Appendices
Appendices

## Demographics

	Survey response (#s)	Survey response (%)	Actual Population %	Difference
20. Locational Characteristics				
Blenkinsop	12	3.6%	1.7%	2.1%
Cadboro Bay	14	4.2%	3.0%	1.5%
Carey	18	5.4%	12.8%	-6.2%
Cordova Bay	13	3.9%	5.6%	-2.0%
Gordon Head	39	11.8%	12.6%	-0.7%
North Quadra	10	3.0%	4.7%	-1.6%
Quadra	27	8.2%	10.7%	-2.3%
Royal Oak	37	11.2%	8.9%	2.5%
Rural Saanich	17	5.1%	7.1%	-2.0%
Saanich Core	31	9.4%	12.7%	-5.2%
Shelbourne	22	6.6%	9.7%	-4.6%
Tillicum	22	6.6%	9.2%	-2.6%
No Response	69	20.8%		

15. Business Characteristics				
15. Dusiness Characteristics				
Homebased business?				
Yes	172	52.0%	52.7%	2.0%
No	95	28.7%	47.3%	-21.3%
No response	64	19.3%		
16. Number of employees				
1	120	36.3%	27.8%	8.4%
2 to 4	84	25.4%	42.5%	-17.1%
5 to 9	26	7.9%	15.6%	-7.8%
10 to 19	15	4.5%	7.3%	-2.7%
20 to 49	10	3.0%	4.2%	-1.2%
50 to 99	6	1.8%	1.4%	0.4%
100 to 199	3	0.9%	0.8%	0.1%
200 or more	2	0.6%	0.4%	
No Response	65	19.6%		

## Appendix I: Respondent Characteristics

17. Type of business		
	Survey (#s)	Survey (%)
Agriculture	8	3.8%
Manufacturing	3	1.4%
Construction	40	19.1%
Wholesale sales	1	0.5%
Health Services	30	14.4%
Retail sales	23	11.0%
Information and cultural	3	1.4%
Real estate, rental and leasing	7	3.3%
Educational services	9	4.3%
Arts, entertainment and recreation	21	10.0%
Finance and insurance	10	4.8%
Transportation and warehousing	0	0.0%
Accommodations and food services	4	1.9%
General administration, support, waste management and remediation services	1	0.5%
Professional / technical services	49	23.4%
Public administration	0	0.0%
Total	209	100.0%

#### Notes on stratification:

2006 census data was used to provide the statistics between homebased businesses as 52.7% and commercial businesses as 47.3%

Population data for location characteristics was used from local area plans prepared by Saanich's Planning Department.

Homebased business data was used from Saanich business licences.

## Appendix II: Survey Methodology

#### Survey Development

The Centre for Public Sector Studies (CPSS) at the University of Victoria, Council, Saanich's Management Group and all municipal departments collaborated to design the original business survey conducted in 2003. This combination of internal and external input to the survey design provided expert-level knowledge and objectivity from CPSS survey methodologists, as well as the richness in detail and focus that only Council and staff can provide. A final draft of the survey underwent a "pilot test", involving the Saanich Economic Development Advisory Committee members test respondents from the community.

For the most part, the standards for local government Business surveys established by the US-based International City/County Management Association (ICMA) in their resource manual, Citizen Surveys, were used in the development of this survey. Sections of the survey also adapted the guidelines for customer service measurement established in the Canadian Centre for Management Development's Common Measurements Tool.

Mike Buda, a Masters student at the School of Public Administration at the University of Victoria working temporarily at the District of Saanich, guided the 2003 Survey project. For the 2006 Business Survey, extensive updating was done by the Vibrant, Connected Economy theme group and a pilot test of the survey instrument was carried out by the Economic Development Advisory Committee of Council. The 2006 Survey project was guided by Debby Harris, Corporate Projects Coordinator for the District of Saanich. The 2006 survey results were independently reviewed by Dr. Brent Mainprize of the Faculty of Management at Royal Roads University.

For the 2012 Business Survey, additional questions were added by the Information Technology Division of Saanich. The 2012 survey project was guided by Debby Harris, Corporate Projects Coordinator for the District of Saanich. No independent review of the data was conducted in 2012.

#### Survey Administration

The 2012 Business Survey was sent to 1,500 randomly selected Saanich businesses on April 3, 2012. Of those surveys mailed out, 31 were returned as undeliverable, giving us a total of 1,469 valid survey recipients. Responses were due by April 13, 2012, by which time, 331 completed surveys had been returned.

Recipients were selected by using the nth select systematic sampling method using the Business Licence database maintained by the District of Saanich. The database which includes both home based and commercial business owners is updated daily.

Of the 1,500 surveys mailed out, the following were received by invalid survey recipients:

- none were returned by recipients who did not live in Saanich;
- 31 were returned as undeliverable

#### Sampling Error & Statistical Reweighing

**Sampling Error** - Estimates of the sampling error in the 2012 Business Survey were derived from the 311 individuals who completed and returned a survey. Sampling error is a statistical estimate of how much the sample results are expected to differ from results obtained if every person in the municipality was sampled.

The overall maximum sampling error (sometimes called "margin of error") for the 311 individuals who responded is plus or minus 5 percent at a 95 percent confidence interval. In other words, in 19 out of 20 such samples, survey results will differ by no more than 5% from results obtained if every individual in the District of Saanich were surveyed. Subgroups like age groups, place of residence or gender can be analyzed, although because they contain fewer respondents than the total, the size of the sampling error may increase.

## Appendix II: Survey Methodology

**Statistical Reweighing -** No statistical reweighing of results was done to attempt to better match the demographic characteristics of survey respondents with those of the population. Demographic differences between the sample and the population were judged to be not significant enough to warrant the additional time and expense required for statistical reweighing. In almost all questions, results are provided for each demographic group, allowing survey readers to make their own judgements on the differences present. The sample is underrepresented by respondents who operate a business with more than 5 employees. See Appendix I for demographics.

#### "No opinion" / "Not Sure" Responses

On many of the questions in the survey, respondents may answer "no opinion" or "not sure." The proportion of respondents giving this reply is shown in the full set of responses included in Appendix III. However, these responses have been removed from the analyses presented in the body of the report. In other words, most tables and graphs display only the responses from respondents who had an opinion.

#### Data Entry & Analysis

The surveys that were completed online were entered by business owners directly into an online survey service called Survey Monkey. The survey responses were downloaded from Survey Monkey and placed in the Microsoft Excel spreadsheet for analysis.

#### Reporting % of good/very good, important/very important

Although responses to many of the questions were made on a 5 point scale with 5 representing the best rating and 1 the worst, many of the results in this summary are reported using a percentage of respondents who provided a response equal to a 4 or 5 on the 5 point scale.

Average scores have been reported on a common 100 point scale where 0 is the worst possible rating and 100 is the best possible rating. If everyone reported "very good" then the result would be 100 on the 100-point scale. If the average rating was "good", then the result would be 75 on a 100-point scale; "neutral" would be 50 and "poor" would be 25. In most cases ratings have been rounded to the nearest whole number for reporting purposes.

## **Question #1: Doing Business in Saanich**

On a scale of very poor to very good, please select the rating that comes closest to your opinion for each of the following questions:

1a. How would you rat	1a. How would you rate the overall quality of life in Saanich?									
	Average score	Very Poor	Poor	Neutral	Good	Very Good	Total			
All Respondents	86	0%	1%	6%	40%	53%	100%			
Homebased business - yes	88	0%	0%	8%	33%	60%	100%			
Homebased business - no	86	0%	1%	4%	45%	49%	100%			
Number of employees										
1	88	0%	0%	6%	36%	58%	100%			
2 to 4	88	0%	0%	8%	33%	58%	100%			
5 to 9	91	0%	0%	4%	27%	69%	100%			
10 to 19	80	0%	7%	0%	60%	33%	100%			
20 to 49	80	0%	0%	10%	60%	30%	100%			
50 to 99	83	0%	0%	0%	67%	33%	100%			
100 to 199	92	0%	0%	0%	33%	67%	100%			
200 or more	88	0%	0%	0%	50%	50%	100%			
Blenkinsop	81	0%	0%	17%	42%	42%	100%			
Cadboro Bay	93	0%	0%	0%	29%	71%	100%			
Carey	86	0%	0%	6%	44%	50%	100%			
Cordova Bay	92	0%	0%	0%	31%	69%	100%			
Gordon Head	87	0%	0%	10%	31%	59%	100%			
North Quadra	85	0%	0%	10%	40%	50%	100%			
Quadra	95	0%	0%	0%	19%	81%	100%			
Royal Oak	88	0%	0%	5%	38%	57%	100%			
Rural Saanich	85	0%	0%	6%	47%	47%	100%			
Saanich Core	84	0%	0%	10%	45%	45%	100%			
Shelbourne	86	0%	0%	9%	36%	55%	100%			
Tillicum	84	0%	5%	5%	41%	50%	100%			
Valid responses	325									

1b. How would you rate Saanich as a place to operate a business?									
	Average score	Very Poor	Poor	Neutral	Good	Very Good	Total		
All Respondents	76	1%	3%	18%	46%	32%	100%		
Homebased business - yes	79	1%	1%	16%	45%	37%	100%		
Homebased business - no	74	1%	5%	20%	45%	28%	100%		
Number of employees									
1	78	2%	3%	16%	41%	39%	100%		
2 to 4	78	0%	4%	12%	54%	30%	100%		
5 to 9	85	0%	0%	12%	38%	50%	100%		
10 to 19	70	0%	0%	33%	53%	13%	100%		
20 to 49	58	0%	10%	60%	20%	10%	100%		
50 to 99	67	0%	0%	50%	33%	17%	100%		
100 to 199	58	0%	33%	0%	67%	0%	100%		
200 or more	88	0%	0%	0%	50%	50%	100%		
Blenkinsop	67	8%	8%	8%	58%	17%	100%		
Cadboro Bay	77	0%	7%	7%	57%	29%	100%		
Carey	83	0%	0%	11%	44%	44%	100%		
Cordova Bay	79	0%	8%	8%	46%	38%	100%		
Gordon Head	75	3%	0%	21%	47%	29%	100%		
North Quadra	78	0%	0%	30%	30%	40%	100%		
Quadra	83	0%	4%	11%	33%	52%	100%		
Royal Oak	73	0%	3%	30%	41%	27%	100%		
Rural Saanich	75	0%	0%	24%	53%	24%	100%		
Saanich Core	77	0%	6%	16%	39%	39%	100%		
Shelbourne	82	0%	0%	14%	45%	41%	100%		
Tillicum	74	0%	5%	18%	55%	23%	100%		

Valid responses

	Average	Very				Very	
	score	Poor	Poor	Neutral	Good	Good	Total
All Respondents	69	0%	6%	30%	50%	15%	100%
•							
Homebased business - yes	70	0%	5%	32%	41%	22%	100%
Homebased business - no	66	0%	4%	31%	60%	5%	100%
Number of employees							
1	69	0%	6%	33%	40%	22%	100%
2 to 4	70	0%	4%	30%	50%	17%	100%
5 to 9	67	0%	8%	23%	62%	8%	100%
10 to 19	65	0%	7%	27%	67%	0%	100%
20 to 49	58	0%	0%	70%	30%	0%	100%
50 to 99	63	0%	0%	50%	50%	0%	100%
100 to 199	67	0%	0%	33%	67%	0%	100%
200 or more	75	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%
Blenkinsop	56	0%	8%	58%	33%	0%	100%
Cadboro Bay	70	0%	0%	29%	64%	7%	100%
Carey	68	0%	11%	17%	61%	11%	100%
Cordova Bay	75	0%	0%	23%	54%	23%	100%
Gordon Head	69	0%	8%	26%	49%	18%	100%
North Quadra	65	0%	10%	30%	50%	10%	100%
Quadra	75	0%	0%	30%	41%	30%	100%
Royal Oak	62	0%	11%	35%	49%	5%	100%
Rural Saanich	68	0%	0%	41%	47%	12%	100%
Saanich Core	69	0%	3%	35%	42%	19%	100%
Shelbourne	70	0%	5%	27%	50%	18%	100%
Tillicum	69	0%	0%	48%	29%	24%	100%
Valid responses	323						

## **Question #2: Doing Business in Saanich**

Identify 3 things that the Municipality of Saanich could do to improve your ability to conduct business in Saanich.

Complete results presented in Appendix IV starting on page 50.

#### Question #3a and #3b: Saanich Services

What was your impression of the service provided by t he Saanich employee in your most recent contact? Please indicate your level of <u>agreement</u> and <u>importance</u> with each of the following statements:

	Average score	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total
All Respondents	79	1%	4%	14%	39%	41%	100%
Homebased business -							
yes Homebased business -	80	1%	4%	12%	39%	44%	100%
no	75	2%	4%	20%	39%	34%	100%
Number of employees							
1	81	2%	5%	9%	36%	48%	100%
2 to 4	79	0%	2%	17%	42%	39%	100%
5 to 9	79	0%	4%	23%	27%	46%	100%
10 to 19	68	7%	7%	14%	50%	21%	100%
20 to 49	68	0%	0%	40%	50%	10%	100%
50 to 99	71	0%	17%	17%	33%	33%	100%
100 to 199	83	0%	0%	0%	67%	33%	100%
200 or more	75	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%
Blenkinsop	59	9%	18%	18%	36%	18%	100%
Cadboro Bay	80	0%	7%	7%	43%	43%	100%
Carey	82	0%	0%	17%	39%	44%	100%
Cordova Bay	85	0%	0%	0%	58%	42%	100%
Gordon Head	83	0%	5%	8%	36%	51%	100%
North Quadra	78	0%	10%	10%	40%	40%	100%
Quadra	79	0%	7%	19%	26%	48%	100%
Royal Oak	72	3%	3%	25%	44%	25%	100%
Rural Saanich	75	0%	0%	35%	29%	35%	100%
Saanich Core	81	0%	0%	19%	39%	42%	100%
Shelbourne	83	0%	10%	5%	29%	57%	100%
Tillicum	76	9%	0%	9%	41%	41%	100%
Valid responses	296						

3b(a) I was treated fairly - IMPORTANCE										
` '	Average score	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total			
All Respondents	77	4%	6%	12%	32%	45%	100%			
Homebased business -		00/	<b>5</b> 0/	440/	0.407	E40/	1000/			
yes Homebased business -	81	2%	5%	11%	31%	51%	100%			
no	68	8%	12%	16%	29%	35%	100%			
Number of employees										
1	81	2%	6%	11%	30%	52%	100%			
2 to 4	77	6%	6%	10%	29%	49%	100%			
5 to 9	59	12%	24%	12%	24%	29%	100%			
10 to 19	71	0%	0%	43%	29%	29%	100%			
20 to 49	75	0%	0%	33%	33%	33%	100%			
50 to 99	75	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%			
100 to 199	75	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%			
200 or more	75	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%			
Blenkinsop	84	13%	0%	0%	13%	75%	100%			
Cadboro Bay	89	0%	0%	14%	14%	71%	100%			
Carey	83	0%	15%	0%	23%	62%	100%			
Cordova Bay	91	0%	0%	0%	38%	63%	100%			
Gordon Head	80	7%	7%	0%	29%	57%	100%			
North Quadra	92	0%	0%	0%	33%	67%	100%			
Quadra	63	17%	0%	17%	50%	17%	100%			
Royal Oak	59	12%	6%	29%	41%	12%	100%			
Rural Saanich	81	0%	0%	33%	11%	56%	100%			
Saanich Core	72	0%	22%	17%	11%	50%	100%			
Shelbourne	75	0%	15%	15%	23%	46%	100%			
Tillicum	80	0%	0%	9%	64%	27%	100%			
Valid responses	149									

3a(b) Staff are know	ledgeabl	e and co	mpetent -	AGREEM	ENT		
	Average score	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total
All Respondents	76	2%	4%	18%	42%	34%	100%
Homebased business - yes Homebased business -	78	1%	4%	15%	41%	38%	100%
no	70	4%	3%	24%	46%	23%	100%
Number of employees							
1	78	1%	6%	15%	36%	42%	100%
2 to 4	77	0%	1%	18%	52%	29%	100%
5 to 9	76	4%	0%	23%	35%	38%	100%
10 to 19	59	14%	7%	14%	57%	7%	100%
20 to 49	68	0%	0%	40%	50%	10%	100%
50 to 99	67	0%	17%	33%	17%	33%	100%
100 to 199	75	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%
200 or more	75	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%
Blenkinsop	61	0%	18%	27%	45%	9%	100%
Cadboro Bay	80	0%	0%	14%	50%	36%	100%
Carey	79	0%	0%	24%	35%	41%	100%
Cordova Bay	81	0%	0%	8%	58%	33%	100%
Gordon Head	81	0%	5%	13%	36%	46%	100%
North Quadra	78	0%	10%	10%	40%	40%	100%
Quadra	72	7%	4%	19%	33%	37%	100%
Royal Oak	71	3%	3%	16%	65%	14%	100%
Rural Saanich	74	0%	0%	35%	35%	29%	100%
Saanich Core	77	0%	3%	23%	35%	39%	100%
Shelbourne	77	0%	10%	10%	43%	38%	100%
Tillicum	73	10%	0%	19%	33%	38%	100%
Valid responses	289						

3b(b) Staff are knowled			ipetent - II	WIPOK I AN	NCE		
	Average score	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total
All Respondents	81	1%	2%	14%	37%	46%	100%
Homebased business -							
yes	84	1%	3%	10%	33%	53%	100%
Homebased business - no	74	2%	2%	24%	39%	33%	100%
Number of employees							
1	82	2%	4%	10%	34%	50%	100%
2 to 4	80	2%	0%	16%	37%	44%	100%
5 to 9	78	0%	6%	19%	31%	44%	100%
10 to 19	78	0%	0%	38%	13%	50%	100%
20 to 49	75	0%	0%	33%	33%	33%	100%
50 to 99	81	0%	0%	0%	75%	25%	100%
100 to 199	75	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%
200 or more	75	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%
Blenkinsop	89	0%	0%	0%	44%	56%	100%
Cadboro Bay	83	0%	0%	0%	67%	33%	100%
Carey	67	0%	0%	67%	0%	33%	100%
Cordova Bay	82	0%	0%	14%	43%	43%	100%
Gordon Head	84	0%	0%	13%	38%	50%	100%
North Quadra	75	0%	0%	40%	20%	40%	100%
Quadra	80	10%	0%	10%	20%	60%	100%
Royal Oak	75	6%	6%	18%	24%	47%	100%
Rural Saanich	81	0%	0%	33%	11%	56%	100%
Saanich Core	85	0%	0%	6%	50%	44%	100%
Shelbourne	72	0%	11%	11%	56%	22%	100%
Tillicum	85	0%	0%	10%	40%	50%	100%
Valid responses	143						

3a(c) Staff went the extra mile to make sure I got what I needed - AGREEMENT								
	Average score	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	
All Respondents	68	4%	9%	27%	31%	29%	100%	
Homebased business - yes	70	4%	8%	23%	31%	34%	100%	
Homebased business - no	63	4%	11%	35%	29%	21%	100%	
Number of employees								
1	72	4%	9%	19%	30%	38%	100%	
2 to 4	66	2%	10%	33%	33%	23%	100%	
5 to 9	66	4%	8%	40%	16%	32%	100%	
10 to 19	63	13%	0%	27%	40%	20%	100%	
20 to 49	53	0%	20%	50%	30%	0%	100%	
50 to 99	54	0%	33%	33%	17%	17%	100%	
100 to 199	75	0%	0%	33%	33%	33%	100%	
200 or more	75	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	
Blenkinsop	48	18%	18%	18%	45%	0%	100%	
Cadboro Bay	68	0%	14%	21%	43%	21%	100%	
Carey	71	0%	6%	33%	33%	28%	100%	
Cordova Bay	71	0%	8%	25%	42%	25%	100%	
Gordon Head	74	3%	10%	21%	23%	44%	100%	
North Quadra	73	0%	10%	20%	40%	30%	100%	
Quadra	67	7%	7%	26%	30%	30%	100%	
Royal Oak	62	3%	11%	39%	31%	17%	100%	
Rural Saanich	65	12%	0%	35%	24%	29%	100%	
Saanich Core	68	0%	7%	40%	27%	27%	100%	
Shelbourne	70	5%	14%	14%	29%	38%	100%	
Tillicum	69	9%	9%	18%	23%	41%	100%	
Valid responses	282							

, ,	Average	Strongly				Strongly	
	score	disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Agree	Total
All Respondents	64	9%	10%	24%	30%	27%	100%
Homebased business -							
yes	66	8%	10%	24%	27%	31%	100%
Homebased business - no	59	10%	14%	24%	33%	18%	100%
Number of employees							
1	60	13%	9%	22%	35%	20%	100%
2 to 4	66	7%	13%	27%	18%	36%	100%
5 to 9	46	17%	25%	33%	8%	17%	100%
10 to 19	82	0%	0%	29%	14%	57%	100%
20 to 49	70	0%	0%	20%	80%	0%	100%
50 to 99	55	0%	20%	40%	40%	0%	100%
100 to 199	75	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%
200 or more	75	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%
Blenkinsop	79	0%	0%	33%	17%	50%	100%
Cadboro Bay	72	13%	0%	13%	38%	38%	100%
Carey	68	0%	14%	29%	29%	29%	100%
Cordova Bay	64	11%	11%	22%	22%	33%	100%
Gordon Head	62	24%	0%	12%	35%	29%	100%
North Quadra	65	0%	0%	40%	60%	0%	100%
Quadra	42	0%	46%	46%	0%	8%	100%
Royal Oak	59	6%	18%	24%	41%	12%	100%
Rural Saanich	60	20%	0%	30%	20%	30%	100%
Saanich Core	64	9%	9%	27%	27%	27%	100%
Shelbourne	63	17%	0%	17%	50%	17%	100%
Tillicum	73	0%	14%	21%	21%	43%	100%
Valid responses	133						

3a(d) I waited a reasonable amount of time at the service location - AGREEMENT										
	Average score	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total			
All Respondents	72	3%	4%	24%	41%	28%	100%			
Homebased business -	7.5	00/	00/	100/	400/	000/	1000/			
yes Homebased business - no	75 66	3% 3%	2% 7%	19% 33%	42% 37%	33% 21%	100% 100%			
Homebased business - no	00	3%	7 70	33%	3/70	2170	100%			
Number of employees										
1	75	3%	3%	20%	38%	36%	100%			
2 to 4	73	4%	2%	22%	45%	28%	100%			
5 to 9	74	0%	0%	36%	32%	32%	100%			
10 to 19	62	7%	7%	27%	53%	7%	100%			
20 to 49	58	0%	10%	60%	20%	10%	100%			
50 to 99	50	0%	33%	33%	33%	0%	100%			
100 to 199	75	0%	0%	33%	33%	33%	100%			
200 or more	75	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%			
Blenkinsop	64	9%	0%	18%	73%	0%	100%			
Cadboro Bay	82	0%	0%	14%	43%	43%	100%			
Carey	75	0%	0%	33%	33%	33%	100%			
Cordova Bay	73	8%	8%	0%	50%	33%	100%			
Gordon Head	75	3%	3%	23%	36%	36%	100%			
North Quadra	75	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	100%			
Quadra	77	4%	0%	19%	41%	37%	100%			
Royal Oak	65	6%	8%	28%	39%	19%	100%			
Rural Saanich	75	0%	0%	29%	41%	29%	100%			
Saanich Core	67	0%	3%	40%	43%	13%	100%			
Shelbourne	73	0%	14%	19%	29%	38%	100%			
Tillicum	69	9%	0%	27%	32%	32%	100%			
Valid responses	287									

3b(d) I waited a reason	nable am	ount of ti	me at the	service lo	cation -	IMPORTA	ANCE
	Average score	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total
All Respondents	58	11%	17%	21%	32%	20%	100%
Homebased business - yes	58	12%	18%	18%	30%	23%	100%
Homebased business - no	58	11%	13%	26%	36%	15%	100%
Number of employees							
1	52	17%	24%	12%	29%	19%	100%
2 to 4	62	5%	16%	26%	33%	21%	100%
5 to 9	64	13%	6%	13%	50%	19%	100%
10 to 19	53	20%	10%	30%	20%	20%	100%
20 to 49	33	0%	67%	33%	0%	0%	100%
50 to 99	50	25%	0%	25%	50%	0%	100%
100 to 199	50	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	100%
200 or more	88	0%	0%	0%	50%	50%	100%
Blenkinsop	71	17%	0%	0%	50%	33%	100%
Cadboro Bay	60	0%	40%	0%	40%	20%	100%
Carey	57	29%	0%	14%	29%	29%	100%
Cordova Bay	71	0%	14%	29%	14%	43%	100%
Gordon Head	50	7%	33%	20%	33%	7%	100%
North Quadra	50	0%	50%	0%	50%	0%	100%
Quadra	48	14%	29%	14%	36%	7%	100%
Royal Oak	43	12%	29%	35%	24%	0%	100%
Rural Saanich	59	18%	9%	18%	27%	27%	100%
Saanich Core	68	7%	7%	29%	21%	36%	100%
Shelbourne	75	10%	10%	0%	30%	50%	100%
Tillicum	55	18%	0%	36%	36%	9%	100%
Valid responses	124						

3a(e) I was informed	of every	thing I ha	d to do to	get the s	ervice -	AGREEM	ENT
	Average score	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total
All Respondents	74	3%	5%	21%	36%	35%	100%
Homebased business - yes Homebased business -	76	2%	4%	19%	36%	39%	100%
no	68	3%	8%	29%	34%	26%	100%
Number of employees							
1	77	3%	5%	17%	31%	44%	100%
2 to 4	73	2%	5%	22%	41%	30%	100%
5 to 9	70	4%	0%	38%	29%	29%	100%
10 to 19	68	0%	13%	20%	47%	20%	100%
20 to 49	63	0%	0%	60%	30%	10%	100%
50 to 99	63	0%	33%	17%	17%	33%	100%
100 to 199	83	0%	0%	0%	67%	33%	100%
200 or more	75	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%
Blenkinsop	68	9%	0%	18%	55%	18%	100%
Cadboro Bay	79	0%	7%	14%	36%	43%	100%
Carey	75	0%	6%	22%	39%	33%	100%
Cordova Bay	81	0%	8%	8%	33%	50%	100%
Gordon Head	77	0%	5%	23%	31%	41%	100%
North Quadra	70	10%	10%	10%	30%	40%	100%
Quadra	75	8%	4%	19%	19%	50%	100%
Royal Oak	67	0%	9%	34%	37%	20%	100%
Rural Saanich	63	6%	0%	41%	41%	12%	100%
Saanich Core	74	0%	7%	23%	37%	33%	100%
Shelbourne	76	0%	10%	14%	38%	38%	100%
Tillicum	74	9%	0%	18%	32%	41%	100%
Valid responses	285						

3b(e) I was informed	of every	thing I ha	d to do to	get the s	ervice -	IMPORT <i>A</i>	NCE
	Average	Strongly				Strongly	
	score	disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Agree	Total
All Respondents	67	11%	11%	14%	29%	35%	100%
Homebased business - yes Homebased business -	65	10%	12%	17%	31%	31%	100%
no	71	9%	9%	15%	28%	40%	100%
Number of employees							
1	61	15%	8%	21%	32%	25%	100%
2 to 4	71	5%	14%	14%	26%	40%	100%
5 to 9	78	10%	10%	0%	20%	60%	100%
10 to 19	68	10%	10%	20%	20%	40%	100%
20 to 49	50	25%	25%	0%	25%	25%	100%
50 to 99	50	25%	0%	25%	50%	0%	100%
100 to 199	88	0%	0%	0%	50%	50%	100%
200 or more	75	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%
Blenkinsop	69	0%	22%	11%	33%	33%	100%
Cadboro Bay	82	0%	0%	14%	43%	43%	100%
Carey	75	0%	17%	0%	50%	33%	100%
Cordova Bay	63	25%	0%	13%	25%	38%	100%
Gordon Head	64	10%	10%	19%	38%	24%	100%
North Quadra	44	50%	0%	0%	25%	25%	100%
Quadra	61	9%	27%	9%	18%	36%	100%
Royal Oak	61	25%	6%	13%	13%	44%	100%
Rural Saanich	72	0%	13%	25%	25%	38%	100%
Saanich Core	60	17%	17%	8%	25%	33%	100%
Shelbourne	73	10%	0%	10%	50%	30%	100%
Tillicum	70	0%	10%	30%	30%	30%	100%
Valid responses	126						

3a(f) I can easily access any Saanich service that I need for my business - AGREEMENT

	Average score	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total
All Respondents	72	2%	5%	28%	34%	31%	100%
Homebased business - yes	72	2%	4%	29%	33%	32%	100%
Homebased business - no	69	1%	8%	32%	34%	25%	100%
Number of employees							
1	74	3%	3%	27%	30%	37%	100%
2 to 4	70	1%	2%	34%	39%	24%	100%
5 to 9	76	0%	0%	31%	35%	35%	100%
10 to 19	60	7%	13%	27%	40%	13%	100%
20 to 49	53	0%	30%	40%	20%	10%	100%
50 to 99	58	0%	33%	17%	33%	17%	100%
100 to 199	67	0%	33%	0%	33%	33%	100%
200 or more	75	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%
Blenkinsop	56	8%	17%	33%	25%	17%	100%
Cadboro Bay	77	0%	0%	29%	36%	36%	100%
Carey	75	0%	0%	28%	44%	28%	100%
Cordova Bay	83	0%	0%	17%	33%	50%	100%
Gordon Head	71	3%	10%	31%	15%	41%	100%
North Quadra	83	0%	0%	20%	30%	50%	100%
Quadra	71	0%	4%	33%	37%	26%	100%
Royal Oak	63	3%	11%	33%	39%	14%	100%
Rural Saanich	68	6%	0%	35%	35%	24%	100%
Saanich Core	74	0%	3%	26%	42%	29%	100%
Shelbourne	74	0%	10%	24%	29%	38%	100%
Tillicum	68	5%	0%	36%	36%	23%	100%
Valid responses	291						

3b(f) I can easily access any Saanich service that I need for my business - IMPORTANCE

IMPORTANCE							
	Average score	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total
All Respondents	72	7%	6%	19%	28%	39%	100%
Homebased business - yes	73	8%	6%	14%	28%	44%	100%
Homebased business - no	71	3%	6%	28%	28%	34%	100%
Number of employees							
1	74	5%	8%	19%	23%	45%	100%
2 to 4	68	11%	5%	15%	38%	31%	100%
5 to 9	87	0%	0%	13%	27%	60%	100%
10 to 19	71	0%	8%	38%	15%	38%	100%
20 to 49	70	20%	0%	20%	0%	60%	100%
50 to 99	63	0%	17%	33%	33%	17%	100%
100 to 199	75	0%	0%	33%	33%	33%	100%
200 or more	75	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%
Blenkinsop	82	0%	0%	29%	14%	57%	100%
Cadboro Bay	68	10%	0%	20%	50%	20%	100%
Carey	88	0%	0%	8%	33%	58%	100%
Cordova Bay	67	0%	22%	22%	22%	33%	100%
Gordon Head	70	4%	11%	19%	33%	33%	100%
North Quadra	85	0%	0%	20%	20%	60%	100%
Quadra	68	20%	5%	5%	25%	45%	100%
Royal Oak	76	0%	0%	29%	38%	33%	100%
Rural Saanich	71	8%	8%	31%	0%	54%	100%
Saanich Core	70	5%	10%	24%	24%	38%	100%
Shelbourne	80	0%	7%	14%	29%	50%	100%
Tillicum	62	13%	7%	27%	27%	27%	100%
Valid responses	182						

## Questions #4a, #4b, #5a and #5b: Transportation and Public Safety

As a business owner in Saanich, how do you rate your <u>satisfaction</u> and <u>importance</u> of the following local government services:

AVERAGE - All Services	% Satisfied or Very Satisfied	% Important T7%	% Difference -6%	Mean: Satisfaction (out of 100)	Mean: Importance (out of 100)	Respon se Rate: Satisfact ion*	Response Rate: Importance*
As 9 Ah Transportation of year	ur quotomoro o	and staff to a	and from				
4a & 4bTransportation of your place of business	our customers a	iiiu Staii, to a	iliu ilolli				
Ease of pedestrian travel	62%	66%	-3%	71	71	95%	94%
Ease of travel by bicycle	59%	60%	-1%	68	66	95%	94%
Ease of travel by bus	68%	64%	4%	71	71	94%	94%
Ease of travel by car	79%	88%	-9%	79	89	94%	94%
Parking control and enforcement	58%	60%	-2%	66	69	94%	94%
5a & 5b Public safety of you business	ır customers an	d staff at yo	ur place of				
Crime prevention programs	69%	90%	-20%	74	89	93%	92%
Police services	81%	94%	-13%	80	91	93%	92%
Police presence and visibility	69%	85%	-16%	74	85	92%	91%
Fire services	88%	92%	-4%	85	91	93%	92%
Fire safety inspections for businesses	86%	74%	12%	84	77	92%	92%
Emergency preparedness	62%	76%	-15%	71	79	93%	91%
Hazardous materials response services	62%	67%	-5%	72	72	93%	91%

<sup>\*</sup> Response rates include N/A responses

**Question #6:** From a business perspective, what do you think are the three biggest challenges that Saanich will face in the next 5 years? Complete results presented in Appendix IV starting on page 54.

#### Question #7: Sources of Revenue

# 7. In the future, which of the following revenue sources do you feel Saanich should rely on:

	Avg. Score (out of 3)	Rely Less (Score: 1)	Same	Rely More (Score: 3)	Total	Valid Responses
a. Property taxation	1.8	26%	69%	6%	100%	280
<b>b.</b> User fees	2.4	7%	48%	46%	100%	279
c. Reserves or savings	2.0	19%	64%	17%	100%	279
d. Government grants	2.4	9%	46%	45%	100%	276
e. Borrowing	1.6	45%	51%	5%	100%	276

#### Question #8 - Overall Value

## 8a. I receive good value for the municipal taxes I pay:

	Average score	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total
All Respondents	58	5%	12%	38%	38%	8%	100%
Harrist and book and a second	0.1	40/	00/	000/	4.40/	00/	1000/
Homebased business - yes		4%	8%	36%	44%	8%	100%
Homebased business - no	55	5%	16%	40%	32%	7%	100%
Number of employees							
1	63	3%	7%	37%	43%	10%	100%
2 to 4	57	6%	12%	37%	40%	5%	100%
5 to 9	65	0%	15%	23%	46%	15%	100%
10 to 19	48	0%	20%	67%	13%	0%	100%
20 to 49	30	30%	30%	30%	10%	0%	100%
50 to 99	63	0%	0%	67%	17%	17%	100%
100 to 199	58	0%	33%	0%	67%	0%	100%
200 or more	63	0%	0%	50%	50%	0%	100%
Blenkinsop	58	8%	0%	42%	50%	0%	100%
Cadboro Bay	54	14%	21%	42 % 14%	36%	14%	100%
Carey	67	0%	11%	22%	56%	11%	100%
Cordova Bay	54	8%	0%	62%	31%	0%	100%
Gordon Head	62	3%	10%	38%	36%	13%	100%
North Quadra	60	0%	20%	20%	60%	0%	100%
Quadra	59	8%	20 <i>%</i> 8%	20 % 35%	42%	0 % 8%	100%
Royal Oak	53	3%	19%	46%	42 % 27%	5%	100%
Rural Saanich	56 56	6%	19%	46% 35%	47%	0%	100%
	53						
Saanich Core	68	10%	13%	45%	19%	13%	100%
Shelbourne		0%	5%	32%	50%	14%	100%
Tillicum	60	0%	9%	45%	41%	5%	100%
Valid responses:	265						

8b. The District of S	aanich w	elcomes	business	involvem	ent		
	Average score	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total
All Respondents	60	2%	10%	43%	34%	11%	100%
Homebased business -							
yes	62	1%	8%	43%	36%	12%	100%
Homebased business -		401	100/	400/	000/	4004	1000/
no	56	4%	13%	46%	28%	10%	100%
Number of employees							
1	63	3%	7%	40%	36%	14%	100%
2 to 4	59	2%	11%	43%	36%	7%	100%
5 to 9	63	0%	8%	50%	23%	19%	100%
10 to 19	43	0%	33%	60%	7%	0%	100%
20 to 49	58	0%	10%	60%	20%	10%	100%
50 to 99	58	0%	17%	33%	50%	0%	100%
100 to 199	42	33%	0%	33%	33%	0%	100%
200 or more	75	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%
Blenkinsop	52	18%	0%	45%	27%	9%	100%
Cadboro Bay	63	0%	14%	36%	36%	14%	100%
Carey	64	0%	6%	44%	39%	11%	100%
Cordova Bay	54	0%	15%	62%	15%	8%	100%
Gordon Head	65	3%	8%	36%	36%	18%	100%
North Quadra	60	0%	10%	50%	30%	10%	100%
Quadra	60	4%	12%	38%	35%	12%	100%
Royal Oak	59	0%	11%	51%	30%	8%	100%
Rural Saanich	66	0%	0%	50%	38%	13%	100%
Saanich Core	56	6%	16%	35%	29%	13%	100%
Shelbourne	63	0%	5%	50%	36%	9%	100%
Tillicum	57	0%	19%	38%	38%	5%	100%
Valid vaananaaa.	000	I					

Valid responses: 269

8c. The District of S	aanich li	stens to I	ousiness				
	Average score	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total
All Respondents	54	4%	13%	49%	27%	6%	100%
Homebased business -							
yes	56	3%	11%	51%	29%	6%	100%
Homebased business -							
no	52	6%	16%	48%	24%	5%	100%
Number of employees							
1	57	3%	11%	49%	29%	8%	100%
2 to 4	55	5%	12%	46%	32%	5%	100%
5 to 9	59	0%	15%	46%	27%	12%	100%
10 to 19	38	13%	20%	67%	0%	0%	100%
20 to 49	45	0%	30%	60%	10%	0%	100%
50 to 99	50	0%	20%	60%	20%	0%	100%
100 to 199	42	33%	0%	33%	33%	0%	100%
200 or more	75	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%
Blenkinsop	50	18%	0%	55%	18%	9%	100%
Cadboro Bay	55	0%	21%	43%	29%	7%	100%
Carey	65	0%	6%	39%	44%	11%	100%
Cordova Bay	52	0%	15%	69%	8%	8%	100%
Gordon Head	55	3%	10%	59%	21%	8%	100%
North Quadra	55	0%	20%	40%	40%	0%	100%
Quadra	53	8%	19%	38%	23%	12%	100%
Royal Oak	53	5%	11%	54%	27%	3%	100%
Rural Saanich	59	0%	0%	63%	38%	0%	100%
Saanich Core	53	6%	16%	39%	35%	3%	100%
Shelbourne	51	10%	14%	43%	29%	5%	100%
Tillicum	56	0%	18%	50%	23%	9%	100%
Valid reenenees	004		-	•	•		

Valid responses: 264

8d. I am pleased with the overall direction that the District of Saanich is taking Average Strongly Strongly score Disagree Disagree Neutral Agree Total **Agree All Respondents** 61 1% 9% 41% 41% 8% 100% Homebased business -63 1% 8% 40% 41% 10% 100% Homebased business - no 59 1% 12% 41% 41% 4% 100% **Number of employees** 1 63 0% 7% 47% 36% 10% 100% 2 to 4 62 2% 10% 32% 49% 7% 100% 5 to 9 0% 8% 46% 12% 100% 65 35% 10 to 19 52 7% 13% 47% 33% 0% 100% 20 to 49 50 0% 30% 40% 30% 0% 100% 50 to 99 54 0% 17% 50% 33% 0% 100% 100 to 199 50 33% 0% 0% 33% 33% 100% 200 or more 75 0% 0% 0% 100% 0% 100%

18%

14%

6%

8%

5%

0%

8%

11%

6%

23%

5%

9%

36%

36%

33%

69%

50%

70%

27%

32%

41%

32%

41%

50%

45%

29%

50%

15%

34%

30%

46%

51%

41%

35%

50%

36%

0%

21%

11%

8%

8%

0%

19%

3%

6%

10%

5%

5%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

Valid responses: 272

57

64

67

56

60

58

69

60

59

58

64

0%

0%

0%

0%

3%

0%

0%

3%

6%

0%

0%

0%

Blenkinsop

Carey

Quadra

Royal Oak

Cadboro Bay

Cordova Bay

Gordon Head

North Quadra

Rural Saanich

Saanich Core

Shelbourne

Tillicum

8e. In general, I believe the District of Saanich government is doing a good job									
	Average score	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total		
All Respondents	64	1%	9%	32%	45%	12%	100%		
Homebased business -									
yes	66	2%	8%	31%	44%	15%	100%		
Homebased business -		00/	400/	0.40/	400/	00/	1000/		
no	63	0%	12%	34%	46%	8%	100%		
Number of employees									
1	67	0%	7%	32%	46%	15%	100%		
2 to 4	64	4%	10%	30%	43%	14%	100%		
5 to 9	72	0%	8%	12%	65%	15%	100%		
10 to 19	53	0%	20%	47%	33%	0%	100%		
20 to 49	55	0%	10%	60%	30%	0%	100%		
50 to 99	50	0%	17%	67%	17%	0%	100%		
100 to 199	50	0%	33%	33%	33%	0%	100%		
200 or more	75	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%		
Blenkinsop	57	9%	9%	27%	55%	0%	100%		
Cadboro Bay	61	0%	21%	29%	36%	14%	100%		
Carey	71	0%	6%	17%	67%	11%	100%		
Cordova Bay	65	0%	0%	54%	31%	15%	100%		
Gordon Head	63	3%	10%	31%	44%	13%	100%		
North Quadra	70	0%	10%	20%	50%	20%	100%		
Quadra	68	0%	8%	31%	42%	19%	100%		
Royal Oak	64	0%	8%	32%	54%	5%	100%		
Rural Saanich	66	6%	0%	35%	41%	18%	100%		
Saanich Core	61	0%	23%	29%	29%	19%	100%		
Shelbourne	70	0%	0%	32%	55%	14%	100%		
Tillicum	63	0%	9%	41%	41%	9%	100%		

Valid responses: 273

## **Question #9: Taxes and Spending**

9. Imagine that you have \$100 to spend on the following capital projects. How would you spend it?											
	Average \$	\$0	\$1 to \$10	\$11 to \$20	\$21 to \$30	\$31 to \$40	\$41 to \$50	\$51 to \$60	\$61 to \$100		
Arts and cultural facilities	\$2.31	66%	31%	2%	0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
Bicycle infrastructure	\$6.66	24%	62%	12%	2%	0.4%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%		
Environment protection and enhancement	\$10.52	10%	65%	19%	4%	1.1%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%		
Municipal Buildings	\$4.23	33%	64%	3%	0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
Parks and trails	\$9.80	9%	66%	20%	3%	0.8%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%		
Recreation Facilities	\$9.39	14%	65%	17%	3%	0.4%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%		
Roads and traffic control	\$16.99	7%	36%	36%	14%	3.4%	2.3%	0.8%	0.4%		
Sanitary sewer system	\$10.51	12%	58%	25%	3.0%	1.1%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%		
Sidewalks	\$6.76	18%	72%	7%	2.3%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
Streetscape/beautification projects	\$4.98	29%	65%	5.7%	0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
Storm water drainage system	\$8.31	15%	71%	11.7%	3%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
Water distribution system	\$7.57	20%	68%	10.9%	1%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%		
Other	\$1.98	78%	17%	4.2%	1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
Total	\$100			•	•	•	•	1			
Valid Responses	265										
Did not respond	66										
Responses totalling >\$100	0										

Note: % frequency figures include those who did not answer any of this question

## Appendix III: Statistical Tables

9. (cont') Citizen preferences on selected capital project funding allocation: stratified by demographics												
	Arts & Cultural	Bicycle Infras.	Environ. Protect.	Munic. Build	Sewer/ Storm Drains	Water System	Street- scapes & beautif.	Parks & Trails	Sidewalks	Recreation Facil.	Roads and Traffic	Other
All respondents	\$2.31	\$6.66	\$10.52	\$4.23	\$9.80	\$9.39	\$16.99	\$10.51	\$6.76	\$4.98	\$8.31	\$7.57
Homebased business - yes	\$2.07	\$6.38	\$11.14	\$4.08	\$9.46	\$9.25	\$16.73	\$10.72	\$6.88	\$4.99	\$8.53	\$7.65
Homebased business - no	\$2.84	\$7.33	\$9.30	\$4.67	\$10.57	\$9.66	\$17.23	\$10.14	\$6.56	\$5.07	\$7.66	\$7.27
Number of employees												
1	\$2.00	\$6.92	\$11.04	\$3.92	\$9.77	\$8.58	\$16.19	\$11.20	\$7.08	\$5.00	\$9.31	\$7.34
2 to 4	\$2.41	\$5.63	\$10.72	\$4.34	\$9.70	\$11.32	\$16.29	\$10.29	\$6.28	\$5.00	\$7.35	\$8.49
5 to 9	\$1.67	\$9.17	\$9.33	\$5.38	\$10.54	\$7.00	\$17.54	\$8.83	\$7.33	\$7.54	\$6.96	\$6.17
10 to 19	\$3.07	\$8.87	\$9.47	\$4.67	\$12.60	\$11.33	\$16.53	\$9.53	\$5.40	\$3.33	\$7.47	\$5.40
20 to 49	\$3.00	\$4.20	\$9.00	\$3.20	\$8.50	\$10.00	\$31.80	\$8.00	\$4.20	\$3.50	\$6.00	\$6.00
50 to 99	\$5.00	\$3.33	\$9.17	\$3.83	\$7.50	\$7.50	\$15.00	\$11.67	\$10.83	\$2.83	\$10.83	\$9.17
100 to 199	\$3.67	\$7.33	\$5.00	\$7.67	\$8.33	\$7.67	\$11.33	\$13.00	\$7.00	\$3.67	\$11.33	\$13.00
200 or more	\$8.00	\$8.00	\$15.00	\$6.00	\$8.00	\$8.50	\$17.50	\$7.50	\$5.00	\$2.50	\$4.00	\$7.50
Blenkinsop	\$1.92	\$6.75	\$15.17	\$6.00	\$10.58	\$7.17	\$15.67	\$11.92	\$3.83	\$3.17	\$9.00	\$8.83
Cadboro Bay	\$2.69	\$7.85	\$9.15	\$3.23	\$8.46	\$7.17	\$19.00	\$9.62	\$6.69	\$3.17 \$4.85	\$8.31	\$6.31
Carey	\$1.50	\$5.83	\$12.00	\$3.28	\$10.56	\$10.11	\$19.00	\$8.78	\$8.06	\$4.65	\$7.94	\$8.72
Cordova Bay	\$0.92	\$6.08	\$7.54	\$4.08	\$8.69	\$6.92	\$10.07	\$15.31	\$5.69	\$4.00	\$12.23	\$10.08
Gordon Head	\$2.49	\$5.85	\$11.03	\$4.08 \$2.74	\$10.26	\$13.85	\$16.31	\$11.10	\$5.09 \$6.46	\$5.97	\$7.72	\$5.33
North Quadra	\$1.40	\$7.10	\$12.00	\$4.90	\$11.50	\$9.60	\$17.30	\$10.50	\$3.80	\$5.50	\$6.40	\$7.10
Quadra	\$1.04	\$5.38	\$9.88	\$4.46	\$8.54	\$8.27	\$14.92	\$11.58	\$10.15	\$5.42	\$9.65	\$8.50
Royal Oak	\$2.69	\$7.66	\$8.91	\$4.66	\$9.66	\$9.43	\$18.54	\$9.80	\$4.97	\$4.40	\$8.14	\$8.54
Rural Saanich	\$3.75	\$9.00	\$12.38	\$3.31	\$12.38	\$8.00	\$15.94	\$8.25	\$6.00	\$4.94	\$5.94	\$9.25
Saanich Core	\$2.59	\$6.03	\$9.86	\$5.14	\$9.24	\$7.93	\$22.52	\$8.76	\$6.52	\$5.76	\$7.28	\$7.10
244	Ψ2.00	Ψ0.00	Ψο.σσ	ΨΟ	Ψ0.21	Ψ7.00	ΨΕΕΙΟΕ	ψοσ	Ψ0.02	ψο., σ	Ψ	Ψ
Shelbourne	\$1.59	\$8.14	\$10.68	\$3.68	\$8.64	\$9.77	\$15.00	\$11.59	\$10.45	\$5.32	\$8.41	\$5.91
Tillicum	\$3.90	\$6.71	\$10.05	\$6.38	\$10.67	\$8.62	\$12.29	\$9.86	\$5.62	\$4.76	\$9.10	\$7.67

## 10. If faced with realistic choices, what would you advise council to do?

	Improve municipal	Same or reduced	Reduced level of	
	services with	services taxes	services	No
	higher taxes	unchanged	lower taxes	opinion
All Respondents	13%	63%	15%	9%
	.=			
Homebased business - yes	15%	62%	14%	9%
Homebased business - no	8%	64%	18%	9%
Number of employees				
1	13%	65%	13%	9%
2 to 4	10%	64%	17%	10%
5 to 9	23%	54%	15%	8%
10 to 19	13%	53%	20%	13%
20 to 49	0%	50%	40%	10%
50 to 99	0%	83%	17%	0%
100 to 199	33%	67%	0%	0%
200 or more	0%	100%	0%	0%
Blenkinsop	17%	67%	17%	0%
Cadboro Bay	0%	64%	29%	7%
Carey	17%	56%	17%	11%
Cordova Bay	8%	85%	8%	0%
Gordon Head	10%	64%	23%	3%
North Quadra	10%	80%	10%	0%
Quadra	19%	35%	31%	15%
Royal Oak	11%	65%	14%	11%
Rural Saanich	6%	76%	6%	12%
Saanich Core	13%	61%	10%	16%
Shelbourne	18%	64%	5%	14%
Tillicum	18%	68%	9%	5%
Valid Responses: 272				

Valid Responses: 272

## 11. Types of computer technology products and services currently used

	%
Have a website	63%
Use ecommerce (selling, ordering)	35%
Use the internet to research business related information	87%
Provide wifi to customers at no charge	9%
Provide wifi to customers for a fee	1%
Use wifi on premises to conduct business	40%
Use social media (Facebook, Twitter, etc.) to communicate with our customer base	40%
Use online map services (Google Maps, Saanich GIS)	67%
Use mobile devices (tablets, smartphones, etc.) and mobile apps	63%
Use collaboration tools (email, video chat, instant messenger, Skype, etc.)	68%
Other	7%
Valid responses	268

12. Importance of the following in the next 18 months:

	Avg. Score (out of 5)	Strongly Disagree (Score: 1)	Disagree (2)	Neutral (3)	Agree (4)	Strongly Agree (5)	Total	Valid Responses
a. connection to the internet	4.6	5%	2%	4%	5%	84%	100%	270
b. Providing wifi access to customers	1.5	60%	10%	17%	5%	9%	100%	262
c. Mobile computing (with laptops, tablets, smartphones, etc.)	3.7	13%	4%	14%	19%	49%	100%	266
d. Access to local business related online resources (Saanich website, Google calendars, etc.)	3.8	9%	5%	19%	26%	41%	100%	269
e. Using technology for marketing and communications	3.7	11%	9%	16%	21%	44%	100%	267
f. Online business delivery	3.4	10%	9%	24%	27%	30%	100%	266
g. Business and computer technology guidance	3.0	16%	10%	27%	24%	23%	100%	263

Questions #13 and #14 are included in the open-ended response Appendix IV.

Questions #15, #16 and #17 data was used to produce statistical tables – demographic results are shown in Appendix I: Respondent Characteristics.

18. Interest level in each of the following:

	Avg. Score (out of 5)	Strongly Disagree (Score: 1)	Disagree (2)	Neutral (3)	Agree (4)	Strongly Agree (5)	Total
a. Information on green computing practices	2.7	29%	11%	21%	20%	19%	100%
<ul> <li>b. Connecting with other</li> <li>businesses for cost sharing</li> <li>or bulk group purchasing of</li> <li>products and services</li> </ul>	2.3	41%	15%	17%	11%	16%	100%
c. Connecting with other businesses for the purpose of cross-selling or cross- promoting products and services	2.9	28%	10%	21%	19%	22%	100%
d. Sharing business experience and knowledge within the local community	2.9	21%	12%	28%	19%	19%	100%
e. Publishing information about local community events (Business Event calendar)	2.9	25%	14%	21%	21%	18%	100%
f. Connecting with local educational organizations on student work placement	2.4	38%	14%	19%	16%	12%	100%

- 19. Contact information requested from those businesses interested in working on initiatives arising from this survey. The number of responses was 52.
- 20. Area of operation information was used to produce statistical tables.
- 21. Postal code information was used to verify business operation area.

#### 22. Comments

**Note:** The comments provided below are exactly as submitted by the survey respondent with the exception of personal information data – no editing has taken place unless it was to remove names, phone numbers and addresses.

- All my work is done in clients home or place of work, my office is for adminstration only. Clients very rarely visit my office. It's the nature of my work.
- Coming from Municipal Government there were far too many typos in this survey. Once section of the survey did not accept the check marks.
- Do a spell check. Question 3 unable to flag same rating not asked to prioritize.
- Do not allow Haro Woodlands to be a sewage treatment area and do not swap the land with the CRD
- excellent cell phone coverage is critical to our business.
- Good job on this informative survey!
- · How will we know if we won anything?
- I am pleased that we have a garden waste disposal at no cost to Saanich residence; no fees for garbage collection that Victoria residence has to pay; like the recycling depot on Glanford. Saanich is great place to live.
- I find this questionnaire a most worthwhile initiative.
- I have been impressed with my dealing with Saanich so far. I hope it continues as I grow my business.
- I think by in large Frank has been a good leader for Saanich; but I do think that the Greater Victoria municipalities do need to amalgamate to serve the people of GV to the highest and most cost efficient manner.
- I think this survey is a very good idea, however I was at a loss to come up with some suggestions. I am a home based business with a very specific specialized focus and a lot of the questions did not apply to me. Thank you for including me in the survey.
- I would like it if all councils (not just Saanich, but provincial and federal) would look for ways to STOP spending money and reduce taxes not just looking for more ways to spend more money and how to flummox the taxes through to pay for it all. Everybody should take a pay cut and a reduction of their pension and benefits plans. The people that you sent this survey to don't have those cushy pensions. Amalgamation with the surrounding communities should be high on the agenda of things to remedy. It is ridiculous to have such duplication for an area the size of Greater Victoria. Imagine what savings could be had from implementing that idea!!!!!
- Interested in seeing if Saanich will explore alternative modern-day educational possibilities
  for Saanich students. Current classroom/school learning is badly out of date! Saanich
  could be a leader in this. Parents and property owners alike could save huge amounts if we
  got rid of current school set up.
- KEEP TAXES DOWN TO ENCOURAGE BUSINESS' TO CHOOSE TO OPERATE IN SAANICH

## Appendix III: Statistical Tables

- Make all department heads responsible for cost any overruns. Losing \$500,000 on the Golf Course restaurant would have resulted in management being fired in the private sector. Keep all costs in line and deal with any potential pensions issues (shortfalls) by having employees contribute more.
- My overall dealings with this municipality have been very good.
- n/a
- Nice to see that you are interested in us, we are a small but powerful part of your tax base.
- No
- No thank you
- none
- One of the question pages could not be answered with anything but ranking 1 to 5. This did
  not agree with the question. I did not answer that page. Your IT should proof the questions
  before sending them out.
- One of the questions didn't work because each time I clicked on a field it deleted the line above it.
- One of your questions was broken (level of importance of saanich services) so I answered what I could. Thanks for the survey.
- Our family has lived in Saanich for over 100 years. It is a great place to live and work!
- overall quality of life in Saanich is very good. why saanich has to rely on borrowing for budget expenses, if it also has savings?
- Please build the bike lane on Shelboune. Getting to the Shebourne/McKenzie area on bike is totally inaccessable to commuters who bike from Downtown, Oak Bay, Fairfield and fernwood. Biking is huge in Victoria and it's a disgrace to Saanich that we don't provide the general population with an alternative to cars and buses to get to our area and use our businesses. As a business owner who bikes to work I start my day nervous and anxious from dangerously biking the Sheboune Corridor in morning traffic. I have had numerous altercations with motorists who do not understand how to share the road with cyclists. It's scary and unnecessary. The future is green! Build the bike lane and people will use it! It's a win/win situation for everyone. Single person transportation in vehicles is ridiculous, out dated and totally un-sustainable long term.
- Question 3 did not seem to behave apporpriately... there were 5 options and 6 questions, and each option could only be selected for one question... so we had to rate the 6 questions from not important to very important, and one question had to receive no score... Perhaps this is the way you wanted it to behave, but we found it a little confusing. Thanks!
- question 3 has a glitch, can only choose a particular answer once
- Question 3 in this survey doesn't work; only 1 checkmark appears and it disallows entry for others responses.
- Saanch is a great place to do business. We are paritcularly impressed with the emergency services (Police, Fire). (luckily we have not had to use the ambulance service yet) The roads are geting a wee bit like the road to Bamfield. Reducing workers is not the answer.
   Reducing wages IS! From top to bottom. (same as the non union business comunity has had to do in the last few years)
- Saanich Council shows no leadership on re-zoning process. There is little if any planning
  done by the Planning Department and neighborhood groups inevitably control the entire
  outcome. This is only marginally better than what happens in most banana republics and is
  completely retrogressive to achieving a contemporary integrated community. An
  amalgamated city would more likely take a broader view of planning issues and actually
  allow our city to reach its full potential.
- Saanich has lost a lot of contractors who taught general interest courses, because of restrictive policies and the loss of teaching space... e.g. the rooms where I used to teach have been converted to arts, daycare, and yoga, and there is nowhere suitable for big bridge classes. Every rec centre renovation has eliminated space suitable for my classes.

## Appendix III: Statistical Tables

- Saanich is a great place to live. Tax dollars could be better spent on infrastructure rather than recent "traffic calming" measures that are dangerous and are stifling traffic for local residents and businesses.
- Saanich needs a more proactive approach to building, development, planning and
  inspections. Remove redundancy in the bureaucracy and improve electronic access to
  services to reduce costs thereby maintaining current tax levels and services. Savings can
  also be found by applying more user fees and a user pay structure to reduce overall tax
  burden on those not using services or using them less. Reduce business operating costs by
  reducing commercial property taxes and streamlining business services. The number one
  complaint I hear about Saanich, from a business point of view, is red tape and taxation.
- Side walk or pathway( or even a shoulder!!!) on our West Burnside Road. Why can we have what Holland has on the one side, which is an asphalt pathway along the right of way beside the ditch. PLEASE. Thank you.
- Surveys are forced opinions. Do not use them exclusively. Many of the questions have nothing to do with me or my concerns. Please use surveys very sparingly, listen to people and pay attention.
- Synchronize the traffic lights
- thank you for giing us business owners a chance to have a voice!
- Thank you for the opportunity to participate.
- thanks
- Thanks for asking
- · Thanks for inviting me with this survey
- The idea of bringing community together in a practical way is what I see coming out in some of your questions. Thank you
- The previous question does not allow for more than one location in the municipality
- The resource of Saanich revenue does not seem very promising. We need to develop business as a main engine to generate revenue, and reduce the personal tax burden or rely on government grants. Can we explore novelty ways to make business booming?
- There was one page of the survey that, whenever I clicked an answer on one line, the
  response on the line above disappeared, so I wasn't able to answer all the questions.
- This survey is useful and intuitive, and more of these in the future are welcome.
- To me, this survey is nothing more than a tool to asssist Saanich with finding new areas for the application of fees. Saanich needs to amalgamate with other municipalities to reduce taxes and produce a fair playing field across the virtual borders
- We do not have much contact with Saanich other than buying our business license and commercial parking permit.
- will you phone or e-mail winners? or is it up to me to check?
- Your question #3 did not work properly. Did not allow the same level of satisfaction in more than one catagory. Please review and correct.

Note: Questions #3 and #5 did not function correctly on the first day of the survey. Corrections were in place on the second day.