AGENDA
ENVIRONMENT AND NATURAL AREAS ADVISORY COMMITTEE
Wednesday, September 19, 2018, 5:30– 7:30 PM
Committee Room 2, Municipal Hall

---

1. **ADOPTION OF MINUTES** (attachment)
   - Adoption of April 18, 2018 minutes

2. **CUTHBERT HOLMES PARK – BERM/SEDIMENT FENCE UPDATE**

3. **ENA AWARDS UPDATE**

4. **NATIVE POLLINATOR HABITAT RESTORATION GUIDE** – (attachment)
   - Member item – Discussion

5. **NEW BUSINESS**

*** Adjournment ***
*** * Next Meeting: October 17, 2018 * ***
Please email jeff.keays@saanich.ca or call at 475-1775 ext. 3430 if you are not able to attend.

GO GREEN! MEMBERS ARE ENCOURAGED TO BRING THEIR OWN MUG TO THE MEETING

MINUTES
ENIRONMENTAL AND NATURAL AREAS ADVISORY COMMITTEE
Held at Saanich Municipal Hall, Committee Room #2
April 18, 2018 at 5:30 p.m.

Present: Chair: Councillor Leif Wergeland

Members: Kevin Brown, Mary Haig-Brown, Roberta Hesselgrave, George Klima, Ryan Senechal, Carmel Thomson.

Staff: Adriane Pollard, Manager Environmental Services; Rebecca Newlove, Manager of Sustainability; Gary Darrah, Manager Park Planning & Development; Maggie Baynham, Senior Sustainability Planner and Jeff Keays, Committee Clerk

Regrets: Al-Nashir Charania

MINUTES

MOVED by R. Senechal and Seconded by M. Haig-Brown, "That the minutes of the Environmental and Natural Areas Advisory Committee meeting held March 21, 2018 be adopted as circulated."

CARRIED

ADDITIONAL ITEMS

The Manager of Environmental Services asked the Chair if they would consider two additional information updates as part of the evening's agenda. The Chair provided their concurrence. The additional updates will be:

- Saanich Commonwealth Place – Mechanical Upgrades
- ENA Awards – Special Meeting Date.

HARO WOODS

Gary Darrah, Manager Park Planning provided the committee with an update on the status of the Draft Haro Woods Park Management Plan including the following highlights:

- The project was initiated in 2016.
- There was considerable public participation in the development of the vision and draft plan.
- Goals for the draft plan are organized into three main themes:
  - Recreation
  - Protection
  - Access/Connection
- Finnerty Creek's riparian area needs to be restored.
  - Intense storm water events are causing erosion and downstream deposits.
  - Parks staff will need to undertake a hydrological study to better understand the issue and develop mitigation/restoration plan.
- Difficult issues remain since last committee update:

    - Biking – Cyclists building trails and jumps is a problem.
    - Parks staff and members of the Advisory Group met with representatives from the cycling community.
    - Riders are diverse group from young kids to older adults.
    - They care about pedestrians and the environment.
    - Riding has been going on in the park for many years.
    - The cycling community would grateful if allowed to use specific areas.
    - Not all mountain biking is the same. Type of riding taking place in the woods is free riding which is a combination of downhill trail riding and dirt jumping. Each requires different terrain.
    - Haro Woods is attractive to these riders due to the long gentle downhill slope and varied terrain.
    - Biking does not comply with park's zoning (P4N).
- Can Biking be managed?
    - Management practices and tools include (but not limited to):
        - Separate areas for downhill and a dirt jumping.
        - Establish a group of biking volunteers to work in partnership with Parks' staff on common interests.
        - Jumps allowed in designated areas only.
        - Delineate cycling areas with signage, split rail fencing, and marker posts.
- Trails – The existing trail network is ineffective and confusing to visitors.
    - Community wants to minimize number of trails, their width and the amount of gravel surface.
    - Existing trails are based on desire lines, and are classified rustic multi-use (bare earth).
    - Approximately 68 m of new trails are required to connect loops.
    - 260 m of speciality bike trails are being considered.
- Staff have developed an options matrix for accommodating biking. Options include:
    - Do nothing
    - Allow 'biking' area N-E lot
    - Allow 'bike run' in N-W corner
    - Allow both biking features
    - Prohibit everywhere
- The matrix presents the pros and cons for each option.
- Staff do not wish to prohibit recreational and family cycling in the park, not the intent.

Committee discussion followed the presentation, the following comments are highlighted:

- Cycling can play a role in helping youth connect with nature.
- Perfect opportunity to develop a space for youth to recreate and enjoy nature in an urban setting.
- Cycling gets youth outdoors. Providing them a dedicated space will encourage participation.
- Developing designated areas for both downhill and dirt jumping makes sense.
- Signage will help minimize risk pedestrians and in particular, kids.
- Dedicated space is key. Giving the bike community a designated space meets their needs and mitigates current issues.

- Use of logs as barriers along the trails is appropriate.
- Pleased staff have presented a compromise to the biking issue.
- Developing a relationship with the biking community is important.
- Developing and fostering stewardship amongst biking community, while at the same time developing appropriate amenities is key to minimizing ongoing degradation to the forest ecosystem.
- The Surrey model of having staff provide jump building materials would help minimize disruptive and damaging practices of jump building.
- The CRD is required to maintain public access across their property as specified by the land sales/transfer agreement with Saanich.
- Attenuation tank project is slated for 2019.
- CRD not keen on cycling facilities being built over the attenuation tanks. The area is not considered parkland.
- CRD is not opposed to post-construction discussions for trail development in areas outside the underground attenuation tank area.
- Staff could work with the CRD to develop a plan for the entire area.
- Management plan will not address the issues pertaining to storm water management. Staff will undertake a hydrological study.
- If designated areas are pursued staff should work with the existing biking community in the construction of new jumps and amenities – use discretion, have them do some of the work.

The Chair thanked staff for their presentation, and noted that there was consensus amongst the membership for staff to move forward with a management plan that accommodates cycling.

ELECTRIC VEHICLE CHARGING STATION

The Senior Sustainability Planner provided the committee with an overview of the Electric Vehicle Charging Strategy. The following highlights are noted:

- Council adopted the September 21, 2017 Motion from Planning, Transportation and Economic Development Advisory Committee at their January 8, 2018 meeting.
- Transportation accounts for 2/3 of Saanich's emissions.
- There are currently three types of charging infrastructure:
    - L1 – 120 V (8-12 hrs. full charge) = $500 retrofit cost
    - L2 – 240 V (4-6 hrs. full charge) = $2,500 - $15,000
    - DCFC – Variable DC Voltage (30 mins for 80% charge) = $75,000
- EV owners charge their vehicles at home over 90% of the time.
- With batteries and range increasing, L2 is preferred for performance and consumer expectation.
- EV sales are up 53% in BC from 2016.
- EV sales represent 2% of all car sales in BC.
- Latent demand for EVs (as portion of market share) is primarily constrained by home charging access.
- Good policies can increase the EV market share.
- Benefits of Electric Vehicles:
    - Five times more efficient
    - Lower fuels costs
    - Decreasing battery costs

- Less maintenance
- Numerous BC municipalities have EV Bylaws.
- A study conducted by the City of Richmond found that L2 4-Way Load Managed charging system has the best performance for the least cost across all building types.
- Next steps:
  - Collaboration on Capital Region EV and E-Bike Infrastructure Planning Project
  - Council Check-in Q3.

BC ENERGY STEP CODE RECOMMENDATION

The Manager of Sustainability provided the committee with an update on the current status of the BC Energy Step Code project and presented the draft recommendation. The following highlights are noted:

- Feedback from the first phase of engagement and the proposed approach were presented to Council in January 2018. Staff received council direction to engage industry in a second phase of engagement on the proposed approach
- Phase 2 was initiated with a number of engagement events, the purpose was to seek feedback from the industry representatives on the proposed approach to implementation.
- Phase 2 engagement results included (but not limited to):
  - Support for an interim Step 1 period (learning opportunity)
  - General agreement to move from Step 1 to Step 3.
  - Some concerns about cost and affordability.
  - Mixed feedback on lead-in time for Step 3 - particularly Part 3 buildings
  - General support for the process and mid-construction blower door test.
  - Mixed feedback on proposed rebate.
  - Desire for Energy labelling to communicate the benefits.
  - Timeline for Step 3 viewed too fast for some (Step 3 for part 3 high rise concrete and commercial).
- There were potential design and cost implications for Part 3 concrete high-rise and commercial buildings meeting Step 3.
- Currently 22 BC municipalities, representing > 60% of the Provinces residential permits have given their initial notification to consult.
- 3 municipalities have given final notification (enacted).
- Following Phase 2 Industry engagement, and in response to industry feedback, staff amended the draft approach to recommend adoption of the following:

All Part 9 Excluding small SFD	Part 9 Small Single Family	Part 3 Wood-frame mid-rise residential (<6 storeys)	Part 3 Concrete high-rise residential (>6 storey's), commercial & office
Step 1 Nov 2018	Step 1 Nov 2018	Step 1 Nov 2018	Step 1 Nov 2018
Step 3 Jan 2020	Step 2 Jan 2020	Step 3 Jan 2020	Step 2 Jan 2018

- Step 1 will be a step down from our current requirements until January 2020.
- Step 3 will be similar to current requirements (20% improvement in energy efficiency vs. 15%) with a performance based approach.

- The impact of Step Code on construction costs were extensively vetted by industry and were considered as part of the 2017 Metrics Research Report, the largest energy modelling exercise for a building code in Canada.
- 15 building archetypes for Part 9 and Part 3 for all BC climate zones were tested.
- Excluding small single family dwellings, the lower steps result in a <1.1% increase in costs.
- The report did not consider operational cost savings from improved energy efficiency.
- There is an opportunity to review and update the Saanich Sustainability Statement to reference Step Code requirements and to also review the bylaws and policies to align and support higher levels of energy efficiency**.**
- Staff presented the draft recommendation (as highlighted in above chart)
- Next Steps:
    - Presentation of draft recommended approach to Planning Transportation and Economic Development Advisory Committee in May.
    - Targeting a report to Council in June 2018.

Committee discussion followed the presentation, the following highlights are noted:

- With regard to "air tightness" all new builds will employ an air exchange system.
    - A Step 3 does not reach the same "tightness" standard as passive house.
    - Impacts on air quality caused by building materials (low VOCs) is not regulated by the Step Code.
- Will the same standards apply to mass wood construction higher than 6 storey, or is this to new of a building design to include?
- Associated costs should be marketed clearly along with the optics of the program.
- A $20,000 grant from BC Hyrdo is available (application required). The City of Victoria matched the $20,000 for their rebate program through tax supported revenue.
- Potential rebate program would be limited to Step 1 and reviewed after 2 years.
- Province has advised that there is capacity within the regional labour market (Energy Consultants) to meet potential demand.

PESTICIDE BYLAW

The Manager of Environmental Services provided the committee with an update on the Pesticide Bylaw. The following highlights are noted:

- As anticipated there was little feedback or interest to the proposed updates.
- No anticipated changes to the draft amendments as presented to the committee on March 21st.

ENA AWARDS

The Manager of Environmental Services provided the committee with an update on the Environmental Awards. The following highlights are noted:

- Awards closed at 4:00 PM on April 18th
- Meeting to review nominations will be held Thursday, May 10th at 5:30PM in Committee Room 1.

SAANICH COMMONWEALTH PLACE MECHANICAL UPGRADE

The Manager of Sustainability circulated an FAQ and provided an update on the planned Biomass heating system upgrade to Saanich Commonwealth Place (SCP). The following highlights are noted:

- The District has put out an RFP for the consulting/design work of the planned Biomass heating system at SCP.
- Biomass was found to demonstrate the best business case and also offered the greatest reduction in greenhouse gas emissions.
- Facility Operations and Parks staff were heavily involved in the project.
- Fuel will be sourced from existing local supply chains of biomass (e.g. sawmills, millwork plants etc.).
- The boiler can utilize a variety of fuel types including biomass pellets, biomass chips or mixed biomass.
- Biomass is a renewable energy source, its combustion can be considered carbon neutral when certain criteria are met.
- The district aims to reduce the facility's emissions by 90%, or approximately 750 tonnes of C02 per year.
- 100% of the project's eligible costs (planning, design, construction and commissioning) up to $4 Million will be funded through a grant from the Federal Gas Tax Fund.

ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 7:30 PM

NEXT MEETING

Next meeting is scheduled for May 16, 2018.

_____

Councillor Wergeland, Chair

I hereby certify these Minutes are accurate.

_____

Committee Secretary

Figure 1. Orange rumped bumble bee (Bombus melanopygus) nectaring on salmonberry (Rubus spectabilis) along the Puyallup River, Orting, WA. Photo: Nelson Salisbury

THE NATIVE POLLINATOR HABITAT RESTORATION GUIDE

Best Management Practices for the Puget Sound lowlands

Matthew B Schwartz

Nelson Salisbury

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In memory of Dr. Sarah Reichard

Review Team

For their direct contributions and review of this work:

Emily Carlson, Operations Project Coordinator, King Conservation District

Eric Lee-Mäder, Pollinator Conservation Program Co-Director, The Xerces Society

Libby Halpin Nelson, Senior Environmental Policy Analyst, The Tulalip Tribes

Rolf Gersonde PhD, Board President, Society for Ecological Restoration NW Chapter

Susan Waters PhD, Rare Species Ecologist, Center for Natural Lands Management

Stephanie Frischie, Agronomist and Native Plant Materials Specialist, The Xerces Society

Timothy Billo PhD, Program on the Environment, University of Washington

Acknowledgements

For the tireless fundraising, Pipo Bui and Lydia Bassett (EarthCorps); dedication to native pollinator habitat restoration, Allison Rinard (The Common Acre), Cory Burke (EarthCorps), and Rory Denovan (Seattle City Light); bee photos and identification, Will Peterman (The Common Acre); butterfly photos and identification, David Droppers; the Green Seattle Partnership Field Committee; and for the vision and the bravery, Sarah Bergmann (Pollinator Pathway)

Graphic Design by Sara Toledo Davis (EarthCorps)

Contact

EarthCorps
6310 NE 74th Street, Suite 201E
Seattle, Washington 98115
www.earthcorps.org

Project Funding

KCD
King Conservation District

CONTENTS

PURPOSE

This how-to practitioner guide is a set of best management practices (BMPs) for native pollinator habitat restoration in the Puget Sound region. It is geared towards planners, land managers, restoration practitioners, farmers, gardeners, orchardists, teachers, students, and homeowners. It may be used as an educational tool for elected officials, or as a technical resource for citation in official documents, such as grant proposals.

A survey of 49 local restoration ecologists and practitioners informed the direction and contents of this guide. Part 1 provides general information about pollinators and their habitat. Parts 2-4 walk the practitioner through site level restoration considerations. Part 5 provides policy recommendations for municipalities and land managers, as well as BMPs by habitat type. Part 6 includes suggested plant lists. This guide is not comprehensive- practitioners should do further investigation of each BMP for context, as it relates to their specific site.

This guide is based on the 'set the table' concept that by actively restoring the physical structures and plant communities of an ecosystem, species diversity, network resilience, and network function can follow (Kaiser-Bunbury et al. 2017).

The Practice of Ecological Restoration

"Ecological restoration is the process of assisting the recovery of an ecosystem that has been degraded, damaged or destroyed" (SER, 2004).

This developing and dynamic field seeks to actively create a world where humans and nature thrive together. Restoration is evolving on many fronts- in scientific studies, boardrooms, classrooms, and of course, in the field. Educational guides like this one, aim to digest the diverse mix of science, practice, anecdotes, failures, and lessons learned, into a useful resource. More and more, these resources tell us that in most cases, we cannot recover nature to a historical paradise that once was. Instead, what we can 'restore' is connectivity, resiliency, and natural processes, within a context that is always changing.

We Are On Coast Salish Land

We acknowledge that all of the land and water of our region on which we practice restoration is the home of the Coast Salish tribes. With the current challenges our planet faces, we recognize how strongly we draw from the wisdom and traditions of the Coast Salish peoples, who for thousands of years have inhabited and managed these lands. Today, as sovereign nations and co-managers of natural resources in our area, Tribes remain active in the long-term conservation and protection of our natural resources with sustainable principles and practices.

PART 1: WHY NATIVE POLLINATORS?

"Just as a keystone maintains the integrity of a stone arch, a keystone species maintains the integrity of an ecological community; the removal of a keystone in either case can result in a collapse of the entire structure" (Berenbaum, 2007).

Figure 2. Western tiger swallowtail (Papilio rutulus) on mock orange (Philadelphus lewisii). Photo: David Droppers

Pollination - A Love Story

Flowering plants and pollinators literally need each other. The partnership evolved over hundreds of millions of years, developing an enduring and mutually dependent ecological relationship. Put simply, pollination is the transport of pollen from the male anther to the female stigma of the same or of another flower. As flower shape, color, scent, and nectar-sweetness evolved, these traits attracted animal pollinators to do this bidding. Although some plants self-pollinate, and some use wind or water to transport their pollen, most flowering plants rely on animals for genetic exchange. Animal pollinators aid roughly 308,000 flowering plant (angiosperm) species to reproduce, which is 87.5% of all flowering plants worldwide (Ollerton et al. 2011)! In the Pacific Northwest, hummingbirds, bees, butterflies, moths, flies, wasps, and beetles are examples of pollinators that feed on sugary flower nectar and/or protein-rich pollen.

…*And we need them!* 35% of all human food crops (Klein et al. 2007) are animal pollinated. This puts them at the heart of global food web stability. Not only do plants serve as food for countless organisms, but many invertebrate pollinators themselves provide an important source of fats and proteins for the food web. Also, pollinators are allies in ecological restoration, as they support the natural regeneration process of a native ecosystem. They can also encourage genetic diversity by connecting male and female flowers across distances.

…*And they need us!* Many pollinating species are on the road to extinction. For example, the Red Lists of Pollinator Insects of North America identifies many imperiled insect species most in need of conservation (Xerces, 2005). To achieve stable plant and pollinator populations we must strive for lots of them (high abundance), lots of types of them (species diversity), and variation within those types (genetic diversity).

Five Threats

The following causes are generalized descriptions of the largest threats to overall pollinator species diversity and abundance:

Habitat Loss and Fragmentation: Natural areas and green spaces, which provide nesting sites and floral resources, are increasingly lost to development, intensive agriculture, and resource extraction. Remaining habitats are often cut off and isolated, which for example in the case of the Taylor's checkerspot butterfly, "results in smaller and isolated populations, thereby increasing the likelihood of extirpation and inbreeding" (Potter, 2016).

Habitat Degradation: Remaining natural areas and green spaces are degraded by factors including air, noise, water, and light pollution, invasive species, intensive soil disturbance, and fire suppression.

Pesticides: Many insecticides and fungicides are directly toxic to pollinators and herbicides can kill the plants they depend on.

Non-Native Species: Non-native plants and pollinators outcompete, introduce disease to, and can ultimately displace many native species, reducing their abundance and diversity. For example, commercial bees threaten several species of native bumble bees with decline and extinction by spreading nonnative fungal and protozoan parasites (Xerces, 2011, p78). Also, large infestations of invasive plants, such as Japanese knotweed (*Polygonum cuspidatum*) or Himalayan blackberry (*Rubus bifrons*) may produce an enormous short-lived bloom for bees, but the varied assemblage of native plants that these invasive monocultures displace would have provided more even, diverse, and sustained food sources throughout the year.

Climate Change: The rapid alteration of rates and patterns of temperature and precipitation directly threatens the survival of some pollinator and plant species. These climatic changes place novel selective pressures on plants and pollinators. For example, climate change can cause a timing mismatch between plant flowering and pollinator arrival, or a spatial mismatch when plants and their coevolved pollinators no longer occur in the same habitat fragments (Burkle et al. 2013; Steltzer & Post, 2009).

A Note on the European Honey Bee

Any introduced species, be it farmed salmon or honey bees, has the potential to displace habitat and transmit disease to native wild populations. Although honey bees (*Apis melifera*) are integral to our industrial food production system, they are not native to the Pacific Northwest (or North America at all), and therefore not the focus of this guide. Furthermore, our native bees also pollinate food crops! In fact, mason bees are more efficient pollinators than honey bees for some crops (Biddinger et al. 2011). That said, many of the same practices outlined in this guide that benefit native bees will benefit honey bees as well.

PART 2: SITE ASSESSMENT

The following six factors will help to paint a basic portrait of your site. The information that follows can guide you in determining the existing habitat qualities found on your site, and help you fill in any important missing elements.

These factors have also been quantified and condensed into a Habitat Assessment (**see Habitat Assesment Form**) to help you plan for improvements and track changes to your site over time. Even if your assessment reveals serious challenges, there is likely *something* you can do to improve habitat for pollinators. Be clever with your resources, be imaginative in your design, and think like a pollinator! Of note- while a site with open exposure to the sun does heat up cold-blooded pollinators, and a view of the sky does help them to navigate, full sun is not necessary for creating valuable habitat. Shady and partially-shady sites can still provide rich structure and forage for many pollinating insects and birds, or can be a food source for larval stages of pollinators, such as butterflies.

1) Logistics Checklist

- ☐ **Permission:** Do you have permission from the landowner? Do you need any specific permits or have constraints to consider? If relevant, check on zoning regulations, critical areas ordinances, building codes, and underground or overhead utilities.
- ☐ **Boundaries:** What are the exact boundaries of your site? Flag or map the perimeter clearly, paying attention to property lines. Note that parcel lines (viewed through King County iMap or Parcel Viewer) cannot be solely depended upon for accuracy. Additional surveying may be required if your project is near a property border.
- ☐ **Access:** Where are the access points to the site for crews, volunteers, and/or deliveries of materials?
- ☐ **Size:** What is the square footage or acreage of your site?
- ☐ **Site Prep:** How much site preparation (invasive weed control, trash removal, de-paving, etc.) is necessary? Is it high intensity and requires professional crews, or low intensity and can incorporate volunteers?
- ☐ **Volunteer Friendly?** This means that the site is not on steep slopes and is relatively safe from falling overhead branches, broken glass, and other hazards. Volunteer events are a great way to accomplish a lot of work and get the community invested in your project.
- ☐ **View Constraints:** Are there height limitations on plants due to public rights of way, residential views, or sightlines?

2) Determine Pollinator Habitat Type

'Habitat Type' is a broad categorization of your site according to factors including geography, geology, land use, soil, moisture, light, and plant communities. Your site will likely fit, or be on a restoration trajectory towards, one or more of the following general categories for the Puget Sound lowlands. See full recommendations here: **5.2 Management Recommendations by Habitat Type.**

Upland Forests: conifer, deciduous, mixed

Riparian Areas: river, stream, lake, wetland, wet meadow, freshwater riparian forest, marine riparian forest, dune, bluff, backshore

Prairies, Savannas, Oak Woodlands

Green Stormwater Infrastructure: rain garden, bioswale, stormwater detention pond

Agricultural Areas: farm, orchard, garden, hedgerow

Contained Spaces and Lots: traffic circle, parking lot, green roof, schoolyard, home landscaping

Corridors and Roadsides: rights of way, roadside, trailside, power line corridor, airport runway

3) Assess Topography and Features

Aspect: Which cardinal direction does the site or main slope face? Although any aspect can provide habitat, many invertebrates show preferences for sunny and well-drained south facing slopes.

Topography: Any mounds or depressions? For example, your site may be mostly dry and sunny, but a north-facing depression tucked within your site could provide a refugium for cold and moisture loving plants. Slopes? If you plan to work directly on an erosion-prone and/or steep (>40%) slope, you will need a slope stabilization plan before disturbing it. If the slopes are very steep, a geotechnical engineer may be necessary to evaluate for erosion or landslide potential.

Habitat Features: Estimate a density per acre of standing dead trees and downed logs. Are rocks or boulders a major feature? Any flowing or still water?

4) Assess Soils

Soil moisture and composition are clues that help determine habitat type, erosion potential, plant selection, and plant establishment options like watering. Observe these two factors at several different locations within the site:

Soil Drainage: Do the soils appear to drain fast, average or slow? Are the soils generally dry, moist or wet? Be sure to check for low lying areas where water might pool, as well as proximity to water sources like seeps or streams. A plant assessment will also provide soil moisture clues based on what type of plants are growing.

Soil Composition: Are the soils mostly sand, clay, or loam? Perform a simple 'ribbon test'.

You may choose to send a soil sample to a lab for testing of nutrient levels, mineral composition or if toxic levels of pollutants are a concern (e.g. if the site is near a lead-painted barn). If toxicity is not a concern, then soil testing is not usually prioritized due to budget constraints (although King Conservation District tests for free, and other local Conservation Districts or Cooperative Extensions may test inexpensively). You can also explore soil information (including soil composition, depth to hardpan, drainage information) through the online USDA resource – the Web Soil Survey.

Figure 3. Satyr comma (Polygonia satyrus) on bark. Photo: David Droppers

Soil amendments are often expensive and time consuming. Tilling them in can damage soil structure and destroy ground-nesting bee and bird habitats. Avoid major soil amendments or inputs if the soil composition includes a loamy component. However, if the soil is pure clay or sand, amending the top 4-6 inches of your topsoil with compost or a topsoil product may be necessary. If you have poor soil, and a soil amendment is not feasible, consider planting a more limited palate of plant species that will tolerate the existing soil conditions.

5) Assess Plants

Plants observed on site (or on reference sites or adjacent property) will not only inform your plant selection (**see Part 6: Plant Lists**), but provide clues to the habitat type and soil moisture questions as well. Make detailed observations of existing plant species and abundance. Which plants are thriving and which plants are distressed? Also, make notes about soil moisture and light exposure.

6) Observe Reference Sites and Adjacent Property

A reference ecosystem is "a community of organisms and abiotic components able to act as a model or benchmark for restoration. A reference ecosystem usually represents a non-degraded version of the ecosystem complete with its flora, fauna, abiotic elements, functions, processes and successional states that would have existed on the restoration site had degradation, damage or destruction not occurred – but should be adjusted to accommodate changed or predicted environmental conditions." (McDonald et al. 2016)

A true reference site may not exist. You may need to observe multiple sites, historical records, and anecdotes from locals familiar with the area, to piece together information about what plants, wildlife, soil and hydrological conditions are or were present. Reference sites can be tricky to learn from, due to the nuances of each site's specific history, conditions, management goals, and external stressors.

"The formulation of a reference ecosystem involves analysis of the composition (species), structure (complexity and configuration of species) and functionality (underlying abiotic and biophysical processes and community dynamics of organisms) of the ecosystem to be restored on the site. The reference ecosystem should also include descriptions of successional or developmental states that may be characteristic of the ecosystem's decline or recovery and descriptions of ecological stressors and disturbance regimes that need to be reinstated." (McDonald et al. 2016)

Lastly, check out adjacent properties for any red flags such as insecticide use or invasive plants.

Now that you have gathered information on these six key factors, you should have a broad snapshot of your site. Your site assessment will also directly inform future choices about plant selection, habitat feature installation, and expected maintenance. Don't forget that even if you find out that your soils are all clay, or the site is covered in invasive weeds, there is still reason for hope! Just make a smaller goal.

Figure 4. Yellow-faced bumble bee (Bombus vosnesenskii) nectaring on Douglas spiraea (Spiraea douglasii). Photo: Nelson Salisbury

PART 3: SITE DESIGN

Once you have completed Part 2: Site Assessment, consider all you have learned about your site. Absorb the theoretical framework of 3.1 Attributes of Pollinator Habitat Integrity and integrate specific considerations from 3.2 Pollinator Resource Requirements and 3.3 Site-level Design with your unique site in mind. Keep in mind that not all of the suggestions may apply to your site. If your site is an intact and functional ecological community with little to no external pressures, it may benefit from a few small enhancements or a more passive approach. If your site contains little native habitat, is fragmented, severely altered, and/or suffers from high pressures, then consider a more intensive restoration.

3.1 Attributes of Pollinator Habitat Integrity

These attributes of ecological integrity may not apply to every site, but they set a general context for specific pollinator habitat restoration BMPs. They should inform development of long term goals and short-term objectives.

Size. The larger the geographic extent of the habitat, the more opportunity for ecological community establishment, wildlife mobility, and natural processes. Although any sized habitat area provides benefits, at least 2,000 square feet (0.05 acre) is optimal, and a size of at least two acres has been shown to provide even greater benefits (Morandin & Winston, 2006; Kremen et al. 2004).

Connectivity. "Reinstatement of linkages and connectivity for migration and

gene flow; and for flows including hydrology, fire, or other landscape scale processes" (McDonald et al. 2016). Sustainable pollinator populations will ultimately be achieved at the landscape scale. "Landscape connectivity is the degree to which the landscape facilitates or impedes movement among resource patches" (Taylor et al. 1993). At the land planner/manager level, this requires that we design for and physically connect individual sites to create networks of habitat. At the site level, create your design to maximize connectivity and flow throughout your site, and possibly with neighboring sites as well. To link two or more areas, consider a connective corridor or stepping-stone patches of flowering plants less than 500 feet apart for small bees and up to a couple miles for bumble bees.

Physical Conditions. Hydrological and substrate conditions (McDonald et al. 2016), and habitat features including shelter and water sources, will set the table for biodiversity.

Biodiversity.

Richness. The variety of species, and genetic variation within species, to represent a rich, multiplicity of living forms.

Evenness. The relative abundance of each species within a certain area.

Structural Complexity. A robustness or a layering of the physical structures (e.g. vertical strata of plant heights) and trophic webs of the system.

Ecosystem Functionality. "Appropriate levels of growth and productivity, reinstatement of nutrient cycling, decomposition, habitat elements, plant-animal interactions, normal stressors, on-going reproduction and regeneration of the ecosystem's species" (McDonald et al. 2016).

Resistance and Resilience. Resistance is the capacity of a system to tolerate a stressor without loss. Resilience is the capacity to recover or reorganize after it has been disturbed, degraded, or invaded. (Lake, 2013) Size, connectivity, structural complexity, biodiversity, and ecosystem functionality (mentioned previously), and the following aspects, can increase resistance and/or resilience:

Redundancy. Repeated clumps of plant species, multiple habitat features, or numerous spots of bare ground, spread throughout the site, creates spatial redundancy. Providing multiple species of flowers in bloom throughout the year, especially during the potentially more vulnerable late season (Fantinato et al. 2018) creates temporal redundancy.

Buffered from Excessive Stressors (Faber-Langendoen et al. 2012). Examples include invasive weeds, human or pet activities, mowing, stormwater pollution, pesticide application or drift, drought, or flooding. Some of these examples are manageable and others are not. Depending on the stressor, consider how to use natural buffers (e.g. adjacent natural areas, hedgerows)

or impose practices (e.g. minimize social trails, limit pesticide use, establish a pollinator-friendly mowing regime, etc.) to minimize stressors.

3.2 Pollinator Resource Requirements

Many pollinators are known as generalists- they can feed on a wide variety of plants. The following principles will encourage a foundation for generalist pollinators. Once the basic conditions are established, consider creating resources for specialists- pollinator species who will only feed on specific plants.

Figure 5. Fuzzy-horned bumble bee (Bombus mixtus) on Nootka rose (Rosa nutkana). Photo: Nelson Salisbury



Figure 6. Harsh Indian paintbrush (Castilleja hispida), broadleaf lupine (Lupinus latifolius), and thimbleberry (Rubus parviflorous) growing together. Photo: Nelson Salisbury

FOOD: Pollinators can be drawn to a plant's flower based on perfume, color, size, shape and the timing of its bloom. Consider the following:

- **Native plants** are naturally adapted to the climate, soils, and pollinators of the region. To establish, they require no fertilizers and little to no watering. They do not negatively encroach, degrade or invade our local eco-systems like many non-native plants do. Although some non-native plants may provide sources of pollen and nectar, larval life stages of native pollinators often depend on native plants. In some instances, such as a backyard, community garden, or farm cover crop, non-native plants may be used if deemed by the land manager as not invasive. If you choose to stray from strictly native plants, consider choosing plants which are "native neighbors", such as plants native to Southern Oregon, Northern California, or Eastern Washington.
- **Species Diversity.** In Phase II (see **Part 4** to learn about the phase approach), start with at least 10 species of flowering plants that fit your moisture,

light and soil conditions. At first, choose hardy species and get them to establish. In Phase III, monitor which species died, thrived, or just survived. Supplement according to what you find- try out several more species to maximize diversity as your site approaches Phase IV.

- **Structural Diversity.** A variety of plant forms (e.g. branching trees, thicket-forming shrubs, creeping groundcovers, etc.) will support a variety of insect and animal species. Strive to establish multiple vertical layers (strata) of plant heights. Where appropriate, include both annual and perennial species, as well as both woody and herbaceous plants. Even non-flowering plants, like sword fern, are helpful in a pollinator restoration because they are hardy evergreens that create structure. Flowers with a variety of different shapes (e.g. flat radials, cups, rounded domes, tubular trumpets, etc.) will appeal to different species of pollinators. Evergreens can be used on the site borders to help block noise, air pollution, pesticide drift, etc. from other properties.

- **Overlapping bloom times** throughout the season provide a continuous sequence of nectar and pollen resources from spring to autumn. **Part 6: Plant Lists** are divided into three groups: early-bloom season, mid-bloom season, and late-bloom season species. Aim for at least three species blooming in each season.

Figure 7. Woody debris installation and plants staged in 'species clumps' on the Burke Gilman trail, Seattle, WA. Photo: Matthew B Schwartz

- **Transplants or seed?** Transplants (plugs, bare roots, potted plants, live stakes) are more expensive than seed, but establish quickly and bloom the first year. Seeds are inexpensive but can be difficult to establish or even germinate. Depending on the site size and budget, using a majority of transplants with some experimental seeding in between plant clumps, is a cost-effective way to start. Observe successes and failures and supplement throughout Phase III accordingly.

- **Plant species in clumps,** preferably in a rounded shape. A clump of flowers, rather than separate individuals, helps pollinators locate them. This formation also improves plant establishment and makes maintenance between clumps easier. A round shape to the clump minimizes the edge-to-area ratio making it less vulnerable to weed invasion.

- **Contained sites,** such as garden beds, traffic circles, or rain gardens may benefit from a selection of slower growing species, since aggressive species can quickly dominate and limit diversity.

Figure 8. Halictus at nest entrance
Photo: Will Peterman

Figure 9. California bumble bee (Bombus fervidus, ssp. californicus) on rock. "Vulnerable" on the IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. (Hatfield et al. 2015) Photo: Nelson Salisbury

Figure 10. Osmia in snag.
Photo: Will Peterman

Figure 11. Ceratina in pithy stem.
Photo: Will Peterman

SHELTER: Pollinators need safe places for nesting, egg laying and overwintering, ideally located within 300 ft of a food source. Consider the following:

- **Undisturbed and untidy** sites provide the best shelter. In agricultural areas, no-till practices can dramatically limit soil disturbance. In landscaping areas, it is beneficial to leave some designated areas in an un-manicured state by leaving branches and leaves on the ground, and minimizing mowing.
- **Dead wood** includes standing dead trees, downed logs, stumps, root wads, log rounds, untreated lumber, and chunks of bark. "Significant proportions of

wasp, bee, and ant (Hymenoptera) species live in decaying wood" (Stokeland et al. 2012). Consider placing large wood in the shade. As fungus rots it out, holes, peeling bark, or bits of wood can be utilized as lodging or for housing materials by butterflies, beetles, and bees.

- **Hugelkultur** is the creation of raised beds embedded with layers of logs and dead plant material. They retain moisture, provide long and short-term carbon and nutrients, and support various root structures of various plant species. The beds can be built at any height and plants are installed on the top and sides. This is an excellent way to diversify the topography of a site, especially if it has readily available downed branches and leaves.

- **Compost or brush piles** are great nest sites for bumble bees. If you need to flip a compost pile to remove invasive weeds from underneath it, first examine the pile for signs of bee nesting. If so, flip the pile during summer, not during winter nesting season. Do not apply herbicide to piles at any time.

- **Rocks** provide safe and dark spaces for all kinds of invertebrates. Piles of rocks can provide overwinter refuge and cover for butterflies and bumble bees. If rocks are already on your site, it is more valuable to leave them and not disturb the critters already using them. If importing rocks to a site, consider making a few piles and placing near plantings, as they keep moisture in the ground during summer.

- **Spots of bare, undisturbed ground** allow ground-nesting bees to make a home. They need a few spots that are un-vegetated and un-mulched, even better if they are sunny and gently sloped. Well-draining soils that are sandy or loamy are preferred.

Figure 12. Educational sign along a clearly marked path promotes "guided inclusion" into a site. Kincaid Ravine Natural Area, UW. Seattle, WA. Photo: Matthew B Schwartz

- **Pithy or hollow stems** are used by cavity nesters who burrow into the stems (e.g. elderberry, salmonberry). Select plant species which support this nesting structure.

- **Larval host plants** are critical for moths and butterflies. Adults generally choose to lay their eggs on or near the specific plants that larvae need to eat once they hatch. Some butterfly species require very specific plants to complete their lifecycles, such as Milbert's tortoiseshell (*Aglais milberti*) that only lays their eggs on stinging nettle (*Urtica dioica*). **Part 6: Plant Lists** highlights some common

native species used as host plants in our region.

- **Grass and sedge species** can act as larval host plants for some butterflies and provide overwintering or nest sites for bumble bees and other beneficial insects. Grasses and sedges can provide forage resources for beneficial insects (including larval growth stages of native butterflies), potential nesting sites for colonies of bumble bees, and possible overwintering sites for beneficial insects (Kearns & Thompson, 2001; Purtauf et al. 2005; Collins et al. 2003). The combination of grasses and herbaceous plants can also be an effective way to limit weed colonization (Vance et al. 2006). Grasses also produce conditions suitable for burning if this practice is to be considered. Where appropriate, try to include at least one native bunchgrass in your plant palette.

WATER: Clean water provides a bathing and drinking source for pollinators. A shallow water source with gentle banks is best, but do not modify any natural water features that are already hydrologically functional. Running, ponding, or containerized water can be complemented by well-placed riparian and wetland plants of varied heights. Wet, muddy areas provide mud for nest building or mineral feeding. Hydrology improvements can be complicated and require permitting, but any appropriate actions to improve the function of seeps, ponding areas, creeks, wetlands, or rain gardens, will likely benefit pollinators as well.

3.3 Site-level Design

Now with the theoretical and practical considerations from the last two sections in mind, work through the following design checklist. Create a realistic and interesting design, but allow for flexibility as challenges arise throughout the process. The design must reflect the resources you have available (time, money and people power). Keep the design realistic- it is better to commit to high quality work on a smaller area than to spread your resources too thin and risk implementing a large but low-quality project.

- **Management Units:** If the site has areas with different management objectives, or distinct Habitat Types, divide the site into more than one Management Unit (MU).
- **Square Footage:** Calculate square footage or acreage of the entire site and each MU.
- **Site Prep:** Create a site preparation plan for each MU (see **4.1 Phase I: Site Prep**).
- **Habitat Features:** Create a design for placement of habitat features, as necessary. If your site has some diverse topography, wood, and rocks, you may leave it as is and skip right to planting. If installing features would add needed complexity to your site, then consider installing woody debris, water features, hugelkultur, rocks, etc. If possible, embellish any existing features right where you found them to minimize unnecessary heavy lifting and soil disturbance.

- **Planting Plan:** Refer to your site assessment of soil moisture, light exposure, and existing plant species. Work with the conditions that you have and only choose plants that are appropriate for your site. Include plants that are important to all life-cycle-stages of pollinators. When ordering plants, confirm with the nursery that that the plants you purchase are insecticide-free, weed-free, and of local genetic stock. (see **Part 6: Plant Lists**)

- **Timeline and Season:** **Part 4: Restoration: A Four Phase Approach** provides guidance on the four phases of restoration. Plan out a timeline and consider the appropriate seasons for each activity. If there are insects or birds who already use your site, then conduct restoration activities according to the season that will do the least harm. For example, nesting songbirds may use blackberry thickets. The thickets can be removed during non-nesting season, and in phases to create a more gradual transition for the birds.

Figure 13. Red admiral (Vanessa atalanta) on vine maple (Acer circinatum) at the Wetherill Nature Preserve, Hunts Point, WA. Photo: Nelson Salisbury

3.4 Specific Pollinator Requirements

Fun facts that shed light on a handful of specific requirements for common PNW pollinators:

- **Bees:** All 20,000 bee species are obligate florivores, as opposed to any other type of non-bee pollinator. Also, bees feed on flowers at both the larvae and adult stage, rather than other non-bee pollinators who only feed on flowers as adults. (Michener, 2007)

- Most native bees are **solitary**- they nest individually or in small groups. Most solitary bees are **ground nesters**, e.g. digger bees, mining bees. Look for pencil sized holes surrounded by tiny mounds of dirt, typically found in areas of compressed soils.

- **Bumble bees** are generalist, social bees who like to nest in old rodent holes, compost piles, or rock piles.

- **Leaf cutter bees** need medium-thickness leaves that are smooth on one side to construct their nests, e.g. snowberry or rose species. They are cavity nesters and prefer small diameter (size of a nickel or smaller) pre-formed holes in rotten wood to overwinter and lay their eggs in.

- **Mason bees** are solitary cavity nesters who need wet mud (high in clay content) to seal their eggs into chambered nests. They look for cracks and crannies aboveground to lay their eggs, such as bored holes in logs. There are approximately 300 mason bee species in the Northern Hemisphere, with an estimated 75 species found in the PNW.
- **Sweat bees** are relatively small, they pollinate by climbing deep into flowers and spend a lot of time drinking nectar and collecting pollen.
- **Mining bees** are ground nesters with one female creating a few nests during her adult lifetime, which often lasts just four to eight weeks.
- **Cuckoo bees** are parasitic, and lay eggs in the nests of other bees.
- **Travel** abilities vary greatly. Some bumble bees can travel more than a mile, but smaller species may travel no more than a few hundred feet.
- **Moths** are nighttime pollinators and they need night-blooming plants. Artificial light at night disturbs their life cycle.
- **Hummingbirds** have no sense of smell. They pollinate tubular flowers with their long bill, and are mostly drawn to reds and hot pinks.
- **"Mud-puddling"** butterflies congregate in areas of wet or moist soil in search of salt and other minerals.
- **Red, orange blooms** more often draw hummingbirds and butterflies. Bumble bees can't see red.
- **Blue, purple blooms** are a big draw for bees.
- **Syrphid flies:** (the native) "syrphid fly larvae may quickly suppress aphid infestations, as each is capable of destroying hundreds of aphids during its development" (WSU, 2017).

Figure 14. A blackberry monoculture removal and sheet mulching. Mulching will be phased out as invasive plant control is achieved to allow bare spots for ground nesters. Burke Gilman trail, Seattle, WA. Photo: Matthew B Schwartz

PART 4: RESTORATION: A FOUR PHASE APPROACH

This timeline is an improvised version of the Green Seattle Partnership's four-phase restoration approach. The goal of ecological restoration is to facilitate recovery of ecological functions and the structure of a self-sustaining system. That said, our highly altered landscape requires active stewardship to assure persistence of critical ecosystems services, such as pollination. The entire process values adaptive management - a real time decision tool to adapt and flex as unexpected challenges or opportunities arise.

4.1 Phase I: Site Prep and Initial Invasive Plant Control

Thorough site preparation is essential for success. This includes control of weeds, any soil amending, and cessation of any insecticide use within a minimum of 100 ft of the site. Educational signage can go a long way in engaging the community and identifying future supporters and volunteers.

- **Invasive plant control.** Methods should follow an Integrated Pest Management (IPM) plan and are listed in **Table 1**. In areas with high invasive weed coverage, expect more than one year to achieve control. Methods and timing of weed control depend on the life cycle of the particular species. Control heavily-seeding plants, such as *Impatiens spp.,* before they go to seed. Manual removal of heavily rooted species, such as English ivy, is easier in late spring or early fall when rain has softened the soil. Herbicide treatments should be conducted by a licensed operator, considering proper timing, chemical, and concentration.

Inquire with the land manager for more information or to request herbicide applications for your site.

- **Soil amendments.** Soil enrichment can be expensive and time consuming, so avoid it if you have decent soil composition. However, if your budget allows and your soil is pure clay or sand, mix in 4-6 inches of compost to the top layer. (see 'Assess Soils' in **Part 2**)

- **Mulch.** A great restoration tool, mulch provides weed suppression, retains moisture, and adds organic content to the soil. However, it also obstructs ground-nesting pollinators and can smother herbaceous plants and bryophytes. Either plan to phase out mulching after your plant stock becomes fully established, only mulch in certain areas (e.g. mulch rings around your installed plants), or do without. If you do without, more vigilant weeding will be necessary.

- **Take care with soils.** Excessive social trails, tillage, compaction, and digging more than necessary, disrupt the soil community including pollinator nest sites. It can take hundreds or thousands of years for soils to develop their structure and living community of bacteria, fungi and insects, so tread lightly.

Figure 15. Echo azure (Celastrina echo) on a log near the Puyallup River in Orting, WA. Photo Nelson Salisbury

- **Leave it messy!** Logs, branches, leaf debris, rocks, dead plants, and compost piles are all structural and nutrient resources for a rich and diverse insect paradise.

- **Avoid geotextile fabrics**. Wide swaths of fabric (used for weed suppression) can block ground nesting pollinators so if sheet mulching is necessary, utilize biodegradable burlap or cardboard.

Table 1.Summary of Initial Weed Control Methods by the Institute for Applied Ecology. (Prairie Landowner Guide for Western WA, 2011)

Control Method	Advantages	Limitations	Type of Site Where Method is Most Applicable
Hand pulling	Inexpensive and requires only basic tools and expertise. If done properly, removes entire root system of weeds. Targets only invasive species.	Time consuming and usually requires repeated pulling over time to remove all weeds.	Small sites or patches of weeds interspersed with desirable native vegetation.
Sheet mulching or smothering	Inexpensive. Doesn't require experienced landscapers or heavy equipment. Suppresses and kills all vegetation.	Labor intensive. Kills native plants. Does not eliminate the weed seed bank.	Degraded sites dominated by invasive species. Small areas or large areas with scattered patches of weeds.
Solarization	Effective at killing existing vegetation and weed seed bank.	Covering material may be expensive and must stay installed properly, requiring maintenance following wind or other damage. Some seeds are extremely long-lived and would need repeated years of solarization to exhaust the seed bank.	Small areas or patches within larger areas. Relatively flat places without obstacles. Heavy infestations with little to no native component.
Cultivation	If large tilling equipment is available, can be done quickly and efficiently. Can be performed at any time of year.	Labor intensive and may require special equipment or experienced landscapers. Does not affect the weed seed bank. Leaves behind or spreads segments of weeds that can resprout. Should not be performed in protected Mima Mound areas.	Small or large areas but not in Mima Mound habitat.
Prescribed Burning	Effective method that mimics historic fire processes. Can remove moss and thatch layer.	Requires expert oversight to avoid damage to structures or neighboring properties from fire. May require follow up herbicide treatment (see resources appendix).	Large parcels.
Herbicides	Specific herbicides can be used that target non-native grasses (and avoid natives). Cost effective for larger areas. Spraying can be done over large areas or spot treated at precise locations.	Requires careful use of toxic chemicals.	Most sites if herbicide is applied correctly per label instructions.
Mowing	Low cost if a mower is readily available. Does not require special expertise. Reduces future weed seed bank if timed prior to seed set.	May weaken but does not remove the weed root system. Affects desirable native herbs as well as weeds.	Relatively flat sites dominated by weeds, where the other control methods are not feasible.

4.2 Phase II: Native Plant and Habitat Feature Installation

- **Habitat Feature Installation** (e.g. woody debris placement) or **Earth Moving** (e.g. hugelkultur, water diversion). This should happen in the dry season and before plant installation. Try to use materials found on site and aim for minimal disturbance.

- **Plant Sourcing.** Buy from local nurseries. Genetics matter; plants whose seed source is local have the best chance of establishing at your site and being a good match for native pollinators. Ask about provenance- what seed transfer zone or geographic area is the plant sourced from? Consider genetic stock from your own seed zone or (considering regional warming trends) a slightly warmer, drier seed zone. Demand weed-free plants and seeds. If collecting your own seeds, search out best management practices for the correct identification, ethical collection, and proper storage and cleaning, such as "Native Seed Collection Guide For Ecosystem Restoration" by Lucinda S. Huber (August 1993) or "Collecting and Using Your Own Wildflower Seed" by James Eckberg et al. (2016).

- **Start with 10 Hardy Plant Species.** At least three flowering species per bloom season.
- **Timing**. Plant installation in mid-Oct through early March takes advantage of the rains. If planting a wetland, or supplemental watering is feasible, then timeline flexibility increases.
- **Staging.** Place shrubs and groundcovers in same-species clumps of at least 3 ft by 3 ft blocks. Pollinators can locate a clump more easily than an individual plant. Repeat these clumps throughout condition-appropriate areas of your site. Even larger single-species clumps (more than 25 sq ft) can be exponentially more beneficial at large sites.
- **Spacing.** Plan for maturity when you design a planting plan. Place shrub clumps 4-8 ft from other plants. Space groundcover clumps out 1-2 ft from each other, and put them at least 4 ft from shrubs and 10 ft from trees to avoid them getting crowded out. Space small trees individually 10-15 ft from other plants.
- **Invasive Plant Control.** Vigilant weeding is required at this stage to protect newly installed plants. Controlling invasive species is a continuous task and one of the most critical factors to project success.
- **Bee Hotels.** Structures can be created from wood, bamboo, or other materials, to attract solitary nesting bees and wasps. It is important to research BMPs for constructing and maintaining these structures if they are to be successful. Of note- artificially aggregating large populations of bees can be a vector for disease or parasites. If you choose to install these structures, bee boxes should be cleaned annually with great care and monitored throughout the season for signs of pest infestation.

4.3 Phase III: Native Plant Establishment

- **Invasive Plant Maintenance.** Vigilant weeding at this stage protects newly installed plants.
- **Mulching.** Replenish mulch rings as needed to suppress weeds and retain moisture for installed plants. In order to leave bare areas for ground nesters, and to allow for volunteer plant sprouting, phase mulching out over time.
- **Watering.** Over the first few years, installed plants may benefit from watering. If deemed necessary, plan for summer watering for at least two years from June-Sept.
- **Soil Rebuilding.** Strike a balance between vigilant weeding and leaving soils free to rebuild structure without unnecessary compaction or disturbance. Knowledge of proper weeding techniques can aid with conserving soil structure.

- **Multi-Year Consistency**. Several years are essential for proper Phase III plant establishment. Regular maintenance is essential and will be necessary for several growing seasons until the plants become firmly established.

4.4 Phase IV: Long Term Stewardship

This is an extended period of infinitely maintaining a restoration site. Monitoring and control of invasive weeds is paramount. As invasive weeds decline, introduce supplementary waves of planting as appropriate to the site. These supplementary plantings focus on reinforcing both species diversity and structural diversity on the site. This may include promoting a more complex shrub layer, delicate wildflowers, groundcovers, or bunchgrasses.

Figure 16. Syrphid fly (Helophilus trivittatus) on Douglas aster (Symphyotrichum subspicatum), Snohomish estuary, WA. Photo: Nelson Salisbury

Sites that require intensive management, such as burning or mowing, should be monitored to gauge whether these actions are working or need to be adapted. Otherwise, if properly maintained, the site should enter a trajectory of increasing self-sustainability. Although there will always be stressors, the natural processes of soil building, water capture and retention, micro-climate stabilization, plant rooting, seed dispersal, and pollination will begin to re-regulate the system without you! However, even in the later stages of site establishment, site managers should continue to monitor restoration sites for signs of new invasive weed infestation or other issues which require attention.

Figure 17. Temporary educational signage for the public during Phases I and II. Burke Gilman trail, Seattle, WA. Photo: Matthew B Schwartz

PART 5: A POLLINATOR'S PATH FORWARD FOR THE PUGET SOUND LOWLANDS

At the time of writing, the Puget Sound lowlands are experiencing a hyper-intensive period of development and sprawl. Fortunately, our development toolkit now sports sustainability concepts such as low impact development, green stormwater infrastructure, and renewable energy. The concept of *living landscapes*, within and adjacent to the built environment, must also be mainstreamed into the modern sustainability equation. To achieve a sustainable region, there must be an aggressive multi-faceted shift in policy, economic valuation, design, and planning, in order to conserve and restore the necessary structures, resources and connectivity for native pollinators.

On the whole, a single isolated pollinator restoration project achieves little. In fact, it may act only as a sink to attract existing pollinators, rather than a source that actually increases abundance and diversity. A mentality shift from the site level to the landscape level for land managers and municipalities is key to achieving functional biodiversity. This can accompany innovative opportunities related to development, agriculture, local economy, tourism, and education.

Finally, pollination is an ecosystem service under threat from climate change. Pollinator habitat conservation and restoration deserves inclusion in climate change adaptation plans.



Figure 18. Lorquin's admiral (Limenitis lorquini). Photo: David Droppers

5.1 General Recommendations for Municipalities and Land Managers

Design and Planning: Integrate native pollinator restoration into urban design and municipal Open Space Plans. Promote certifications for Low Impact Development, such as LEED (which features a relevant Restore Open Habitat credit).

Valuation: Explore valuation of pollination as an ecosystem service (FAO, 2016). This is not a substitute for the multi-faceted tenets of biodiversity conservation, but a complement to it (Senapathi et al. 2015). Consider incentive programs for pollinator restoration, pollinator-friendly agricultural practices, and new or retrofitted development. Consider pollinator restoration as a mitigation tool for development.

Conservation, Restoration and Enhancement:

- Conserve sites that provide resource and nesting habitat for pollinators.
- Restore sites of potentially high pollinator value (especially large or connected tracts, or prairie and savannah habitat types), if this does not interfere with an existing target habitat type.
- Restore sites of currently low ecological value (including grass medians, power line corridors, parking lot medians, roofs, traffic circles, roadsides, airport runways, de-paved parking lots), if this does not interfere with an existing target habitat type.
- Enhance all habitat types (**see 5.2**) with attributes of pollinator habitat integrity (**See 3.1**)

Integrated Pest Management: Limit use of pesticides (insecticides, herbicides, and fungicides) and fertilizers that cause direct or indirect harm to pollinators.

- Update and revise Integrated Pest Management (IPM) plans. Achieve the most effective, least-toxic herbicide treatments by following current best management practices for herbicide chemicals, concentrations, timing, and application methods.
- Ensure accountability of applicators and operators to strictly adhere to WSDA laws and best practices for application.
- Identify and label pesticides and fertilizers that cause direct or indirect harm to pollinators.
- Develop limits for overall quantity of pesticides and fertilizers applied and explore non-chemical alternatives to controlling pests and enhancing soils.

Although acreage limits of pesticide applied are provided on pesticide labels, municipalities can even further reduce pesticide use by setting annual municipal-wide limits.

- Time chemical weed control when pollinators are less active and avoid spraying blooms.
    - Seasonally: control weeds before flowering or after flowering but before seed set.
    - Daily: most pollinators are more active in the sunlight, so control weeds closer to dawn, dusk or on non-sunny days.
- Achieve non-native plant control of large monocultures in stages, rather than complete removal in one single instance. Since there will be lag time between non-native plant removal and native plant revegetation, a staged-approach eases the transition for wildlife that utilize the non-native targeted plant, as sub-optimal substitutes.
- Follow weed control with native re-vegetation to both prevent non-native regrowth and provide pollinator habitat. Ensure thorough weed control before planting.

Equitable Community Participation: Provide opportunities for leaders and community members from tribal, rural, urban and suburban areas to lead and/or participate in policy, land use, and land management decisions related to pollinators, as well as on-the-ground restoration and long-term stewardship of pollinator habitat.

5.2 Management Recommendations by Habitat Type

'Habitat Type' is a broad categorization of sites according to factors including geography, geology, moisture, light, soil, and plant community. To refine your Habitat Type, set a more detailed target ecosystem by referencing: Rocchio, J., Crawford R. (2015). Ecological Systems of Washington State: A Guide to Identification.

The following objectives are not comprehensive best practices, but are important considerations for pollinator restoration according to your general Habitat Type.

5.2.1 Upland Forests

Coniferous, deciduous and mixed forests, from dry to mesic, can provide opportunities for pollinator habitat.

Objective #1: Promote pollinator abundance and diversity by restoring the diversity of structure and composition in forests. Allow for forest disturbance dynamics, and promote a mature canopy with canopy gaps, early seral habitat, and a complex flowering understory (aligned with the site's specific Target Forest Type).

Implementation #1:

- A mature canopy includes trees that create umbrella structure in both the canopy and the rhizosphere. Install site-adapted long-lived tree species. Promote flowering deciduous trees to represent at least 15% of the overstory. Encourage tree growth by reducing competition from invasive non-native plants.
- Forest disturbance dynamics create a mosaic of canopy gaps over time in which understory plants thrive and trees regenerate. Consider creating canopy gaps in continuous canopies to allow for enough sunlight to reach the shrub and herb understory to increase flowering. (Wender et al. 2004) Early seral vegetation is the most species rich phase of forest development in the Puget Sound lowlands.
- In the case of removing hazard trees or creating canopy gaps, consider leaving standing snags instead of removing the whole tree. Standing snags create nesting habitat for solitary bees.
- Understories are often degraded and invaded, so a restoration trajectory should aim for a well-developed and complex native understory. Install shade-tolerant shrubs of different heights to maximize vertical diversity. Complement this multi-tiered tall and short shrub layer with a carpeted patchwork of diverse flowering groundcovers in any shrub gaps.
- Develop long-term invasive non-native plant control strategies through an Integrated Pest Management plan, coupled with an Early Detection, Rapid

Response tactic for noxious weeds. Be especially strategic about limiting herbicide use in establishing forests.

5.2.2 Riparian Areas

Wet areas often maintain a high diversity of flowering plants for extended periods of the year, even when nearby areas do not.

Figure 19. Rock penstemon (Penstemon rupicola) above the Cle Elem River in Eastern WA. Photo: Nelson Salisbury

Objective #1: Promote hydrological function and water quality as the basis for riparian pollinator plants.

Implementation #1:

- Restore geomorphic and hydrologic aspects of waterbody as appropriate.
- Limit point source pollution. Agricultural: Adopt sustainable practices for water body livestock access and crossings, feed operations, and manure management. Oil Spills: Ensure nearby facilities have a Spill Prevention, Control, and Countermeasure (SPCC) plan in place.
- Limit non-point source pollution. Reduce stormwater inputs by incorporating green stormwater infrastructure into upstream basins. Manage animal waste, pesticides, fertilizers, septic tanks, soil erosion, and oil leaks. If lacking, encourage government officials to develop construction erosion and sediment control ordinances.
- Promote overhanging vegetation to cool adjacent water body and add terrestrial food-sources to the aquatic system.
- Promote bank vegetation with varying root forms to maximize erosion control.

Objective #2: Encourage plant species of varied plant heights, flower forms and branching forms, to create a diversity of both food and structure for pollinators, as appropriate to the water body.

Implementation #2:

- Promote long-term invasive weed control strategies through an Integrated Pest Management plan, coupled with an Early Detection, Rapid Response tactic for noxious weeds. Manage aggressive riverine weeds (e.g. knotweeds, *impatiens spp.*, etc.) through a multi-year "survey and treat upstream-to-downstream" (STUD) approach. For wet meadows, limit conifer encroachment by thinning,

mowing, herbicide, or burning, as appropriate.

- Utilize a variety of plant forms to create structure and food overhanging the water for riparian insects.
- Value litter production from overhanging vegetation. This process transfers nutrients and enriches the food web in three locations- onshore, directly instream and downstream.
- Value woody debris. Dead wood inputs create topographical diversity and thermal refugia within and around a waterbody. (Roni et al. 2015)

Objective #3: Strengthen the connectivity of water bodies to create wildlife corridors.

Implementation #3:

- Expand and connect floodplains, stream buffers, and riparian forests to strategically link landscapes and water bodies.
- Remove or retrofit barriers to passage for sediment, nutrients, woody debris, insects, and other wildlife. This includes dams, diversions, and undersized or failing culverts.
- Promote native plant species contiguity throughout a water course.

Objective #4: Dunes, bluffs, and backshores provide unique pollinator habitat opportunities at the crossroads of land and seascapes. Promote living green shorelines- achieve multi-benefit shoreline objectives by coupling green solutions to sea level rise and coastal erosion, with healthy native habitats.

Implementation #4:

- Promote native coastal flowering plants on public and private shorelines. Depending on how rocky, shallow-soiled, windy, sand-abrasive, salty and/or steep the site is, install an appropriate plant palate of coastal flowering shrubs, forbs and bunchgrass species, interspersed with trees.
- Coastal dunes, in particular, need to remain dynamic and vegetated in a patchwork of sparse and dense populations. Control non-native plant species, since they often stabilize dunes, which disrupts a dunes natural movement and function.

5.2.3 Prairies, Savannas, Oak Woodlands

These low elevation areas are highly valuable to pollinators, and they are rapidly disappearing. They are often highly biodiverse and may feature bunchgrasses, wildflowers, and Oregon white oak (*Quercus garryana*). Many prairies were historically maintained

with high frequency and low intensity fire by Native American tribes, in the South Sound and in the rain shadow of the Olympic Mountains. Crawford and Hall (1997) found that historically the Puget Sound lowlands claimed 233 prairies, averaging 618 acres in size, which included 18 large prairies (>1000 acres). By 1997 there were only 29 prairies, averaging 433 acres in size, and only 2 large prairies.

Objective #1: Maintain pollinator extent- conserve existing prairies, savannas, and oak woodlands from encroaching forests, invasive weeds and residential development.

Implementation #1:

- Reduce fire suppression strategies, where appropriate. Consider a controlled burning regime to maintain an open and regenerative landscape. Minimize direct harm to existing pollinator populations with strategic consideration of appropriate burn acreage, intensity, timing, frequency and monitoring. Fires vary greatly in how they burn, and subsequently how they impact existing pollinator populations, according to the season, type and quantity of fuel, and moisture conditions (Hamman et al. 2011).
- Promote long-term invasive weed control strategies through an Integrated Pest Management (IPM) plan, coupled with an Early Detection, Rapid Response tactic for noxious weeds. If mowing is already occurring, combine with herbicide applications and/or controlled burns to reduce invasive species. Non-native grasses should be completely or mostly eradicated before installing native plants.
- Limit conifer encroachment by thinning, mowing, herbicide, or burning, as appropriate.

Objective #2: Increase pollinator extent- convert new areas of open, sunny land (such as farmland) into restored prairies to link existing prairies and expand habitat.

Implementation #2:

- Identify strategic areas that promote connectivity for acquisition or easement.
- Initiate an IPM strategy to control invasive plant species. Non-native grasses may need a combined approach of mowing, herbicide, controlled burning and/or thatch removal.
- Restrict, minimize, or rotate grazing patterns to maintain sufficient floral forage, larval host plants for butterflies, and ground nests for bees throughout the year.
- Seed a diverse mix of native grasses and forbs, including annuals and perennials. Collect or purchase seeds as locally as possible. Sow seeds according to microtopography including hummocks, hollows, and subtle changes in aspect and moisture.

5.2.4 Green Stormwater Infrastructure

Rain gardens, bioswales, and stormwater detention ponds are underestimated potential stepping stones for foraging pollinators.

Objective #1: Integrate native flowering plants and habitat structure into green stormwater infrastructure.

Implementation #1:

- Plant the uppermost Zone 3 of rain gardens with perennial flowering plants to complement the hydrological benefits of the rain garden with insect habitat.
- Use species of varied plant heights, flower forms and branching forms to create a diversity of both food and structure for visiting pollinators.
- Although rain garden systems often need leaf debris removed from Zone 1, it can be raked and deposited into Zone 3, contributing to topsoil formation, and leaving important cover for insects.
- Consider an aesthetic design of habitat structures adjacent to the rain garden, including logs, wood rounds or stumps.

5.2.5 Agricultural Areas

Farms, orchards, and gardens require pollination in order for flowers to form fruit. Native pollinators can increase crop yields, as well as prey on crop pests. Enhancing native habitat for pollinators has been shown to increase crop yields through increased pollination, especially soft-bodied fruits (Xerces, 2015). For example, the native "syrphid fly larvae may quickly suppress aphid infestations, as each is capable of destroying hundreds of aphids during its development" (WSU, 2017).

Objective #1: Minimize damage to existing insect populations.

Implementation #1:

- Reduce soil tillage and disturbance to soil-dwelling invertebrates.
- Utilize cover crops to suppress weeds, protect bare soils and enrich soil nutrients.
- Establish a mowing or haying frequency that minimizes damage to actively flowering plants and host plants for pollinator larvae.
- Establish a mowing routine timed during non-bloom periods.
- "Allow pollinators to escape mower blades by using a flushing bar on the mower and by mowing at reduced speeds (less than 8 miles per hour). Cut high (a minimum of 12-16 inches) and/or mow in patches to ensure that some pollinator

habitat is left intact." (USDA, 2015, p.29)

- Limit insecticide and herbicide damage through regularly updated Integrated Pest Management (IPM) plans. "Minimize the use of seed treated with insecticides. Use all insecticides, including seed treated with insecticide, as a component of an integrated pest management program, and only when necessary." (USDA, 2015, p.31)

Objective #2: Integrate pollinator habitat into the existing structure of the agricultural area.

Implementation #2:

- Initiate an IPM strategy to control invasive plant species. Non-native grasses may need a combined approach of mowing, herbicide, controlled burning and/or thatch removal.
- Restrict, minimize, or rotate grazing patterns to maintain sufficient floral forage, larval host plants for butterflies, and ground nests for bees.
- Pollinator hedgerows are structures that act as natural fencing, as well as a pollinator attractor to nearby crops. These can be placed as a farm border, livestock exclusion buffer, stream buffer, or wind break. Depending on the purpose, specific native plants should be selected to maximize its utility (e.g. thorny roses for fencing). Conifer dominant hedgerows can be used as a pesticide screen to intercept drift before it enters the site.
- Provide a diversity of native plants within 500 ft of crop field edges. Seed a diverse mix of native grasses and forbs, including annuals and perennials.

5.2.6 Contained Spaces and Lots

Fragmented areas, such as traffic circles, parking lots, green roofs, and schoolyards can still provide valuable habitat and resources, especially if they are strategically located as corridors or stepping stones that link to more contiguous locations. Oftentimes, viewsheds and sightlines are important to maintain, so utilize low-growing or 'creeping' varieties of flowering shrubs.

Objective #1: Manage the multiple stressors created by the edge effect (high perimeter to area ratio).

Implementation #1:

- Establish an extra-vigilant routine of maintenance and monitoring to prevent invasive weeds, trash, and pollution from dominating the site.
- Consider exclusion (e.g. fencing) or guided inclusion (e.g. clearly marked pathways) to keep humans and pets from trampling your site.

- Utilize mulch for weed suppression, moisture retention, and to add organic content to poor soils. However, mulch also obstructs ground-nesting pollinators and can smother herbaceous plants and bryophytes, so either plan to phase out mulching, only mulch in certain areas (e.g. mulch rings around your installed plants), or do without. If you do without, more vigilant weeding will be necessary.

Objective #2: Maximize the educational and aesthetic benefit of pollinator habitat in high visibility areas.

Implementation #2:

- Create immersion-learning opportunities including educational signage, benches, trails and art that draw passersby into the wondersome world of pollinators.
- Host volunteer events to engage the community, accomplish large single-day restoration feats, and publicize your pollinator project.
- Provide long term volunteer opportunities to maintain a consistent stewardship presence, including monitoring and maintenance, of the site over multiple years.
- Design your planting plan to create a varied and visually stimulating flow of bloom color throughout the year in publicly visible areas.

5.2.7 Corridors and Roadsides

Roadsides, trailsides, rights of way, power line or pipeline corridors, and airport runways are valuable potential pollinator highways if managed for floral resources and nesting structures.

Objective #1: Minimize, alter or consolidate disturbance (e.g. mowing, herbicide, light pollution) to optimize in situ habitat and/or usage of connective corridors.

Implementation #1:

- Establish a mowing frequency that minimizes damage to actively flowering plants and host plants for pollinator larvae. Ideally, "no single area should be burned or mowed more frequently than every two years, to protect dormant insects such as butterfly pupae or stem nesting bee larvae. Leaving patches untreated will ensure a population of insects to recolonize treated areas of the site." (Xerces, 2013, p.10)
- Establish a mowing routine timed during non-bloom seasons.
- "Allow pollinators to escape mower blades by using a flushing bar on the mower and by mowing at reduced speeds (less than 8 miles per hour). Cut high (a minimum of 12-16 inches) and/or mow in patches to ensure that some pollinator habitat is left intact." (USDA, 2015, p.29)

- Limit insecticide and herbicide damage through regularly updated Integrated Pest Management (IPM) plans.
- Minimize artificial light, especially at night, as it disrupts moths and other pollinators.

Objective #2: Establish native plant communities and control invasive plants to strengthen the connectivity of wildlife corridors.

Implementation #2:

- Promote long-term invasive weed control strategies through an IPM plan, coupled with an Early Detection, Rapid Response tactic for noxious weeds. If mowing is already occurring, combine with herbicide applications to reduce invasive species. Non-native grasses should be completely or mostly eradicated before sewing or installing native plants.
- Prepare soil and sew or install a combination of native annual and perennial herbaceous species, and/or shrubs according to viewshed restrictions.
- Remove or retrofit barriers to passage for flying or crawling wildlife, such as passage over highways.
- Promote native plant species contiguity throughout a corridor.

Figure 20. Woodland skipper (Ochlodes sylvanoides). Photo: David Droppers

Figure 21. Placing woody debris and planting flowering herbaceous plants at a rain garden installation in Woodinville, WA.
Photo: Matthew B Schwartz

PART 6: PLANT LISTS

The following lists are general suggestions for plants native to the Puget lowlands of Western Washington that are known to support adult pollinators or their larvae. While the lists are not meant to be comprehensive, they offer suggestions for species that will thrive in a variety of conditions (Sunny and Dry, Sunny and Moist, Shady and Dry, Shady and Moist) within our region. For each list, species are ordered by bloom season (Early, Mid, Late) and known larval host plants for Lepidoptera species are identified.

Table 6.1: Sunny and Dry Habitats: These plants generally grow in areas with full to partial sun with ordinarily dry soils.

	Scientific Name	Common Name	Form	Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct	Bloom Color	Mature Height (ft)	Host Plant
Early-Season Bloom	*Amelanchier alnifola*	serviceberry	shrub		white	15	X
	Arbutus menziesii	Pacific madrone	tree		white, pink	100	X
	Arctostaphylos uva-ursi	kinnikinnick	shrub		pink	0.7	X
	Armeria maritima	sea thrift	forb		pink	1.5	
	Eriophyllum lanatum	Oregon sunshine	forb		yellow	2	
	Fragaria chiloensis	beach strawberry	forb		white	0.5	X
	Iris tenax	tough-leafed iris	forb		pink, purple	1.3	
	Mahonia aquifolium	tall Oregon grape	shrub		yellow	10	X
	Ribes sanguineum	red-flowering currant	shrub		pink, red	10	
	Salix scouleriana	Scouler's willow	shrub		yellow	35	X
	Sidalcea sp.	checkermallow	forb		pink	6	X
Mid-Season Bloom	*Achillea millefolium*	common yarrow	forb		white	2.5	
	Allium cernuum	nodding onion	forb		pink	1.7	X
	Balsamorhiza deltoidea	deltoid balsamroot	forb		yellow	3.3	
	Castilleja hispida	harsh paintbrush	forb		red, yellow	2.5	X
	Ceonothus sanguineus	redstem ceanothus	shrub		white	10	X
	Ceanothus velutinus	snowbrush	shrub		white	10	
	Clarkia amoena	farewell-to-spring	forb		pink	2.5	
	Gaultheria shallon	salal	shrub		white, pink	4	X
	Gilia capitata	globe gilia	forb		blue	3	
	Holodiscus discolor	oceanspray	shrub		white	3	X
	Iris douglasiana	Douglas iris	forb		purple, blue	2.5	
	Lonicera hispidula	hairy honeysuckle	vine		pink	10	
	Lupinus bicolor	two-color lupine	forb		blue, white	1	
	Lupinus rivularis	river bank lupine	forb		blue, purple	1.7	X
	Philadelphus lewisii	mock orange	shrub		white	vine	
	Rhododendron macrophyllum	Pacific rhododendron	shrub		pink, purple	1	X
	Rosa gymnocarpa	baldhip rose	shrub		pink	3	X
	Rosa nutkana	nootka rose	shrub		pink	8	
	Rubus leucodermis	blackcap raspberry	shrub		white	16	
	Rubus parviflorus	thimbleberry	shrub		white	4	
	Sambucus nigra ssp. caerulea	blue elderberry	shrub		white	6	X
	Symphoricarpos albus	snowberry	shrub		pink	9	X
	Vaccinium ovatum	evergreen huckleberry	shrub		pink	6	X
	Viola adunca	early blue violet	forb		purple	1.0	
Late	*Anaphalis margaritacea*	pearly everlasting	forb		white, yellow	4.0	X
	Campanula rotundifolia	harebell	forb		blue, purple	10.0	
	Erigeron speciosus	aspen fleabane	forb		blue	0.3	
	Lupinus latifolius	broadleaf lupine	forb		blue, purple	3.5	X
	Penstemon davidsonii	Davidson's penstemon	forb		purple	2.5	
	Penstemon serrulatus	Cascade penstemon	forb		blue, purple	2.5	
	Sedum oreganum	Oregon Stonecrop	forb		yellow	4	
	Solidago lepida	Canada goldenrod	forb		yellow	0.3	
	Bromus sitchensis	Alaska brome	grass	Structure/Host Plant	N/A	2	X
	Danthonia californica	California oatgrass	grass	Structure/Host Plant	N/A	0.5	X
	Elymus glaucus	blue wild rye	grass	Structure/Host Plant	N/A	5	X
	Festuca romeri	Roemer's fescue	grass	Structure/Host Plant	N/A	5	X
	Festuca rubra	red fescue	grass	Structure/Host Plant	N/A	2	X
	Koeleria macrantha	junegrass	grass	Structure/Host Plant	N/A	3	
	Morella californica	Pacific wax myrtle	shrub	Structure/Host Plant	N/A	2	X
	Polystichum munitum	sword fern	shrub	Structure/Host Plant	N/A	2	
	Populus tremuloides	quaking aspen	tree	Structure/Host Plant	N/A	2	X
	Quercus garryana	Garry oak	tree	Structure/Host Plant	N/A	15	X

Table 6.2: Sunny and Moist Habitats: These plants generally grow in areas with full to mostly sunny areas with ordinarily moist to wet soils.

	Scientific Name	Common Name	Form	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Bloom Color	Mature Height (ft)	Host Plant
Early-Season Bloom	*Amelanchier alnifola*	serviceberry	shrub										white	15	X
	Armeria maritima	sea thrift	forb										pink	1.5	
	Camassia leichtlinii	great camas	forb										blue	2	
	Camassia quamash	common camas	forb										blue	1.5	
	Cornus nuttallii	Pacific dogwood	tree										white	65	X
	Cornus sericea	red osier dogwood	shrub										white	15	X
	Crataegus douglasii	black hawthorn	tree										white	20	
	Fragaria chiloensis	beach strawberry	forb										white	0.5	X
	Fragaria vesca	woodland strawberry	forb										white	0.5	X
	Iris tenax	tough-leafed iris	forb										pink, purple	1.3	
	Lysichiton americanus	Skunk cabbage	forb										yellow	4	
	Mahonia aquifolium	tall Oregon grape	shrub										yellow	10	X
	Malus fusca	Pacific crabapple	tree										white, pink	15	X
	Myrica gale	sweet gale	shrub										yellow, green	10	
	Plectritis congesta	seablush	forb										pink	2	
	Potentilla gracillis	slender cinquefoil	forb										yellow	2.5	X
	Prunus emarginata	bitter cherry	tree										white	50	X
	Ranunculus occidentalis	western buttercup	forb										yellow	1.5	
	Rubus spectabilis	salmonberry	shrub										red, pink	10	X
	Salix hookeriana	Hooker's willow	tree										green	25	X
	Salix lasiandra var. lasiandra	Pacific willow	tree										yellow	40	X
	Salix scouleriana	Scouler's willow	shrub										yellow	35	X
	Salix sitchensis	sitka willow	shrub										green	25	X
	Sidalcea sp.	checkermallow	forb										pink	6	X
	Sisyrinchium idahoense	Western blue-eyed grass	forb										blue	1.5	
Mid-Season Bloom	*Achillea millefolium*	common yarrow	forb										white	2.5	
	Aquilegia formosa	red columbine	forb										red, yellow	2	
	Ceanothus velutinus	snowbrush	shrub										white	10	X
	Ceonothus sanguineus	redstem ceanothus	shrub										white	10	X
	Gaultheria shallon	salal	shrub										white, pink	4	X
	Geum macrophyllum	largeleaf avens	forb										yellow	2	X
	Gilia capitata	globe gilia	forb										blue	3	
	Grindelia integrifolia	Puget Sound gumweed	forb										yellow	2.5	
	Holodiscus discolor	oceanspray	shrub										white	10	X
	Iris douglasiana	Douglas iris	forb										purple, blue	1.7	
	Lonicera ciliosa	orange honeysuckle	vine										orange	vine	
	Lonicera hispidula	hairy honeysuckle	vine										pink	vine	
	Lonicera involucrata	black twinberry	shrub										yellow	12	
	Lupinus rivularis	river bank lupine	forb										blue, purple	3	X
	Philadelphus lewisii	mock orange	shrub										white	8	
	Physocarpus capitatus	Pacific ninebark	shrub										white	15	
	Prunella vulgaris ssp. lanceolata	self heal	forb										purple	1.5	
	Rhododendron macrophyllum	Pacific rhododendron	shrub										pink, purple	16	X
	Ribes lacustre	black gooseberry	shrub										pink, pale	5	
	Rosa nutkana	nootka rose	shrub										pink	6	
	Rubus leucodermis	blackcap raspberry	shrub										white	9	
	Rubus ursinus	trailing blackberry	shrub										white	1	
	Sambucus nigra ssp. caerulea	blue elderberry	shrub										white	1	X
	Sambucus racemosa	red elderberry	shrub										white	20	X
	Spiraea douglasii	hardhack	shrub										pink	6	X
	Symphoricarpos albus	snowberry	shrub										pink	4	X
	Trifolium wormskioldii	springbank clover	forb										pink	2.5	X
	Vaccinium ovatum	evergreen huckleberry	shrub										pink	10	X
	Viola adunca	early blue violet	forb										purple	0.3	

Table 6.2 (Continued): Sunny and Moist Habitats: These plants generally grow in areas with full to mostly sunny areas with ordinarily moist to wet soils.

	Scientific Name	Common Name	Form	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Bloom Color	Mature Height (ft)	Host Plant
Late	*Chamerion angustifolia*	fireweed	forb										pink	2.5	
	Erigeron speciosus	aspen fleabane	forb										blue	10	
	Lupinus latifolius	broadleaf lupine	forb										blue, purple	0.3	X
	Lupinus polyphyllus	big-leaf lupine	forb										blue, purple	8	X
	Penstemon davidsonii	Davidson's penstemon	forb										purple	2.5	
	Penstemon serrulatus	Cascade penstemon	forb										blue/purple	4	
	Solidago lepida	Canada goldenrod	forb										yellow	5	
	Symphyotrichum subspicatum	Douglas aster	forb										purple	0.3	
	Betula papyrifera	paperbarck birch	tree	Structure/Host Plant									N/A	2	X
	Bromus sitchensis	Alaska brome	grass	Structure/Host Plant									N/A	5	X
	Deschampsia caespitosa	tufted hairgrass	grass	Structure/Host Plant									N/A	3	X
	Elymus glaucus	blue wild rye	grass	Structure/Host Plant									N/A	60	X
	Festuca romeri	Roemer's fescue	grass	Structure/Host Plant									N/A	5	X
	Festuca rubra	red fescue	grass	Structure/Host Plant									N/A	2	X
	Koeleria macrantha	junegrass	grass	Structure/Host Plant									N/A	3	
	Morella californica	Pacific wax myrtle	shrub	Structure/Host Plant									N/A	2	X
	Polystichum munitum	sword fern	shrub	Structure/Host Plant									N/A	2	
	Populus tremuloides	quaking aspen	tree	Structure/Host Plant									N/A	2	X
	Urtica dioica ssp. gracilis	stinging nettle	forb	Structure/Host Plant									N/A	15	X

Table 6.3: Shady and Dry Habitats: These plants generally grow in partially sunny to shady areas with ordinarily dry soils.

	Latin Name	Common Name	Form	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Bloom Color	Mature Height (ft)	Host Plant
Early-Season Bloom	*Amelanchier alnifola*	serviceberry	shrub										white	15	X
	Iris tenax	tough-leafed iris	forb										pink, purple	0.5	
	Mahonia aquifolium	tall Oregon grape	shrub										yellow	1.3	X
	Mahonia nervosa	dwarf Oregon grape	shrub										yellow	10	X
	Oemleria cerasiformis	Indian plum	shrub										white	2	
	Quercus garryana	Garry oak	tree										green	10	
	Rhamnus purshiana	cascara	tree										yellow, green	30	X
	Ribes sanguineum	red-flowering currant	shrub										pink, red	10	
	Salix scouleriana	Scouler's willow	shrub										yellow	35	X
Mid-Season Bloom	*Achillea millefolium*	common yarrow	forb										white	2.5	
	Allium cernuum	nodding onion	forb										pink	1.7	
	Ceonothus sanguineus	redstem ceanothus	shrub										white	10	X
	Ceanothus velutinus	snowbrush	shrub										white	10	X
	Gaultheria shallon	salal	shrub										white, pink	4	X
	Holodiscus discolor	oceanspray	shrub										white	10	X
	Iris douglasiana	Douglas iris	forb										purple, blue	1.7	
	Lonicera hispidula	hairy honeysuckle	vine										pink	vine	
	Rhododendron macrophyllum	Pacific rhododendron	shrub										pink, purple	16	X
	Rosa gymnocarpa	baldhip rose	shrub										pink	4	X
	Rosa nutkana	nootka rose	shrub										pink	6	
	Rubus leucodermis	blackcap raspberry	shrub										white	9	
	Rubus parviflorus	thimbleberry	shrub										white	6	
	Symphoricarpos albus	snowberry	shrub										pink	4	X
	Tellima grandiflora	fringecup	forb										white, pink	3	
	Vaccinium ovatum	evergreen huckleberry	shrub										pink	10	X
Late	*Anaphalis margaritacea*	pearly everlasting	forb										white, yellow	3.5	X
	Oxalis oregana	wood sorrel	forb										white	1	
	Penstemon serrulatus	Cascade penstemon	forb										blue/purple	2	
	Solidago lepida	Canada goldenrod	forb										yellow	5	
	Bromus sitchensis	Alaska brome	grass	Structure/Host Plant									N/A	5	X
	Elymus glaucus	blue wild rye	grass	Structure/Host Plant									N/A	3	X
	Morella californica	Pacific wax myrtle	shrub	Structure/Host Plant									N/A	15	X
	Polystichum munitum	sword fern	shrub	Structure/Host Plant									N/A	3	
	Populus tremuloides	quaking aspen	tree	Structure/Host Plant									N/A	45	X
	Quercus garryana	Garry oak	tree	Structure/Host Plant									N/A	80	X

Table 6.4: Shady and Moist Habitats: These plants generally grow in partially sunny to shady areas with ordinarily moist to wet soils.

	Latin Name	Common Name	Form	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Bloom Color	Mature Height (ft)	Host Plant
Early-Season Bloom	*Amelanchier alnifola*	serviceberry	shrub										white	15	X
	Cornus nuttallii	Pacific dogwood	tree										white	65	X
	Cornus sericea	red osier dogwood	shrub										white	15	X
	Crataegus douglasii	black hawthorn	tree										white	20	
	Dicentra formosa	Pacific bleeding heart	forb										pink, purple	1.5	X
	Dodecatheon hendersoni	Henderson's shooting star	forb										pink	1.5	
	Fragaria chiloensis	beach strawberry	forb										white	0.5	X
	Fragaria vesca	woodland strawberry	forb										white	0.5	X
	Iris tenax	tough-leafed iris	forb										pink, purple	1.3	
	Lysichiton americanus	Skunk cabbage	forb										y	4	
	Mahonia aquifolium	tall Oregon grape	shrub										yellow	10	X
	Mahonia nervosa	dwarf Oregon grape	shrub										yellow	2	X
	Malus fusca	Pacific crabapple	tree										white, pink	15	X
	Oemleria cerasiformis	Indian plum	shrub										white	10	
	Petasites frigidus	coltsfoot	forb										white	1.7	
	Rhamnus purshiana	cascara	tree										yellow, green	30	X
	Rubus spectabilis	salmonberry	shrub										red, pink	10	
	Salix hookeriana	Hooker's willow	tree										green	25	X
	Salix lasiandra var. lasiandra	Pacific willow	tree										yellow	40	X
	Salix scouleriana	Scouler's willow	shrub										yellow	35	X
	Salix sitchensis	sitka willow	shrub										green	25	X
	Sisyrinchium idahoense	Western blue-eyed grass	forb										blue	1.5	
	Vaccinium parvifolium	red huckleberry	shrub										pink, green	12	
Mid-Season Bloom	*Acer circinatum*	vine maple	tree										white	25	
	Achillea millefolium	common yarrow	forb										white	2.5	
	Aquilegia formosa	red columbine	forb										red, yellow	2	
	Aruncus dioicus	goatsbeard	forb										white	6	
	Ceonothus sanguineus	redstem ceanothus	shrub										white	10	X
	Ceanothus velutinus	snowbrush	shrub										white	10	X
	Gaultheria shallon	salal	shrub										white, pink	4	X
	Geum macrophyllum	largeleaf avens	forb										yellow	2	X
	Holodiscus discolor	oceanspray	shrub										white	10	X
	Hydrophyllum tenuipes	Pacific waterleaf	forb										white, green	2.5	
	Iris douglasiana	Douglas iris	forb										purple, blue	1.7	
	Lonicera ciliosa	orange honeysuckle	vine										orange	vine	
	Lonicera hispidula	hairy honeysuckle	vine										pink	vine	
	Lonicera involucrata	black twinberry	shrub										yellow	12	
	Physocarpus capitatus	Pacific ninebark	shrub										white	15	
	Prunella vulgaris ssp. lanceolata	self heal	forb										purple	1.5	
	Rhododendron macrophyllum	Pacific rhododendron	shrub										pink, purple	16	X
	Ribes bracteosum	stink currant	shrub										green	9	X
	Ribes lacustre	black gooseberry	shrub										pink, pale	5	
	Rosa nutkana	nootka rose	shrub										pink	6	
	Rubus leucodermis	blackcap raspberry	shrub										white	9	
	Rubus ursinus	trailing blackberry	shrub										white	1	
	Sambucus racemosa	red elderberry	shrub										white	20	
	Spiraea douglasii	hardhack	shrub										pink	6	X
	Symphoricarpos albus	snowberry	shrub										pink	4	X
	Tellima grandiflora	fringecup	forb										white, pink	3	
Late	*Chamerion angustifolia*	fireweed	forb										pink	8	
	Heracleum maximum	cow parsnip	forb										white	10	X
	Lupinus polyphyllus	big-leaf lupine	forb										blue, purple	5	X
	Oxalis oregana	wood sorrel	forb										white	1	
	Penstemon serrulatus	Cascade penstemon	forb										blue, purple	2	
	Solidago lepida	Canada goldenrod	forb										yellow	5	
	Symphyotrichum subspicatum	Douglas aster	forb										purple	3	
	Betula papyrifera	paperbarck birch	tree	Structure/Host Plant									N/A	60	X
	Bromus sitchensis	Alaska brome	grass	Structure/Host Plant									N/A	5	X
	Elymus glaucus	blue wild rye	grass	Structure/Host Plant									N/A	3	X
	Morella californica	Pacific wax myrtle	shrub	Structure/Host Plant									N/A	15	X
	Polystichum munitum	sword fern	shrub	Structure/Host Plant									N/A	3	
	Populus tremuloides	quaking aspen	tree	Structure/Host Plant									N/A	45	X
	Urtica dioica ssp. gracilis	stinging nettle	forb	Structure/Host Plant									N/A	6	X

Table 6.5: Master Plant List: The following lists represent the complete list of recommendations for plants native to the Puget lowlands of Western Washington that are known to attract pollinators and their larvae. The list is sorted alphabetically by bloom time to help select plants that can provide continuous and overlapping bloom periods.

	Scientific Name	Common Name	Form	Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct	Bloom Color	Light	Moisture	Host Plant	Height (ft)
Early-Season Bloom	*Amelanchier alnifola*	serviceberry	shrub		white	sun, part shade	dry, moist	X	15
	Arbutus menziesii	Pacific madrone	tree		white, pink	sun	dry	X	100
	Arctostaphylos uva-ursi	kinnikinnick	shrub		pink	sun	dry	X	0.7
	Armeria maritima	sea thrift	forb		pink	sun	dry, moist		1.5
	Camassia leichtlinii	great camas	forb		blue	sun	moist		2
	Camassia quamash	common camas	forb		blue	sun	moist, wet	X	1.5
	Cornus nuttallii	Pacific dogwood	tree		white	sun, part shade, shade	moist	X	65
	Cornus sericea	red osier dogwood	shrub		white	sun, part shade	moist, wet		15
	Crataegus douglasii	black hawthorn	tree		white	sun, part shade, shade	moist	X	20
	Dicentra formosa	Pacific bleeding heart	forb		pink, purple	part shade, shade	moist		1.5
	Dodecatheon hendersoni	Henderson's shooting star	forb		pink	part shade, shade	moist		1.5
	Eriophyllum lanatum	Oregon sunshine	forb		yellow	sun	dry	X	2
	Fragaria chiloensis	beach strawberry	forb		white	sun, part shade	dry, moist	X	0.5
	Fragaria vesca	woodland strawberry	forb		white	sun, part shade	moist		0.5
	Iris tenax	tough-leafed iris	forb		pink, purple	sun, part shade	dry, moist, wet		1.3
	Lysichiton americanus	Skunk cabbage	forb		yellow	sun, part shade	moist, wet	X	4
	Mahonia aquifolium	tall Oregon grape	shrub		yellow	sun, part shade	dry, moist	X	10
	Mahonia nervosa	dwarf Oregon grape	shrub		yellow	part shade, shade	dry, moist	X	2
	Malus fusca	Pacific crabapple	tree		white, pink	sun, part shade	moist, wet		15
	Myrica gale	sweet gale	shrub		yellow, green	sun	wet		10
	Oemleria cerasiformis	Indian plum	shrub		white	part shade	dry, moist		10.0
	Petasites frigidus	coltsfoot	forb		white	shade	moist		1.7
	Plectritis congesta	seablush	forb		pink	sun	moist, wet	X	2
	Potentilla gracillis	slender cinquefoil	forb		yellow	sun	moist, wet	X	2.5
	Prunus emarginata	bitter cherry	tree		white	sun	moist		50
	Ranunculus occidentalis	western buttercup	forb		yellow	sun	moist	X	1.5
	Rhamnus purshiana	cascara	tree		yellow, green	part shade	dry, moist, wet		30
	Ribes sanguineum	red-flowering currant	shrub		pink, red	sun, part shade	dry	X	10
	Rubus spectabilis	salmonberry	shrub		red, pink	sun, part shade	moist, wet	X	10
	Salix hookeriana	Hooker's willow	tree		green	sun, part shade	moist, wet	X	25
	Salix lasiandra var. lasiandra	Pacific willow	tree		yellow	sun, part shade, shade	moist, wet	X	40
	Salix scouleriana	Scouler's willow	shrub		yellow	sun, part shade, shade	dry, moist	X	35
	Salix sitchensis	sitka willow	shrub		green	sun, part shade	moist, wet	X	25
	Sidalcea sp.	checkermallow	forb		pink	sun	dry, moist		6.0
	Sisyrinchium idahoense	Western blue-eyed grass	forb		blue	sun, part shade	moist, wet		1.5
Mid-Season Bloom	*Acer circinatum*	vine maple	tree		white	partial shade	moist, wet	X	25
	Achillea millefolium	common yarrow	forb		white	sun, part shade	dry, moist		2.5
	Allium cernuum	nodding onion	forb		pink	sun, part shade	dry	X	1.7
	Aquilegia formosa	red columbine	forb		red, yellow	sun, part shade	moist		2
	Aruncus dioicus	goatsbeard	forb		white	part shade, shade	moist		6
	Balsamorhiza deltoidea	deltoid balsamroot	forb		yellow	sun	dry		3.3
	Castilleja hispida	harsh paintbrush	forb		scarlet or yellow	sun	dry		2.5
	Ceanothus velutinus	snowbrush	shrub		white	sun, part shade	dry, moist	X	10
	Ceonothus sanguineus	redstem ceanothus	shrub		white	sun, part shade	dry, moist	X	10
	Clarkia amoena	farewell-to-spring	forb		pink	sun	dry		2.5
	Gaultheria shallon	salal	shrub		white, pink	sun, part shade, shade	dry, moist	X	4
	Geum macrophyllum	largeleaf avens	forb		yellow	sun, part shade	moist, wet	X	2
	Gilia capitata	globe gilia	forb		blue	sun	dry, moist		3
	Grindelia integrifolia	Puget Sound gumweed	forb		yellow	sun	dry, moist	X	2.5
	Holodiscus discolor	oceanspray	shrub		white	sun, part shade	dry, moist		10
	Hydrophyllum tenuipes	Pacific waterleaf	forb		white, green	shade	wet		2.5
	Iris douglasiana	Douglas iris	forb		purple, blue	sun, part shade	dry, moist, wet		1.7
	Lonicera ciliosa	orange honeysuckle	vine		orange	sun, part shade	moist		vine
	Lonicera hispidula	hairy honeysuckle	vine		pink	sun, part shade	dry, moist		vine
	Lonicera involucrata	black twinberry	shrub		yellow	sun, part shade	moist, wet	X	12
	Lupinus bicolor	two-color lupine	forb		blue, white	sun	dry		1
	Lupinus rivularis	river bank lupine	forb		blue, purple	sun	dry, moist		3
	Philadelphus lewisii	mock orange	shrub		white	sun	dry, moist		8
	Physocarpus capitatus	Pacific ninebark	shrub		white	sun, part shade	moist, wet	X	15
	Prunella vulgaris ssp. lanceolata	self heal	forb		purple	sun, part shade	moist	X	1.5
	Rhododendron macrophyllum	Pacific rhododendron	shrub		pink, purple	sun, part shade	dry, moist		16
	Ribes bracteosum	stink currant	shrub		green	part shade, shade	moist, wet	X	9
	Ribes lacustre	black gooseberry	shrub		pink, pale	sun, part shade	moist, wet		5
	Rosa gymnocarpa	baldhip rose	shrub		pink	sun, part shade	dry		4
	Rosa nutkana	nootka rose	shrub		pink	sun, part shade	dry, moist	X	6
	Rubus leucodermis	blackcap raspberry	shrub		white	sun, part shade	dry, moist		9
	Rubus parviflorus	thimbleberry	shrub		white	sun, part shade	dry	X	6
	Rubus ursinus	trailing blackberry	shrub		white	sun, part shade, shade	moist	X	1
	Sambucus nigra ssp. caerulea	blue elderberry	shrub		white	sun	dry, moist	X	1
	Sambucus racemosa	red elderberry	shrub		white	sun, part shade	moist, wet	X	20
	Spiraea douglasii	hardhack	shrub		pink	sun, part shade	moist, wet		6
	Symphoricarpos albus	snowberry	shrub		pink	sun, part shade	dry, moist	X	4
	Tellima grandiflora	fringecup	forb		white, pink	part shade, shade	dry, moist		3.0
Late	*Anaphalis margaritacea*	pearly everlasting	forb		white, yellow	sun, part shade	dry	X	3.5
	Campanula rotundifolia	harebell	forb		blue, purple	sun	dry		2.5
	Chamerion angustifolia	fireweed	forb		pink	sun, part shade	moist		8
	Erigeron speciosus	aspen fleabane	forb		blue	sun	dry, moist		2.5
	Heracleum maximum	cow parsnip	forb		white	shade	moist	X	10
	Lupinus latifolius	broadleaf lupine	forb		blue, purple	sun	dry, moist	X	4
	Lupinus polyphyllus	big-leaf lupine	forb		blue, purple	sun, part shade	moist, wet	X	5
	Oxalis oregana	wood sorrel	forb		white	part shade, shade	dry, moist		1
	Penstemon davidsonii	Davidson's penstemon	forb		purple	sun	dry, moist		0.3
	Penstemon serrulatus	Cascade penstemon	forb		blue/purple	sun, part shade	dry, moist		2
	Sedum oreganum	Oregon Stonecrop	forb		yellow	sun	dry		0.5
	Solidago lepida	western Canada goldenrod	forb		yellow	sun, part shade	moist, dry		5
	Symphyotrichum subspicatum	Douglas aster	forb		purple	sun, part shade	moist, wet		3
Structure/Host Plant	*Betula papyrifera*	paperbarck birch	tree	Structure/Host Plant	N/A	sun, part shade, shade	moist, wet	X	60
	Bromus sitchensis	Alaska brome	grass	Structure/Host Plant	N/A	sun, part shade	dry, moist	X	5
	Danthonia californica	California oatgrass	grass	Structure/Host Plant	N/A	sun	dry	X	2
	Deschampsia caespitosa	tufted hairgrass	grass	Structure/Host Plant	N/A	sun	moist, wet	X	2
	Elymus glaucus	blue wild rye	grass	Structure/Host Plant	N/A	sun, part shade	dry, moist	X	3
	Festuca romeri	Roemer's fescue	grass	Structure/Host Plant	N/A	sun	dry, moist	X	2
	Festuca rubra	red fescue	grass	Structure/Host Plant	N/A	sun	dry, moist	X	2
	Koeleria macrantha	junegrass	grass	Structure/Host Plant	N/A	sun	dry, moist		2
	Morella californica	Pacific wax myrtle	shrub	Structure/Host Plant	N/A	sun, part shade	dry, moist	X	15
	Polystichum munitum	sword fern	shrub	Structure/Host Plant	N/A	sun, part shade	dry, moist		3
	Populus tremuloides	quaking aspen	tree	Structure/Host Plant	N/A	sun, part shade	dry, moist, wet	X	45
	Quercus garryana	Garry oak	tree	Structure/Host Plant	N/A	sun, part shade	dry	X	80
	Urtica dioica ssp. gracilis	stinging nettle	forb	Structure/Host Plant	N/A	sun, part shade	moist, wet	X	6

This page is left intentionally blank

REFERENCES

Berenbaum M. 2007. Testimony: *The Birds and the Bees: How Pollinators Help Maintain Healthy Ecosystems.* 110th Congress (First Session) before the Subcommittee on Fisheries, Wildlife and Oceans Committee on Natural Resources U.S. House of Representatives; 6/26/2007.

Biddinger D, Rajotte E and Joshi NK. 2011. *Development of the mason bee, Osmia cornifrons, as an alternative pollinator to honey bees and as a targeted delivery system for biological control agents in the management of fire blight.* Penn Fruit News, 90:35–44. 19.

Burkle L, Marlin J and Knight T. 2013. *Plant-pollinator interactions over 120 years: Loss of species, co-occurrence, and function.* Science 339(6127): 1611–1615.

Collins KL, Boatman ND, Wilcox A, and Holland JM. 2003. *Effects of different grass treatments used to create overwintering habitat for predatory arthropods on arable farmland.* Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, 96:59-68.

Crawford R, and Hall H. 1997. *Changes in the south Puget Sound prairie landscape.* pp 11-15 in P. Dunn and K. Ewing (eds.) Ecology and Conservation of the south Puget Sound Prairie Landscape. The Nature Conservancy, Seattle, Washington.

Faber-Langendoen D, Hedge C, Kost M, Thomas S, Smart L, Smyth R, Drake J, and Menard S. 2012a. *Assessment of wetland ecosystem condition across landscape regions: A multi-metric approach. Part A. Ecological Integrity Assessment overview and field study in Michigan and Indiana.* EPA/600/R-12/021a. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Office of Research and Development, Washington, DC.

Fantinato E, Del Vecchio S, Gaetan C and Buffa G. 2018. *The resilience of pollination interactions: importance of temporal phases.* Journal of Plant Ecology, rty005, https://doi.org/10.1093/jpe/rty005

FAO. 2016. Policy Analysis Paper: *Mainstreaming of Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services With A Focus On Pollination.* http://www.fao.org/3/a-i4242e.pdf

Hamman ST, Dunwiddie PW, Nuckols JL and McKinley M. 2011. *Fire as a Restoration Tool in Pacific Northwest Prairies and Oak Woodlands: Challenges, Successes, and Future Directions.* Northwest Science 85(2):317-328.

Hatfield R, Jepsen S, Thorp R, Richardson L, Colla S, and Foltz Jordan S. 2015. Bombus fervidus. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2015: e.T21215132A21215225. http://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2015-4.RLTS.T21215132A21215225.en. Downloaded on 04 April 2018.

Kaiser-Bunbury CN, Mougal J, Whittington AE, Valentin T and Gabriel R. *Ecosystem restoration strengthens pollination network resilience and function.* Nature 542 (7640), 223-227.

Kearns, CA, and Thompson JD. 2001. *The Natural History of Bumblebees. A Sourcebook*

for Investigations. Boulder: University Press of Colorado. 130pp.

Klein AM, Vaissière BE, Cane JH, Steffan-Dewenter I, Cunningham SA, Kremen C and Tscharntke T. 2007. *Importance of pollinators in changing landscapes for world crops.* Proc Biol Sci. 2007 Feb 7; 274(1608):303-13.

Kremen, C, Williams NM, and Thorp RW. 2002. *Crop pollination from native bees at risk from agricultural intensification.* Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 99:16812-16816.

Lake PS. 2013. *Resistance, Resilience and Restoration.* Ecological Management and Restoration, 14: 20–24. doi:10.1111/emr.12016

McDonald T, Gann GD, Jonson J, and Dixon KW. 2016. *International standards for the practice of ecological restoration – including principles and key concepts*. Society for Ecological Restoration, Washington, D.C.

Michener CD. 2007. *The Bees of the World.* Baltimore, MD: Johns Hopkins Univ. Press. 953 pp. 2nd ed.

Morandin, L., and Winston M. 2006. *Pollinators provide economic incentive to preserve natural land in agroecosystems.* Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment, 116:289-292.

Nolan S and Carver L. 2011. *Prairie Landowner guide for Western WA.*

Ollerton J, Winfree R and Tarrant S. 2011. *How many flowering plants are pollinated by animals?* Oikos. Volume120, Issue3. March 2011. pp. 321-326.

Potter AE. 2016. *Periodic status review for Taylor's Checkerspot in Washington.* Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Olympia, Washington. 16+iii pp.

Purtauf, T, Roschewitz I, Dauber J, Thies C, Tscharntke T, and Wolters V. 2005. *Landscape context of organic and conventional farms: Influences on carabid beetle diversity*. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, 108:165-174.

Rocchio J and Crawford R. 2015. *Ecological Systems of Washington State: A Guide to Identification.* Washington Department of Natural Resources, Natural Heritage Program.

Roni P, Beechie T, Pess G and Hanson K. 2014. *Wood placement in river restoration: fact, fiction, and future direction.* Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 2015, 72(3): 466-478, https://doi.org/10.1139/cjfas-2014-0344

Senapathi D, Biesmeijer JC, Breeze TD, Kleijn D, Potts SG and Carvalheiro LG. 2015. *Pollinator conservation — the difference between managing for pollination services and preserving pollinator diversity.* Current Opinion in Insect Science, 12, 93–101.

SER. 2004. *The SER International primer on ecological restoration.* Society for Ecological Restoration International, Tuscon, Arizona.

Steltzer H, and Post E. 2009. *Seasons and Life Cycles.* Science 324(5929): 886.

Stokeland J, Siitonen J, and Jonsson B. 2012. *Biodiversity in Dead Wood.* Cambridge University Press.

Taylor P, Fahrig L, Henein K and Merriam G. 1993. *Connectivity Is a Vital Element of Landscape Structure.* Oikos, Vol. 68, No. 3, pp. 571-573. http://www.jstor.org/stable/3544927

USDA. 2015. *Pollinator-Friendly Best Management Practices for Federal Lands*.

Vance NC, Neill A, and Morton F. 2006. *Native grass seedling and forb planting establishment in a degraded oak savanna in the Coast Range foothills of western Oregon.* Native Plants Journal, 7(2):35-46.

Wender B, Harrington C and Tappeiner JC II. 2004. *Flower and Fruit Production of Understory Shrubs in Western Washington and Oregon.* Northwest Science, Vol. 78, No. 2. p. 124-140.

WSU. 2013. *Rain Garden Handbook for Western WA.*

WSU. 2017. Syrphid Flies. *Orchard Pest Management Online.* jenny.tfrec.wsu.edu/opm/displaySpecies.php?pn=730

Xerces Society. 2005. *Red List of Pollinator Insects of North America.* http://www.xerces.org/Pollinator_Red_List/Table_Bees.htm.

Xerces Society. 2011. *Attracting Native Pollinators: Protecting North America's Bees and Butterflies.* Storey Publishing.

Xerces Society. 2013. *Establishing Pollinator Meadows.* Portland, Oregon.

Xerces Society. 2015. *Farming for Bees.* Portland, Oregon.

POLLINATOR HABITAT ASSESSMENT

Landowner/Manager:	Location:
Planned by:	Date:
Habitat Enhancement Objectives:	

Logistics

Permission:
Describe site ownership and any access constraints

Boundaries:
After mapping the site, describe any pertinent boundary features or considerations

Access:
Describe any relevant access points for crews or materials

Size:
Indicate the overall square footage or acreage of the site

Site Prep:
Briefly describe the intended site preparation strategy

Volunteer Access:
Indicate if site is volunteer accessible or any potential concerns

View Constraints:
Indicate possible height limitations resulting from views, power lines, or other constraints

Site Design Notes

LOCAL RESTORATION
EARTH CORPS
GLOBAL LEADERSHIP

Pollinator Habitat Type

Circle the general habitat type or trajectory for your site

- Upland Forests: conifer, deciduous, mixed
- Riparian Areas: river, stream, creek, wetland, wet meadow, freshwater riparian forest, marine riparian forest, dune, bluff, backshore
- Prairies, Savannas, Oak Woodlands
- Green Stormwater Infrastructure: rain garden, bioswale, stormwater detention pond
- Agricultural Areas: farm, orchard, garden, hedgerow
- Contained Spaces and Lots: traffic circle, parking lot, green roof, schoolyard, backyard
- Corridors and Roadsides: rights of way, roadside, trailside, power line corridor, airport runway

Topography and Features

Aspect: N NE E SE S SW W NW Flat

Indicate general slope direction for the site

Exposure: full sun partial shade full shade

Indicate the general level of sun exposure for your site - if you have more than one environment, consider completing individual site checks for each type

Moisture: dry moist wet

Indicate the general moisture level for your site - if you have more than one environment, consider completing individual site checks for each type

Topography: _____

Describe any topographical irregularities of your site such as mounds or depressions

Slope: very steep steep moderate gentle flat

>40% 16-40% 10-15% 4-9% 0-3%

Indicate relative steepness of the site

Soils

Drainage: Fast Average Slow

Briefly indicate the general speed at which the soils on site will drain

Composition: sand silt clay muck gravel

Describe the general characteristics of the soil

Soil Notes: _____

Describe any proposed amendments or results from a soil test etc.

Site Topography/Soil Notes

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

Site Design

Positive Indicators						Score
Size:	1	2	3	4	5	
	<0.1 acre	*0.1-0.5 acre*	*0.5-1 acre*	*1-2 acres*	*>2 acres*	
Determine and rank overall size of pollinator habitat						
Connectivity:	1	2	3	4	5	
	>2 miles	*0.5-2 miles*	*0.1-0.5 miles*	*<500 feet*	*adjacent*	
Indicate and rank based on general proximity to relatively natural or intact habitat						
Native Cover:	1	2	3	4	5	
	<25%	*26-50%*	*51-75%*	*76-90%*	*>90%*	
Estimate and rank the total cover of native plant species across the site						
Native Richnes	1	2	3	4	5	
	<50%	*51-70%*	*71-80%*	*81-90%*	*>90%*	
Determine and rank native species richness on site (# native/total # of species)						
Native Evennes	1	2	3	4	5	
	>60%	*51-60%*	*41-50%*	*31-40%*	*<30%*	
Determine if any single species dominates the site and rank based on above criteria						
Structural Complexity	1		3		5	
	one		*two-three*		*four or more*	
Identify vertical strata and rank based on vegetative complexity						
Redundancy:	1	2	3	4	5	
	one	*two*	*three*	*four*	*five or more*	
Rank based on the number of native plants present that will bloom during ***each of the three seasons*** *(early, mid, late) - see plant list for details*						

Total Positive Indicator Score (from 7 to 35): ☐

Habitat Enhancement Indicators					
Shelter:	*None*	*Low*	*Med*	*High*	
☐ Areas of undisturbed or un-manicured habitat	0	1	2	3	
☐ Dead wood	0	1	2	3	
☐ Compost or brush piles	0	1	2	3	
☐ Large rocks or rock piles	0	1	2	3	
☐ Areas of bare soil	0	1	2	3	
☐ Pithy or hollow stems	0	1	2	3	
☐ Larval host plants (see plant list)	0	1	2	3	
☐ Native bunchgrass or sedge species	0	1	2	3	
☐ Clean water or wet, muddy areas	0	1	2	3	

Indicate and quantify each feature present on your site and tally points (from 0 to 27): ☐

Site Indicator Notes

LOCAL RESTORATION EARTH CORPS GLOBAL LEADERSHIP

Habitat Stressor Indicators

Invasive Cover:	0	-1	-2	-3	-4	-5	
	<1%	*1-5%*	*6-10%*	*11-30%*	*30-50%*	*>50%*	

Estimate and circle the total cover of invasive plant species across the site

Other Stressors	*None*	*Low*	*Med*	*High*	
☐ Known pesticide use on or adjacent to site	0	-1	-2	-3	
☐ Mowing (esp. large scale or during bloom periods)	0	-1	-2	-3	
☐ Excessive human impacts or disturbance	0	-1	-2	-3	
☐ Presence of artificial light	0	-1	-2	-3	

Total Stressor Indicator Score (from 0 to -17):

OVERALL SITE DESIGN SCORE (from 0 to 62):

Sketch of Site Plan