13.24%	9
Do Not Support	11.76%	8
TOTAL		68

Q6 Maintain the open space for informal recreation


Answered: 68 Skipped: 5



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Strongly Support	48.53%	33
Support	26.47%	18
Neutral	17.65%	12
Little Support	4.41%	3
Do Not Support	2.94%	2
TOTAL		68

Q7 Continue to welcome dogs


Answered: 69 Skipped: 4



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Strongly Support	46.38%	32
Support	23.19%	16
Neutral	13.04%	9
Little Support	10.14%	7
Do Not Support	7.25%	5
TOTAL		69

Q8 Improve stormwater management and mitigate flooding(Increase the water storage capacity of the creek and/or create overflow areas where excess water can safely go, such as constructed wetlands)


Answered: 69 Skipped: 4



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Strongly Support	65.22%	45
Support	26.09%	18
Neutral	5.80%	4
Little Support	2.90%	2
Do Not Support	0.00%	0
TOTAL		69

Q9 Provide safe, accessible, all-weather paths for people of all ages and abilities


Answered: 68 Skipped: 5



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Strongly Support	52.94%	36
Support	32.35%	22
Neutral	8.82%	6
Little Support	2.94%	2
Do Not Support	2.94%	2
TOTAL		68

Q10 Accommodate nature-based play and outdoor education


Answered: 67 Skipped: 6



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Strongly Support	38.81%	26
Support	40.30%	27
Neutral	8.96%	6
Little Support	8.96%	6
Do Not Support	2.99%	2
TOTAL		67

Q11 Preserve and enhance the urban forest through retention of existing trees and planting more trees


Answered: 69 Skipped: 4



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Strongly Support	81.16%	56
Support	11.59%	8
Neutral	4.35%	3
Little Support	2.90%	2
Do Not Support	0.00%	0
TOTAL		69

Q12 Allow access to Bowker Creek for educational opportunities


Answered: 69 Skipped: 4



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Strongly Support	42.03%	29
Support	36.23%	25
Neutral	17.39%	12
Little Support	4.35%	3
Do Not Support	0.00%	0
TOTAL		69

Q13 I would like to see the space remain exactly as it is

Answered: 66 Skipped: 7



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Strongly Support	12.12%	8
Support	18.18%	12
Neutral	25.76%	17
Little Support	25.76%	17
Do Not Support	18.18%	12
TOTAL		66

Q14 Please provide any additional comments related to the future of Kings Community Nature Space

Answered: 41 Skipped: 32

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	I'd like the park preserved as much as possible as it is, however I live directly behind the creek and want to see planning for flood waters. I was quite concerned by the flooding last year near Haultain Road.	12/2/2022 11:46 AM
2	I hope that Kings Community Nature Space is an interim title and not its permanent one.	11/30/2022 9:56 AM
3	Helping the creek to return to its natural state would be nice but I am unsure of how that would impact the park and surrounding community. Keeping it a nature space is very important to honour the Indigenous People whose land this is. Limiting where dogs can go would be helpful to restoration. Have nature based childcare there would be amazing and perhaps partnering with Camosun and the province to set up a training grounds for nature educators to be there as permanent stuaarts of the park would be an option?	11/29/2022 2:34 PM
4	I love taking my baby for walks in the park and seeing the owls. I was attacked by an owl there last year however, so I am kind of on guard now when we walk beside the creek. Regardless I hope it can be a place where the owls and other critters can thrive. Maybe a bit tricky for that to happen while maintaining it as a place that welcomes dogs but I do think it's important to keep it open for dogs to run. There needs to be strong management and community education about dog poop though. Too many times, I've seen both garbage bins piled up and overflowing with dog poop bags and it feels so irresponsible on the part of the dog owners to leave them there. Or leaving the full bags on the trails. Also the trails get pretty muddy; would be nice to have fresh woodchips in the wet season and smoother paths for strollers.	11/28/2022 8:31 PM
5	Retention of trees is important, there are resident owls in the park. Park is currently used heavily by dog walkers, strongly support welcoming dogs, keeping open space without fenced in dog park. An accessible path with lighting and managing flooding that happens near Haultain would be great for the future.	11/28/2022 8:17 PM
6	When you "enhance the urban forest" or do any other restoration work in the area (or anywhere else in Saanich) please choose regionally-appropriate, native, and site-appropriate plants. From trees to groundcovers- PLANT NATIVE and the right plant in the right place. Creating wild spaces on this property that do not have paths and are not accessible is important for wildlife. Wildlife need quiet zones where people an pets do not go so they can rest and not be constantly disturbed.	11/28/2022 7:21 AM
7	Very disappointed in these questions! Each could be taken in several different ways. Examples needed for each, to better understand what vision is behind each question! Why no questions about playgrounds, plaza space with parking, community gardens, etc. Why is "retaining existing trees" lumped in with "planting more trees" in the same question?	11/27/2022 10:55 PM
8	For P4N designation Wildlife proof garbage bins. Community gardens! Or Restauration with native pollinator plants & have Indigenous plant signage for education purposes (team up with Compost Education Centre, Lifecycles?) Planting more trees Benches under trees and in sunny areas for all seasons. Keep in mind the small path along the creek that leads from the hospital to the park. Widen the path, make it wheelchair accessible. Would be doable with re-routing the creek. I use the path 5 days a week as a hospital staff member.	11/27/2022 5:48 PM
9	minimal paving of paths, for instance limit to the full length of existing pathway parallel to Dean Ave, use natural paving materials where possible	11/27/2022 11:40 AM
10	Ensure that the area and number of pathways and parking areas are limited and have permeable surfaces to avoid runoff and erosion. Bowker Creek banks, plants and wildlife protected by avoiding unmanaged access for educational or recreational purposes by constructing safe, limited access points. Avoid 'museumification' of nature by not having excessive educational or informative signage. After observing persistent invasive species (English ivy!!) in the other Bowker Creek parks, I urge the employing of workers dedicated to	11/26/2022 3:17 PM

District of Saanich - Kings Community Nature Space Survey #1

annual removal of English ivy and other invasive species rather than being dependent on volunteers. Pressure U.Vic to protect the headwaters of Bowker Creek in perpetuity, the U.Vic 10-year moratorium (2003-13) against development of Bowker Creek headwaters ended, therefore could the headwaters potentially be rezoned for development, to the detriment of Bowker Creek and therefore Kings. The KCNS should be renamed (who was Kings? The FN land was seized and given to settlers; subsequently 'Bowker' Creek requires restoration) to acknowledge FN traditional territory and draw on FN knowledge to assist restoration (as with Mount Douglas Park name change to PKOLS). The resident Barred owl family have a diet somewhat dependent on the existing Bowker Creek's invasive plant habitat for mice, and on the creek's crayfish; I wonder if the owl's will linger during the restoration.

11	It would be great if there was some fencing so the space could be a bit safer for dogs and kids, I definitely bring my dog here everyday but I like that it's a multi use space and don't want it to be just for dogs or just for people.	11/25/2022 4:03 PM
12	re #6 I feel the creek should meander through the open space and be supported with more native plants and perhaps some benches. #7 dogs I support dogs in KCNS but they will be harmful to the native plants and wildlife (chasing animals and peeing on plants). I am a dog lover and see the importance for people to have a space for their dog to be off leash but also worry about the damage. I think further discussion on this issue would be helpful and hopefully we can come up with something that will work. I'm not a dog owner but my daughters are and they don't let their dogs off leash in KCNS.	11/25/2022 3:34 PM
13	A good chip trail for walking not concrete. Chip trails are better for water drainage. Maybe a couple of benches Please do not cut down any trees to put up buildings.	11/25/2022 7:19 AM
14	There is an opportunity to make it less of a field and add more trees. Hopefully not a gigantic dog park at the end of the changes, or maybe a fenced area for off leash so people with fears of big off leash dogs can calmly enjoy the space as well.	11/25/2022 3:57 AM
15	Search the archival information available, to return the space to it's traditional habitat, prior to European settlement.	11/24/2022 4:25 PM
16	Natural wild areas, enhanced with additional trees and plantings. Minimal work to pathways. Make the park inviting to wildlife by creating more habitat areas. Let people make their own discoveries, it doesn't always need to be a classroom. Keep dogs out to encourage safe wildlife habitat.	11/24/2022 2:22 PM
17	Include consideration of upstream conditions of Bowker Creek with this park's section of the stream. Retain features of CRD flood management proposal.	11/24/2022 11:54 AM
18	Before weighing in on the idea of moving bowker creek course/location, I'd need to know far more about the rationale, benefits, and potential downsides. Strongly support year-round off-leash access for dogs. The open field area has "speargrass" growing in it. This makes the field a dangerous place for dogs for the months of the year when the flowering heads mature. When animals get these spears in their fur, they burrow and migrate and can & do cause painful issues, expensive vet visits for surgical treatment/removal, and have caused fatalities. I strongly believe it would be worth considering possible ways to eradicate this noxious plant from the space.	11/24/2022 10:17 AM
19	Please enforce dogs ON LEASH. parks aren't safe for kids anymore because of off leash dogs.	11/24/2022 10:07 AM
20	We have used this area as refuge waiting area for patients in the hospital. Recreate a more natural creek setting with pools and a variety of habitat. Replant drought resistant native trees in a more natural pattern as the current trees die out or are replaced.	11/24/2022 10:07 AM
21	Thank you for so carefully planning for it. Ideally I'd like a win-win situation for biodiversity, climate mitigation, climate adaptation, recreation and education.	11/24/2022 10:01 AM
22	In a phrase and possibly a pun " let sleeping dogs lie" Other than what is necessary from a flooding perspective why is there this incessant need to "improve" things	11/24/2022 9:57 AM
23	additional of passive amenities like benches and picnic tables. Perhaps more of a vision for the house (in the future). Community space for art, education, programming etc...	11/24/2022 9:55 AM
24	I would like to see a playground for kids... some picnic tables as well for people to gather.	11/23/2022 1:45 PM
25	This all looks great, thanks! I love the 'Tinker Town' area of PKOLS/Mt Doug park and would	11/22/2022 1:05 PM

District of Saanich - Kings Community Nature Space Survey #1

support that amount of playground. I had not considered moving the creek further into the park but I love the idea. I would also really, really, really love to see the parcel of land on the south side of Haultain that provides access to the cul de sac at Adanac to the Jubilee included in this restoration!!!

26	I used to work at RJH and enjoyed walking through this park on my lunch break. It has such potential, and you have captured the many possibilities, thank you !	11/22/2022 1:04 PM
27	My biggest concern is fire in the smoking or camping. The Kings Community Nature Space should not be included in the list in Schedule C, Section 3. This park is a wonderful place for star gazing due to minimal light pollution. This must be protected and any lighting added to the park should be designed to minimize light pollution. Himalayan blackberry is overtaking the north east corner of the park. The park management plan should include management of invasive species.	11/21/2022 6:42 PM
28	The biggest threat to the site is damaged by camping and human caused fires. I would like to see the site remain off of the Schedule C, section 3 list of parks where sheltering is permitted. I want to support dogs in the park but pet owners need to do more to keep their animals under control. Perhaps on leash. The site is a refuge from light pollution and would benefit from no additional artificial lighting. The district could do a better job of emptying the garbages at the park. They are often overflowing.	11/21/2022 6:38 PM
29	I love and highly value the space as it is already. The reason I answered "little support" for keeping it as it is, is that I believe we can enhance it for the benefit of the ecosystem and creek health, wildlife, and park users while preserving its natural qualities. I want to see it preserved and enhanced as a healthy nature space, not a groomed park. I put Strongly Support for meandering the creek if that has been identified to maximize the health of the creek and address flood mitigation. This may delay other restoration work, so this would need to be pursued early on. Having an open field is great for informal recreation and for some sun-touched space. There may be a vision of this space that would allow for more tree cover and also to improve on patches, e.g. where there are deeper holes and can be dangerous for falling.	11/21/2022 5:08 PM
30	A fifty year plan for ecological integrity of the space would be ideal. Set aside small amounts of fenced areas excluding human use to preserve biodiversity most effectively. Thank you	11/21/2022 5:06 PM
31	There are a lack of areas in Saanich/Oak Bay that allow dogs to run off-leash, with the Kings Rd nature space being one. If an educational nature school is allowed to use the land, dogs will no longer be welcome which is not fair. There are tons of parks, playgrounds and schools for kids to use and almost nowhere for dogs to run around, potentially off-leash. Please avoid creating this community conflict by ensuring it is not focused on children.	11/21/2022 3:35 PM
32	Thank you for asking!	11/20/2022 5:43 PM
33	Preserving all of the mature tree cover as is may be difficult in view of the possibility of rerouting the creek in order to increase its functionality and stormwater detention capacity.	11/20/2022 2:11 PM
34	1) Thank you Saanich. I really appreciated the time and enthusiasm of Saanich staff. 2) There are some good questions here...it would be helpful if staff/consultant provided some background on the specific policies (e.g. Shelbourne Local Area Plan, Bowker Creek Blueprint), technical studies (e.g., Aquatex PFC Assessment, Master Drainage Plan, etc) and previous public process and community contributions related to this property over the past 25 years. Essential background for informing the survey and the vision. For example, there is a host of technical and policy background specific to the property and relevant to the question "Improve stormwater management and mitigate flooding". But nothing included here or at the in-person session to inform respondents. Also nothing about the long-standing commitment to the Bowker Creek Greenway/Multi-Use Trail (1998 SLAP policy 7.2, 2003 Centennial Trails Plan, Blueprint, etc, etc) 3) I find it odd that staff are recommending a specific zoning halfway through this planning process. P-4 may indeed be the appropriate zoning but the results of this process should be used to inform and substantiate the proposed zoning. I know this is not the intent but it comes across as a condescending when staff launch a public process while at the same time indicate that they've already decided on the zoning. Why not use this process to build support for the proposed zoning? 4) IMO the process is too hurried and compressed and not a genuine charrette (https://www.canr.msu.edu/nci/nci-charrette-system/process). In designing the process, Saanich should work more closely with key stakeholders and the organizations that have worked tirelessly for 25 years to protect this property. In designing future processes, consider inviting a couple of community perspectives during the 'background'	11/20/2022 10:33 AM


District of Saanich - Kings Community Nature Space Survey #1

section rather than relying solely on a consultant (who actually missed a lot of pertinent info). Thanks again for your efforts.

35	The current mature tree canopy supports bird populations well while being compatible with a dog play area. If both are core goals, perhaps separating the dog off-leash area from a revitalized forest area with more undergrowth would provide a reasonable balance between the goals.	11/20/2022 9:22 AM
36	What exactly is "nature-based play"? You can't have sensitive habitat that is trampled.	11/19/2022 4:58 PM
37	What would 'nature based play and outdoor education' look like? Difficult to answer that question without having a sense of the parameters.	11/19/2022 4:13 PM
38	We have the opportunity to create a model of an urban nature space that supports climate adaptation and provides access to nature for residents who otherwise would have to get in their cars to drive to nature in more rural areas of Saanich. This is the last natural space in our urban region of Saanich. More trees, restoration and re-naturalizing of the space will create an incredible oasis for wildlife and humans alike.	11/19/2022 3:57 PM
39	Allowing dogs, especially offleash, is contradictory to many potential ideas as they are laid out. Research shows that biodiversity enhancement, wildlife protection, and environmental protection are all compromised by the presence of dogs or other domesticated animals. Because Saanich uses "under control" as opposed to "on leash" in most of its language surrounding dogs in parks, this leaves the door wide open to people ignoring these rules.	11/19/2022 1:01 PM
40	I love Kings Community Nature space because it lacks too much development. I would love it even more if the natural features of this park were expanded even more, especially Bowker creek and the handful of fruit trees. I think this is one of greater Victoria's most unique parks and offers something very special to the plants, animals, and people that they can't find anywhere else.	11/19/2022 10:58 AM
41	If Bowker Creek were to be re-directed I would like to see this happen in the southern part of the park only (near Haultain St), leaving the forested NW area as it is.	11/18/2022 7:59 PM

Q15 What is your age?

Answered: 69 Skipped: 4




District of Saanich - Kings Community Nature Space Survey #1

ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
14 years or younger	0.00%	0
15-24	1.45%	1
25-34	10.14%	7
35-44	23.19%	16
45-54	15.94%	11
55-64	14.49%	10
65-74	21.74%	15
75-84	7.25%	5
85 or above	0.00%	0
Prefer not to disclose	5.80%	4
TOTAL		69

Q16 How close do you live to Kings Community Nature Space? (walking distance)


Answered: 69 Skipped: 4



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Within a 5 minute walk	40.58%	28
A 5-10 minute walk away	14.49%	10
An 11-15 minute walk away	11.59%	8
I live beyond the immediate area	27.54%	19
Prefer not to disclose	5.80%	4
TOTAL		69

Q17 How often do you visit Kings Community Nature Space?

Answered: 69 Skipped: 4



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Daily	33.33%	23
About once a week	17.39%	12
More than twice a month	5.80%	4
Once a month	17.39%	12
Once every few months	11.59%	8
Annually	8.70%	6
Never	0.00%	0
I prefer not to disclose	5.80%	4
TOTAL		69