

1110-30Business Licence
Bylaw**BUSINESS LICENCE BYLAW – HOUSEKEEPING AMENDMENT**

Three Readings of the “Business Licence Bylaw, 2002, Amendment Bylaw, 2012, No. 9197”. To include a number of housekeeping amendments.

MOVED by Councillor Wergeland and Seconded by Councillor Gerrard:
“That Bylaw No. 9197 be introduced and read”.

CARRIED

MOVED by Councillor Wergeland and Seconded by Councillor Gerrard:
“That Bylaw No. 9197 be read a second time.”

CARRIED

MOVED by Councillor Wergeland and Seconded by Councillor Gerrard:
“The Bylaw No. 9197 be now passed.”

CARRIED1110-30Animals Bylaw
Xref: Zoning
Bylaw**ANIMALS BYLAW – HOUSEKEEPING AMENDMENT TO MOVE SITING REGULATIONS TO ZONING BYLAW**

Three Readings of the “Animals Bylaw, 2004, Amendment Bylaw, 2012, No. 9188”. Housekeeping amendment to move siting regulations to Zoning Bylaw.

MOVED by Councillor Derman and Seconded by Councillor Brice: “That
Bylaw No. 9188 be introduced and read”.

CARRIED

MOVED by Councillor Derman and Seconded by Councillor Brice: “That
Bylaw No. 9188 be read a second time.”

CARRIED

MOVED by Councillor Derman and Seconded by Councillor Brice: “The
Bylaw No. 9188 be now passed.”

CARRIED1110-30

Animals Bylaw

ANIMALS BYLAW – AMENDMENT TO REQUIRE BUILDING PERMITS FOR KENNELS

Three Readings of the “Animals Bylaw, 2004, Amendment Bylaw, 2012, No. 9201”. To include an amendment to require building permits for kennels.

MOVED by Councillor Brice and Seconded by Councillor Gerrard: “That
Bylaw No. 9201 be introduced and read”.

CARRIED

MOVED by Councillor Brice and Seconded by Councillor Gerrard: “That
Bylaw No. 9201 be read a second time.”

CARRIED

MOVED by Councillor Brice and Seconded by Councillor Gerrard: “The
Bylaw No. 9201 be now passed.”

CARRIED

1110-30Zoning Bylaw
Xref: Animals
Bylaw**ZONING BYLAW – HOUSEKEEPING AMENDMENT TO INCLUDE SITING REGULATIONS FROM ANIMALS BYLAW**First Reading of the “Zoning Bylaw, 2003, Amendment Bylaw, 2012, No. 9189”.
Housekeeping amendment to include siting regulations from Animals Bylaw.**MOVED by Councillor Sanders and Seconded by Councillor Brownoff:
“That Bylaw No. 9189 be introduced and read.”****CARRIED****RESOLUTIONS FOR ADOPTION**5370-30Request for
Proposal 33/12**REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL 33/12 – MOBILE COMMAND UNIT**Report of the Director of Finance dated October 23, 2012 recommending
Council award Request for Proposal 33/12 for a Mobile Command Unit to
Safetek Emergency Vehicles for SVI Trucks in the amount of \$828,600.**MOVED by Councillor Brice and Seconded by Councillor Brownoff: “That
Council award Request for Proposal 33/12 for a Mobile Command Unit to
Safetek Emergency Vehicles for SVI Trucks in the amount of \$828,600.”****CARRIED**5370-30Request for
Proposal 46/12**REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL 46/12 - ADMIRALS ROAD PROJECT –
CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR**Report of the Director of Finance dated October 31, 2012 recommending
Council award Request for Proposal 46/12 for the Contract Administrator for
the Admirals Road Project to CMS Construction Management Services in the
amount of \$380,000 plus disbursements based on the estimated level of effort.**MOVED by Councillor Wergeland and Seconded by Councillor Gerrard:
“That Council award Request for Proposal 46/12 for the Contract
Administrator for the Admirals Road Project to CMS Construction
Management Services in the amount of \$380,000 plus disbursements
based on the estimated level of effort.”****CARRIED**1050-20Fire Dispatch
Agreement
Renewal – District
of North Saanich**DISTRICT OF NORTH SAANICH FIRE DISPATCH AGREEMENT RENEWAL**Report of the Fire Chief dated October 23, 2012 recommending Council
authorize the renewal of the Fire Dispatch Service Agreement with the District
of North Saanich for the period of January 1, 2013 to December 31, 2017.**MOVED by Councillor Derman and Seconded by Councillor Wade: “That
Council authorize the renewal of the Fire Dispatch and Communications
Services Agreement with the District of North Saanich for the period of
January 1, 2013 to December 31, 2017 as outlined in the report of the Fire
Chief dated October 23, 2012.”**In response to a question from Council, the Fire Chief stated that there were no
substantial differences between the current and proposed contracts.**CARRIED**

RECOMMENDATIONS FROM COMMITTEES

1030-30
Local Food
Procurement
Policy

DRAFT LOCAL FOOD PROCUREMENT POLICY

Recommendation from the September 20, 2012 Healthy Saanich Advisory Committee recommending Council approve the draft Local Food Procurement Policy.

MOVED by Councillor Murdock and Seconded by Councillor Derman: “That Council approve the Local Food Procurement Policy contained in the September 20, 2012 recommendation from the Healthy Saanich Advisory Committee.”

Councillor Murdock stated:

- The policy supports giving preference to local producers for procurement of food for municipal operations; it will bolster local agri-business.
- Buying from local producers reduces greenhouse gas emissions; food is fresher because it doesn't contain as many preservatives.
- Vendors and contractors must bid on services through an RFP process.
- The policy was developed in consultation with Recreation staff and included input from current vendors.
- University of Victoria has a similar policy; 40% of food procurement from local vendors is achievable.

In response to a question from Council, the Director of Planning stated that an agriculture sustainability policy may be available in early 2013.

Councillor Brice stated:

- She supports the motion and commends the committee for their work.

Councillor Sanders stated:

- She supports the motion; guidelines for procuring refreshments for meetings at Saanich should be included in this policy.

In response to a question from Council, the Chief Administrative Officer stated that local food procurement and sustainability approaches could be considered in the guidelines for Saanich meetings.

The Motion was then Put and CARRIED

REPORTS FROM MEMBERS OF COUNCIL

1200-20
Communications

“PODCASTING” COUNCIL AND COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE MEETINGS

Report from Councillor Derman dated October 25, 2012 recommending Council refer the report to staff with a request to report, as soon as possible, with a review of how Council might proceed to create live podcasts of Council and Committee of the Whole meetings, and establish indexed long term storage of podcasts that would be readily available to Council, staff and the public.

MOVED by Councillor Derman and Seconded by Councillor Murdock: “That Council refer the report from Councillor Derman dated October 25, 2012 to staff with a request to report back, with a review of how Council might proceed, to create live podcasts of Council and Committee of the Whole meetings, and establish indexed long term storage of podcasts that would be readily available to Council, staff and the public.”

Councillor Derman stated:

- Podcasting Council meetings is an extension of democracy; it would allow residents to view meetings at their convenience.
- At election time, residents and Council members could review videos of previous discussions and decisions made.
- There is a possibility of misleading information if meetings are videotaped and edited by others; implementing our own process for podcasting would ensure an accurate record of Council proceedings for future archives.

Councillor Wade stated:

- She is not opposed to the motion; minutes are available to the public and meetings are currently audiotaped.
- She is concerned about the public reviewing the video after the fact and not getting the flavour of the meeting; residents viewing podcasts will have to be aware that they may not be able to have input after the conclusion of the meeting.
- Podcasting can positively engage citizens and government; this is another way for residents to access local government information.

Councillor Gerrard stated:

- He initially did not agree with the motion; he agrees that there is the potential to edit videotaped meetings.
- If there is to be a video record, it should be within the control of our staff.

Councillor Murdock stated:

- He supports the motion; this is another way for residents to participate and engage with Council in the democratic process.

Councillor Sanders stated:

- It is valuable to have the public attend meetings; podcasting may intimidate residents from speaking at meetings.
- There may also be costs associated with podcasting, including changes to Council Chambers.
- Podcasting should be a tool that makes the municipality more open and transparent; staff should consider the impact on the public.

Councillor Brice stated:

- Although advances in technology are inevitable, she is concerned that the public may not come forward at meetings because they are being videotaped.
- Consultation and feedback from Community Associations regarding this proposal would be helpful.

Councillor Wergeland stated:

- He is concerned that podcasting may encourage lengthier deliberations by Council.

Councillor Brownoff stated:

- Confidentiality of speakers, including children, is a concern; residents may feel reluctant to come forward to speak at Council meetings knowing that they are being videotaped.
- IT issues and costs need to be reviewed.
- Podcasting would be an effective tool for Public Hearings or items such as Official Community Plan updates and the Parks and Recreation Master Plan.

Councillor Derman stated:

- He is appreciative of the suggestions from Council; staff should consider what the impact would be on public participation.
- Podcasting is not meant to deter members of the public from attending meetings or replace their attendance.

The Motion was then Put and CARRIED

Adjournment

On a motion from Councillor Derman, the meeting adjourned at 8:05 p.m.

The Meeting reconvened at 11:02 p.m.

RECOMMENDATIONS

From the Committee of the Whole Meeting held November 5, 2012

2860-35
Meadowbrook
Road

205 AND 227 MEADOWBROOK ROAD – FLOODPLAIN DEVELOPMENT PERMIT – V.I. REAL ESTATE SERVICES LTD. (CHUCK MEAGHER)

MOVED by Councillor Derman and Seconded by Councillor Brice: “That Council approve and issue Development Permit DPR00518 on Parcel A, Section 131 & 132, Lake District, Except That Part In Plan VIP83778 (205 Meadowbrook Road).”

CARRIED

2870-30
Sevenoaks
Avenue

821 SEVENOAKS ROAD – REZONING APPLICATION – WESTERN CONCEPT DEVELOPMENT LTD. (BALDEEP ATWAL)

MOVED by Councillor Brownoff and Seconded by Councillor Wergeland: “That:

1. Council reject the rezoning application for Lot 3, Block 6, Section 49, Victoria District, Plan 1178 (821 Sevenoaks Road); and
2. Council direct staff to review the Swan Lake Action Area Plan residential infill policies and provide Council with information and recommendations regarding potential impacts on the neighbourhood.”

CARRIED

6820-20
Kitchen Scraps
Recycling Pilot
Project

KITCHEN SCRAPS RECYCLING PILOT PROJECT RESULTS AND PROPOSAL FOR 2015 CURBSIDE COLLECTION SERVICE

MOVED by Councillor Wade and Seconded by Councillor Gerrard: "That Council approve, in principle, the implementation of a municipally-operated curbside collection service, with an assistance program, for kitchen scraps recycling and household garbage using standardized carts and municipal collection crews on or before January 1, 2015."

CARRIED

In Camera Motion

MOVED by Councillor Wade and Seconded by Councillor Wergeland: "That the following meeting be closed to the public as the subject matter being considered relates to personal information about identifiable individuals being considered for an appointment."

CARRIED

Adjournment

On a motion from Councillor Wergeland, the meeting adjourned at 11:10 p.m.

.....
MAYOR

I hereby certify these Minutes are accurate.

.....
MUNICIPAL CLERK

THE FOLLOWING WAS REPORTED FROM THE "IN CAMERA" COUNCIL MEETING HELD ON SEPTEMBER 17, 2012.

2710-40
Wilkinson Road

4164 WILKINSON ROAD – PROPOSED ACQUISITION

"That Council approve the acquisition of a portion of Lot 2, Block A, Section 5, Lake District, Plan 1341-A (4164 Wilkinson Road) on the terms negotiated."

DISTRICT OF SAANICH
 MINUTES OF THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE MEETING
 HELD IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBERS
 SAANICH MUNICIPAL HALL, 770 VERNON AVENUE
MONDAY, NOVEMBER 5, 2012 AT 8:07 PM

Present:

Chair: Chair Derman

Council: Mayor Leonard and Councillors Brice, Brownoff, Gerrard, Murdock, Sanders, Wade and Wergeland

Staff: Paul Murray, Chief Administrative Officer; Colin Doyle, Director of Engineering; Carrie MacPhee, Director of Legislative Services; Sharon Hvozdzanski, Director of Planning; Donna Dupas, Legislative Manager; and Lynn Merry, Senior Committee Clerk

2860-30

Rowland Avenue

3811 ROWLAND AVENUE – DEVELOPMENT PERMIT – CHARLES KIERULF, DE HOOG & KIERULF ARCHITECTS

Report of the Director of Planning dated September 20, 2012 recommending Council rescind Development Permit DPR2007-00010, and approve Development Permit DPR00513 for proposed two, four storey, 38 unit apartment buildings with underground parking under the existing RA-3 zoning; and that prior to ratification a covenant be registered to secure the construction of sidewalk, including curb and gutter, along the south side of Huxley Street from Rowland Avenue to Carey Road (in addition to the street improvements proposed for the project). Report of the Advisory Design Panel dated September 12, 2012 recommending approval of the design.

In response to questions from Council, the Director of Engineering stated that:

- Residential Parking Only (RPO) signs are posted where it has been demonstrated that there is a shortage of parking for residents.
- Surveys are taken to determine the amount of curbside parking available; usage exceeding 80% is sufficient to prompt RPO signs.
- The Administrative Traffic Committee (ATC) is currently reviewing the residential parking process.
- There was no discussion with the Ministry of Transportation regarding pedestrian access on Vernon Avenue at Carey Road.
- Accommodation of parking required by construction employees should be managed by the developer.

In response to a question from Council, the Director of Planning stated that the Terms of Reference for a report on parking requirements are being reviewed by consultants.

APPLICANT:

Mr. D. Jawl, Uptown Developments, agent for the applicant, stated:

- This application was originally scheduled for the October 22, 2012 Committee of the Whole meeting; the applicant felt that they had not done a sound job communicating with the community.
- A meeting has since been held with the community to discuss the details of the variances.

Mr. C. Kierulf, de Hoog & Kierulf Architects, stated:

- Proposed street improvements include the widening of Rowland

Avenue and Huxley Street, new sidewalks with access to bus stops, boulevard, curb and gutter.

- All ground floor units have significant yard space; the garden area includes a greenhouse and storage shed.
- In the underground parking, there will be storage lockers, bicycle storage, a work area and 82 parking stalls; 11 visitor parking spots will be located in the courtyard along with covered and secure bicycle parking.
- The applicant has committed to Built Green Silver energy efficiency standard of construction and will strive towards Built Green Gold.

Ms. B. Windjack, LADR Landscape Architects, stated:

- The Garry Oak at the corner of the property is the anchor for the landscape design.
- The design includes private gardens, greenhouse, community garden/park, benches, courtyard with permeable paving, water wall to assist with white noise, rain gardens along the property line and planting of over 60 trees and over 100 shrubs.

In response to questions from Council, Mr. C. Kierulf and Mr. D. Jawl stated:

- There are two car share vehicles available at Uptown Mall; research and consultation with BC Transit has not established motivating factors for offering transit passes to residents.
- Research into similar projects has shown 25% of available parking is being under utilized.
- The target market for this development is young professionals, empty nesters, and single parents; suites will be priced at affordable levels for this demographic.
- The type of windows is being considered to assist in reducing noise from the highway.
- Electrical conduits will be available in the underground parking garage for electric vehicles, bicycles and scooters which will be available on-demand through the sale process; there will be a row of secured storage lockers available with electrical outlets for scooter storage.
- In lieu of a Traffic Demand Management (TDM) report, the developer will provide an information manual to new owners outlining neighbourhood information and suggestions for living sustainably.
- The proposed sidewalk improvements will promote transit use for the longer term; a pathway along Highway 17 was not considered feasible due to issues with safety, slope stability, liability issues on the property line, insurance and legal issues of having a public pathway through strata property.
- The applicant is willing to register a covenant for Built Green Silver energy efficiency standard of construction, will strive towards Built Green Gold and will commit to an electrical infrastructure for electric vehicles and scooters in the underground parking garage.

PUBLIC INPUT:

Ms. J. Meglic, 3827 Rowland Avenue stated:

- She had no knowledge of community consultation; she did not receive any information on the proposed variances.
- Parking variances are a concern; developers cannot anticipate the number of cars in this development.

- The size of the project would increase the volume of traffic; the developer should consider a smaller development.

Resident, 3822 Rowland Avenue stated:

- She does not support the proposed parking variances; this development will negatively affect the character of the neighbourhood.
- Construction employees from Uptown Mall are impacting the community with increased parking and speeding.
- She hopes that signage on Huxley Street will help to alleviate the parking issue.

Ms. A. Auld, 3814 Rowland Avenue stated:

- There has been an increased traffic flow from the construction at Uptown Mall and the Carey Road development; her main concern is around safety and perhaps the developer could be requested to fund more signage.
- The development does not fit the character of the neighbourhood.

Mr. D. Manastyrski, stated:

- The applicant held a meeting with community members; 4 residents were invited to attend.
- There is a privacy issue for the houses directly across the street from the main entrance to the proposed building facing Rowland Avenue.
- Although some of the efforts of the developer are good, the buildings are too big for this space.

Resident, 3806 Rowland Avenue stated:

- This would be a great development if it were smaller; this project doubles the size of the community.
- This is a great neighbourhood; traffic is an issue turning onto Carey Road

Mr. A. Craven, 3806 Rowland Avenue stated:

- This development has too many units and vehicles for a small space; if the development were smaller, it would fit better with the character of the neighbourhood.

Ms. C. Hamill, 180 Homer Road, speaking on behalf of the Mount View Colquitz Community Association stated:

- She was the recording secretary at meetings between the developers and local residents; she canvassed all the residents on Rowland Avenue to encourage exchange of information.
- The Mount View Colquitz Community Association does not oppose the proposed variances requested; the parking problem will be made worse by this development.
- Two hour parking signs or metered parking is required in this area to resolve the parking issues; local residents have brought forward issues including speeding cars, need for signage, street beautification and the need for assurance of on-street parking.

Ms. D. Oostland, 660 Kent Road stated:

- She does not support this application; the developer has not shown a hardship in regards to the variances.

Mr. H. Castro, 3810 Rowland Avenue stated:

- This was a quiet neighbourhood; there is chaos now because of the construction at Uptown Mall and on Carey Road.
- Speeding cars are a safety concern for pedestrians and bicyclists.

In response to questions, Mr. D. Jawl and Mr. C. Kierulf stated:

- They would commit to a covenant for the sidewalk improvements and would consider a Traffic Demand Management strategy.
- The main entrance to the building on Rowland Avenue is recessed and under a canopy; there will be no privacy issues for the houses across the street.
- The Mount View Colquitz Community Association has been their main conduit for providing information to the community; the applicant consulted with individuals in the community who had written letters through the Community Association.
- A Facebook page was set up for informational purposes and for receiving feedback; the page was updated regularly with drawings and information.
- Additional parking in the commercial parking lot at 3795 Carey Road was not considered; there may be security concerns with key fobs.
- Alternative modes of transportation have been explored and are being promoted; improvements to the front of the development and on Carey Road will encourage residents not to use their vehicles and to use alternative transportation.
- Based on market demand, there will be sufficient parking for residents and visitors; surface parking was considered but feedback from the Community Association on this was not favourable.

MOTION:

MOVED by Councillor Brice and Seconded by Councillor Brownoff: "That consideration of Development Permit DPR00513 for two, four-storey, 38 unit apartment buildings at 3811 Rowland Avenue, be postponed in order to allow the applicant to undertake additional public consultation and address the comments and concerns raised by Council at the November 5, 2012 Committee of the Whole meeting."

Mayor Leonard stated:

- He supports the motion to postpone; the applicant should consider providing a written Traffic Demand Management strategy commensurable to the parking variances.
- A commitment to Built Green Silver and for the electrical infrastructure for the underground parking garage should also be considered.
- Consideration should be given to providing transit passes to residents as part of the TDM plan.

Councillor Brownoff stated:

- She supports the motion; a TDM strategy with specifics around visitor parking, transit passes and signage for the neighbourhood should be considered.

Councillor Gerrard stated:

- Community parking and construction traffic are issues in this neighbourhood; the variance for visitor parking will cause visitors to

- park on the street.
- Community consultation should take place and allow neighbours to air their grievances.
 - The TDM plan should include transit passes being provided to residents.

Councillor Sanders stated:

- She appreciates the public expressing their concerns; community consultation should have taken place.
- With development comes long term parking issues; the TDM plan should be in writing and should match the parking variances.
- She compliments the applicant on their enhancement of the walking environment.

Councillor Wergeland stated:

- Parking is always a concern for development; the developer should consider alternative forms of transportation.
- If Saanich is serious about alternative means of transportation, the amount of parking should be reduced in developments.

Councillor Derman stated:

- He supports the motion to postpone; justification for the parking variances is required.
- He would like to see the commitments secured.
- The comparison of this development to the building in downtown Victoria is not realistic.

The Motion was then Put and CARRIED

2860-35
Meadowbrook Road

205 AND 227 MEADOWBROOK ROAD – FLOODPLAIN DEVELOPMENT PERMIT – V.I. REAL ESTATE SERVICES LTD. (CHUCK MEAGHER)

Report of the Director of Planning dated September 17, 2012 recommending Council approve Development Permit DPR00518 to permit a subdivision to adjust the common boundary between 205 and 227 Meadowbrook Road.

The applicant, Mr. C. Meagher and Mr. P. Lucie, on behalf of the applicant, stated that the floodplain is on a neighbouring property; this is a technical issue because of the location of the floodplain relative to the property line.

PUBLIC INPUT:

Nil

MOTION:

MOVED by Mayor Leonard and Seconded by Councillor Wergeland: "That it be recommended that Council approve and issue Development Permit DPR00518 on Parcel A, Section 131 & 132, Lake District, Except That Part In Plan VIP83778 (205 Meadowbrook Road)."

CARRIED

2870-30
Sevenoaks Avenue

821 SEVENOAKS ROAD – REZONING APPLICATION – WESTERN CONCEPT DEVELOPMENT LTD. (BALDEEP ATWAL)

Report of the Director of Planning dated September 10, 2012 recommending Council not approve the rezoning from RS-6 to RS-4 for a proposed two lot residential subdivision; and that staff be directed to review the Swan Lake Action Area Plan residential infill policies and provide Council with information and recommendations regarding potential impacts on the neighbourhood.

Mr. R. McNeil, McNeil Designs, for the applicants Mr. and Mrs. Atwal, stated:

- After consultation with neighbours, the option of rental suites was removed, massing of windows was changed, the height of the buildings was lowered and driveways were shortened.
- Studies were conducted regarding shadowing; a six foot fence between the rear yards will assist with privacy.
- There is a variance for the lot width which is consistent for this neighbourhood.
- There are concerns with traffic in the area but this development will not contribute to the issues.

PUBLIC INPUT:

Mr. B. Shuya, 762 Ralph Street stated:

- He does not support this project; there is too much development in this community.
- There are issues with excessive traffic; there are safety concerns because there no sidewalks and cars are parked on both sides of the road.
- The design of the proposed residences is not in character for this neighbourhood.

Mr. C. Moir, 831 Sevenoaks Road stated:

- The traffic on Sevenoaks Road is horrendous; there are no sidewalks which is a safety concern for bicyclists and pedestrians.
- She is not opposed to this project as long as the residences are not any larger and do not allow suites.

Mr. M. McArthur, 3973 Rainbow Avenue stated:

- There are traffic issues in this area.
- This design does not fit within the character of the neighbourhood.

MOTION:

**MOVED by Councillor Wade and Seconded by Councillor Sanders:
“That it be recommended that:**

- 3. Council reject the rezoning application for Lot 3, Block 6, Section 49, Victoria District, Plan 1178 (821 Sevenoaks Road); and**
- 4. Council direct staff to review the Swan Lake Action Area Plan residential infill policies and provide Council with information and recommendations regarding potential impacts on the neighbourhood.”**

Mayor Leonard stated:

- The Swan Lake Area Action Plan needs to be reviewed.
- This decision will not impede other development in the area.

Councillor Gerrard stated:

- He has concerns about the lot width variances; the lots are too small for the size of the proposed residences.

Councillor Brownoff stated:

- The lot width variances are a concern.
- This neighbourhood needs an updated traffic pattern report; future development in this area will depend on safety and the addition of sidewalks.

Councillor Wergeland stated:

- This application has been ongoing for a year; development should move ahead while Saanich is reviewing the Swan Lake Area Action Plan.

Councillor Sanders stated:

- She supports the motion; traffic patterns have increased with development.
- The design of the residences does not enhance the neighbourhood; development has to be suitable for the community.

Councillor Wade stated:

- The variances are problematic; this development is too large for the lot size.
- An RS-2 application might have been more suitable for this area.

Councillor Murdock stated:

- Rejection of this application does not preclude future consideration of development in this area.

In response to a question from Council, the Director of Planning stated that this motion would not mean that there is a moratorium on development in this area.

Councillor Derman stated:

- He has a concern with the size of the residences in relation to the size of the lots; there is a lack of distinctiveness to this design.
- The Swan Lake Area Action Plan is out of date; it doesn't envision the infrastructure that needs to be in place to support development.

The Motion was then Put and CARRIED

6820-20
Kitchen Scraps
Recycling Pilot
Project

KITCHEN SCRAPS RECYCLING PILOT PROJECT RESULTS AND PROPOSAL FOR 2015 CURBSIDE COLLECTION SERVICE

Recommendation from the September 20, 2012 Finance, Audit and Personnel Standing Committee recommending Council approve, in principle, the implementation of a Municipally-operated curbside collection service, with an assistance program, for kitchen scraps recycling and household garbage using standardized carts and municipal collection crews on or before January 1, 2015.

In response to questions from Council, the Director of Engineering stated:

- There will be a more detailed report prepared by the Finance, Audit

and Personnel Standing Committee for future consideration.

- Criteria for assistance will be established for residents who are physically unable to move their refuse to the curb; no one will be refused waste collection.
- The municipality will go to tender for the totes; the cost of the totes will be included in user fees.
- Feedback was received via survey in regards to the size of the totes; there is no intention on behalf of the municipality to replace lost totes for free.

MOTION: **MOVED by Councillor Wade and Seconded by Councillor Brownoff: "That it be recommended that Council approve, in principle, the implementation of a municipally-operated curbside collection service, with an assistance program, for kitchen scraps recycling and household garbage using standardized carts and municipal collection crews on or before January 1, 2015."**

CARRIED

MOTION: **MOVED by Councillor Brice and Seconded by Councillor Derman: "That the meeting extend past 11:00 p.m."**

CARRIED

6840-20
Carey Road

4146 CAREY ROAD – REQUEST FOR INCLUSION IN SEWER SERVICE AREA

Report of the Director of Engineering dated September 26, 2012 recommending Council approve inclusion of 4146 Carey Road in the Sewer Service Area.

MOTION: **MOVED by Councillor Gerrard and Seconded by Councillor Brownoff: "That the application to include Lot N1, Block 1, Section 82, Lake District, Plan 1704 (4146 Carey Road) in the Sewer Service Area be approved."**

CARRIED

Adjournment On a motion from Councillor Wade, the meeting adjourned at 11:01pm.

.....
CHAIR

I hereby certify these Minutes are accurate

.....
MUNICIPAL CLERK